

My name is Karrie Gibson. This correspondence is to share a serious story about racial injustice. I am a black woman who was married to a white male for over 20 years. Throughout the duration of this 20 + years I was abused by this abusive alcoholic. Then I was tormented by 3 racist judges, enduring blatant Jim Crow type injustice. I experience every possible civil rights violation under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution.

1. I will demonstrate transparent abuse of judicial discretion by racist Judge Sarah Heidel, Judge Lawrence Riff, and Judge Bruce Iwasaki. (During the time of Donald.)
2. You will be presented with evidence of collusion amongst various departments. Officer of the court ,Arturo Quintero who holds the position as the supervisor of the clerk of court. Aiding and abetting Judge Sarah Heidel of her evading judicial rules and procedures as they relate to a Motion to Disqualify for racial bias and misconduct.
3. The fact will show criminal behavior involving law enforcement. Judge Heidel order four sheriff deputies to break in my home at 8:30pm on 9/21/20, who threatened to (during Trump Administration) frame arrest me if I didn't leave my home. This crime was led by Deputy Pulido of the City of Industry Sheriff's station.
4. Judge Heidel enlisted a deputy from the Pomona sheriffs to intercept the "process service" in servicing Heidel notice of the Motion to Disqualify. The Sheriff's name is Paula.
5. The fact will show that Judge Sarah Heidel deprived me of my right to spousal support as it relates to California Family Code 4321. Judge Heidel willful deprived me of spousal support in the attempt to sabotage my stake in the divorce between me a black woman and opposing white male.. The fact will show Judge Heidel intent was to cause me economic harm and exposing me to physical harm.
6. Judges Heidel, Lawrence and Iwasaki ignored concrete evidence demonstrating that I had been abused for over 20 years by my white alcoholic husband.
7. The fact will show that Judge Bruce Iwasaki heinously deemed me the as the abuser to deprive me of economic stability as required by California Family Code 4321, when in fact I was the victim of domestic violence.
8. The evidence will show that the court clerk supervisor Arturo Quintiero assisted Judge Sarah Heidel in evading being served the Motion to Disqualify for Racial Bias and Misconduct.

9. Judge Sarah Heidel illegally deems me to vexatious litigant without cause or without *Due Process*.
Judge Heidel motives were to retaliation for filing a Motion to Disqualify her and to prevent me for seeking remedies through the judicial system. Her motives was also for questioning her racial bias in early hearings. Judge Heidel motives and actions are because I am a black female in litigation with a white male. Judge Heidel violated my Civil Rights Under the 18th amendment.
10. Judge Sarah Heidel order sheriff deputies to illegally break in my home at 8:30pm and threatened to arrest me if I don't leave my home. Rendering me homeless during the Covid-19 Pandemic.
11. I will show how the Los Angeles Family Court illegally sold my home which was worth over a million dollars. The Los Angeles Family Court illegally awarded the petitioner the profits from the sell of the family home.
12. The fact will show that I am homeless, not receiving adequate spousal support. My credit was adversely affected by the criminal acts of the Los Angeles Family court. I am unable to qualify or afford housing. My credit was damaged because of lack of supposal support. I am homeless and suffering from the mere fact that I am a black woman. I have been homeless over 6 months due to the heinous actions of the Los Angeles Family Court.

My questioning Judge Sarah Heidel's obvious racial discrimination exhibited throughout the divorce proceedings lead to Judge Lawrence P Riff illegally sanctioning me. Judge Bruce Iwasaki heinously framed me as the abuser, when I am in fact the victim of domestic abuse, and have been for over 20 years. All three judges ignored submitted evidence showing my husband is the abuser. I was not allowed to submit financial evidence surrounding my marriage. My white husband earns over \$170,000 annual. He is an executive for a child services agency, where he developed ties to the Family Court system. I have been getting food stamps through my divorce hearing because Judge Sarah Heidel deprived me of the spousal support outlined by the California Family Code 4332. Judge Heidel denied me of spousal support to further put me at a disadvantage financially. I have evidence of other departments being a party to the crimes inflicted upon me.

Please see the attached documents.

1. Jan 2,2019
2. Feb 7, 2019 Transcripts
3. March 14th Transcripts
4. May 3rd Transcripts
5. June 21 Transcripts

6. Video of the supervising clerk threatening to call security on me.
7. Opposing boastful review.
8. Email between Ariel Carter and Charles Major

There has been rampant protest, but is very little progress towards freeing black people from evils of white supremacy in America.

Marriage Background

James and I met in the early 90's in North Carolina. He and I married Dec. 31, 1993. My husband was mentally abusive throughout our 23year marriage. He has been an alcoholic since we met. James carried demons relating to issues with his adoptive parents. He was adopted by wealthy parents who weren't very nurturing, but very judging. James has been dealing with his alcoholism since he was in his early 20's. I met him when he was 21. James has abused alcohol as long as I can remember.

James has a history of abusive episodes. These episodes varied in how often he displayed this behavior. He could be nasty for a weekend, or he could be nasty for an entire week. Consistently, he would do something nice for me after one of his episodes. This was the nature of our marriage. He would show remorse.

Around maybe 2013-2014 James' explosive behavior became more volatile and more frequent. Usually these behaviors were influenced by his drinking. James has had an issue with infidelity throughout our relationship, before we were married and during. I discovered different things that supported James' infidelity.

I experienced a terrible slip and fall in 2008, that severely injured my back. I suffered from chronic pain, up until 2016. April of 2016, I had back surgery. James' behavior was distanced and cold. He didn't even stay at the hospital during my surgery. He went to work. The Physician Assistant Kathleen Nygen called James at work to update him on my progress. I saw the PA Kathleen, regular through my recovery. I confided in Kathleen Nygen, about my husband's abuse. She strongly encouraged me to leave him.

James's drinking went to an all-time high around 2015. James always drank on the weekend, but he began to drink during the week. I did everything to avoid engaging with James. I spent the past 10 years in chronic pain. The chronic pain alone contributed to my mental state. James's abuse made it harder for me to overcome the anxiety and depression associated with chronic pain. I realized I would not improving as long as I engaged with James. James worked during the week. We slept in separate bedrooms. On the weekend he would leave early like 5am, he would come back after 5 or 6pm intoxicated if he did come home at all.

James was the primary wage earner. Around 2013, I started a business. A fashion/mental health business. James wasn't extremely supportive. He was actually very discouraging. He wouldn't

help me with the expenses, such as website and marketing cost. I taught myself to build my website and blog. It took me over 8-9 months to learn and complete the website. I also produced a blog, that I contributed to, and hired interns to help.

While James was being difficult, I was trying to swim by building my business and a brand. The brand Girl's Best Friend and Co is a full-service wardrobe consulting business that practices Fashion Therapy. This is a therapeutic method that I developed that utilized a form of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy. The concept was born through my life experience of going through depression. Dealing with my abusive marriage. I found something to direct my attention to while helping other women. I organized a girl's group. Girl's Best Friend Beauties Meetup. The group was hosted on the Meetup platform. My husband then demonstrated a less than supportive attitude when it involved my girl's group. I was really shocked to recognize that he was jealous. I spent my entire life being a mother and a wife. I always put my children and household first. I had 2 incidents that lead to me to leaving jobs. My job I left at Airgas termination related to my daughter needing me to leave earlier to prepare her for her Halloween Party at her dance class. I should mention my daughter won every Halloween competition every year of her adolescent life, even into high school. I always took time to prepare her costume. These things were time consuming, but I was able to direct my attention to her, because James was the primary income earner. The second incident was when I worked at Wells Fargo. I ended up leaving this job because I had conflict relating to taking my daughter to her acting class. I asked my husband to take her, but he wanted to watch a basketball tournament instead. I chose to take my daughter to her class over an important meeting, which resulted in me leaving Wells Fargo. In my husband response to Spousal Support, he stated I didn't want to work in corporate America because I felt like they were against me. ***"That was a racist statement to imply that I thought America was against me because I am black."*** ***When ultimately, I left - jobs because I had challenges juggling my job and my daughter's extra curriculum activity.***

Everything came to a head in Aug/Sept 2017. I had enough of his infidelity. He stopped giving me enough to pay our monthly expenses. The last two years of our marriage, I was forced to put things on our credit cards. It wasn't unusual for me to put charges on credit cards. During x-mas James would receive a gift of \$20,000 to \$50,000. He would also receive a dividend check in Jan. we would pay off outstanding debt with these monies every year. I believe starting 2015 James gave me less than normal to pay the bills. The last year he only gave me \$5000, when normally he would give me half of the gift, the gift was never less than \$20,000. I stopped arguing about monthly obligations because they always led to horrible horrible conflicts. Again, I was trying to normalize my life, get over the mental challenges I was experiences brought on by the chronic pain and abusive marriage.

James filed for divorce Sept 5, 2017 after we got into an argument about him going to a conference without me.

New Years eve is our anniversary. New Years eve, 2018 James took a woman to San Diego on our anniversary. He came back and he moved out Jan 5th, 2018. I couldn't do anymore.

Again, he was the primary wage earner. When I didn't invite him back home he stopped paying the bills. This was like in the first couple of months of our separation. I started driving Uber. I

had an older SUV. I was struggling to pay the bills. Then someone hit the SUV at 2am in the morning, while driving for Uber. I was having all types of financial struggles. Uber retired my vehicle because it was an older SUV. I believe Uber retired the vehicle because the claim they paid for the car was more than the value, and I also had a \$1000 deductible. I had to rent a car after that to do ride share. Which meant I had to earn \$250 driving to pay for the car before I started making a profit, not to mention fuel. I ended up getting rear ended Not once but twice after the first collision. The third collision left me with a horrible concussion. James, (my husband) was gone for a year. My husband during this time was making over \$150,000. I could have asked for spousal support immediately. But I didn't. My husband wasn't ok. I knew he wasn't ok. I was trying to be patient and wait for him to eventually agree to go to counseling and get help for his drinking .

Then toward the end of July 2018 I got a notice for court. The court date was Jan 2, 2019. I am pointing this out because I believe he knew this racist judge. He purposely scheduled the date in Jan to ensure that racist judge Sarah Heidel would be the judge. One day when we were arguing he told me he could make the first court date sooner. He filed in the city of Pomona. Which didn't make sense. Other than the Pomona Court has a reputation for being racist to black people and he knew Judge Sarah Heidel, coincidentally Judge Sarah Heidel lives in Pasadena, Ca. James has worked in the Pasadena area since 1993,

While driving for ride share companies. I had multiple accidents. Which agitated my back, and ultimately the last one left me with a horrible concussion. I had to apply for spousal support. I went to the Self-Help center to get help applying for spousal support. There was a Mexican girl in the self-help who was nasty to me. She told me that the judge would address the spousal support on our January 2nd court date. I didn't trust her. I asked for her supervisor. Her supervisor went back and forth with me. Then they sent me downstairs to the facilitator. This is important. They didn't tell me there was a facilitator that could help. They lied to me. I had to be adamant about wanting help. The reason why I am detailing this information, because I filed a change of venue request for racial bias. This was the beginning of being maligned by this the Los Angeles Family Court.

The first court day. Jan 2, 2019. I couldn't think. I had constant migraines. My head felt like I was under water. If you said something to me, I really didn't understand you. I would have to ask you to say it once or twice more to understand. My concussion was fresh. I had zero income, I was praying that this woman would provide relief.

Important fact See Transcript dated Jan 2,2019

Page 2 Line 1. The court says she was having a conversation with the opposing about the case, before I was in the courtroom. My understanding is she can't discuss the case with opposing if I am not present.

An associate attorney was there, on my James W. Gibson III's behalf. She was a very nice and ethical black woman. You will recognize by reading the transcripts I was confused and lost. I just wanted help. As a citizen I just wanted what the law allows. I greeted the attorney.

Transcripts: See page 2 line 9 Judge Sarah Heidel makes a nasty remark to me. Saying this is a court hearing.

Ms. Smith states that the issues are a Vocational Evaluation, Property Division, Spousal Support and attorney Fees. I want you to be aware that the transcripts aren't accurate. Often when the judge and I speak there are entire sentence missing from the transcripts.

Transcripts: See Page 2 line 23 when the court is speaking words are missing. His attorney mentions the court dates Feb 2, 2019 is the spousal support hearing. Then there is a March 13 court date and March 14th.

Page 3 March 13 and 14^h This is relevant because the court was aware that they were two court dates. If the court believed these court dates should have been consolidate for economic reasons here was her opportunity. (This will make better sense as I explain the events as they occurred.)
You will better understand why I am pointing this out as you read on.

Page 3 Line 10 I notify the court of my medical issues.

Page 6 Line 10 The court makes negative comments about my demeanor. When she made the negative statement, I didn't understand what she said. I knew she said something negative because of her body language, and the body language of the bailiff.

I explained to Judge Heidel that I have ongoing medical issues and was recently in a collision. See Transcripts Jan 2, 2019. *The Court: *2I'm going to make the comment you seem to be very lucid at times and then you slow down your speech a lot and seem more confused when you talk about your injuries. I'm not sure what's going on with you, but it's noticeable.* (When she said this, I didn't understand her. I was having a hard time with train of thought, which I told her. I now know because I read the transcripts) She insinuates that I am on drugs, or something negative. I draw the inference that it's negative by her beginning her statement with ("*I'm going to make the comment.*") I begged her to provide some type of relief. She refused. She was impatient and condescending. She has maintained this condescending demeanor at every hearing. You can't see her body language or her facial expression

Page 4 Line 18-28. In my testimony I tell the court I really am not prepared for any trial until I have representation.

Transcripts Jan 2, 1019. Page 4 Line 23

The Respondent: That's like one of the issues. Because I didn't have representation, I don't know the process of discover what he has and what – he hasn't been transparent for a very long time.

I am telling her that I can't really move forward with any hearing without representation.

Family Code 2030 applies to divorce, annulment or legal separation cases and any matter related to those three types of actions. The code states the Family Court must:

1. Ensure that each party has access to legal representation;

2. Preserve each party's rights by ordering whatever amount is reasonably necessary for attorney's fees and for the cost of maintaining or defending the pending action; and
3. Make these orders based on the income and needs of the parties.

Transcripts from Jan 2, 2019 Page 10 Line 20

The court claims that I know what I want. In my testimony I told her because of the lack of representation I didn't have a clear understanding of what I was allowed and how to discover what should be considered because he isn't transparent.

Please take note, I explained to her that I was struggling, because I had health issues I couldn't work. I was borrowing money. Her making this comment was again, her prejudging me as a black woman. As if there was something negative about my behavior. I was a woman who was left by her cheating husband of 23 years. In an attempt to provide for myself I drove for ride-share companies that ended up being less than minimum wage, and I sustained a horrible injury when I was just recovering from a severe back injury and back surgery. ***The judge is trying to negatively characterize me because I am a black woman.***

I begged her to provide some type of relief. She refused. She was impatient and condescending. She has maintained this condescending demeanor at every hearing. You can see her body language or her facial expression. She is always nasty to me. (I hoped the press will attend the ***future hearings to observe how nasty she treated me.***)

The court: He did, but he was served a notice for February 7, 2019. So that's the day we're going to have spousal support hearing. And we will continue the trial setting conference to that date. (***I am highlighting this statement because I want to demonstrate how she is concerned about his rights, and how he is being treated fairly and having proper notice. As the story unfold you will see she has no regard for me, my rights or my disparity.***)

On ***February 7th, 2019*** was my supposal support hearing. I was greeted by the opposing council in the courtroom. She told me we had to confer outside. She asked me if we could move the supposal support hearing. I said, no. This really rattled me. I have gone 3 months without any earnings. I was so afraid the judge would move the date. I later realized , that was strategy to put me on edge. ***Judge Heidel never explained to me that the date couldn't be moved unless I agreed.*** At the onset of the hearing the opposing counsel began to speak. I immediately interrupted because it was my understanding since I was petitioner, the moving part, I should have gone first. I asked, why does she get to go first. I was worried they would ask to hear the case at a different time. ^{*2}***Judge Heidel scolded me, saying "excuse me."*** I apologized. I only wanted to know why she was first if I was the moving party. In the transcript it reads that I apologized at another time during the trial. This isn't what happened at all. She never officially said to me she would hear my case. I begged her telling her I had no income. I had my husband's check stubs. She looked at me and said what do you have? I originally had a presentation to read from because I was suffering from concussion syndrome. By this time, I was truly flustered. The self-help person told me that she would look at tax returns and go from there.

Judge Heidel spent over 30 minutes making me demonstrate how much James had made. She had our Federal Taxes for the past two years. She also had James' check stub that he submitted with his FL-150. My husband's FL 150 submitted to the court on December

See Transcripts Feb 7, 2019. Judge Heidel didn't look at the financials Mr. Gibson's submitted to the court, but she looked at the FL-150 I submitted. Judge Sarah Heidel gave me a nasty look. She said, "You put that you make \$6000 a month." I was bewildered. I filed for spousal support after I had my car accident. My concussion was at its worse. I must have made a mistake. I meant to put \$600. She continued to give me nasty looks and talk down to me. I only wanted some sort of support. I was a housewife for the past 12 years. I stayed home in good faith that my husband would support me. During this time Judge Heidel asked my husband's attorney to speak to the year to date income. His attorney changed the subject by claiming he will have a forced Furlough Day. I explained to the court that he is an executive. Forced Furlough days doesn't apply to him because he is on salary.

Judge Heidel's exact words **Page 11 line 21 Ms. Gibson, if you continue to speak about matters that aren't relevant, I'm going to call this hearing.** I was speaking directly about the matters relevant to the hearing. His income, that fact that he is lying. Judge Heidel bullies me throughout the hearing. Her body language is very oppositional. I am not a lawyer. I am clearly struggling. My husband who makes well over \$170,000. I have no income. I am desperately trying to explain to her the situation. She isn't trying to find out the facts. If she just reviewed the court documents that were submitted by my husband, she can see exactly what he makes a year. I had no income at all. My lights were cut off twice because I couldn't pay the bill.

My husband's attorney points out that his W-2 was filed with the court. Yet, the judge continues to make me struggle. See Transcripts Feb 7, 2019 Page 6 Line 16-24. **The court: I understand you have a lot on your mind, but you need to, for the purposes of this hearing keep it to things that are relevant to this hearing.**

The Respondent: I understand The fact that I raised my daughter is very relevant to spousal support. See California Family Code 4320 to confirm the relevancy of my testimony.

A The extent to which the earning capacity of each party is sufficient to maintain the standard of living established during the marriage, taking into account all of the following:

(a) (2) The extent to which the supported party's present or future earning capacity is impaired by periods of unemployment that were incurred during the marriage to permit the supported party to devote time to domestic duties.

c) The ability of the supporting party to pay spousal support, taking into account the supporting party's earning capacity, earned and unearned income, assets, and standard of living.

(d) The needs of each party based on the standard of living established during the marriage.

I was a housewife. I raised our children. This is very relevant. It is one of the major factors contributing to why I am unable to earn a living to support my standard of living for the past 20 years. My husband was able to pursue his career and continued his education because I was wife and mother.

I then tell her my husband is an abusive alcoholic. His attorney screams, "Your honor!" I tell her I can prove it. I told her he went to AA once. I told her to ask him. She ignored me. She gave me a nasty look. Looked me right in my eyes and ruled against me. She looked at me like there is nothing you can do! I had no income. At the time I didn't know where she came up with the figure for my income. I said, "Can I explain about my income." She shook her head instead of answering verbally, so it wouldn't be on record. She used the figures on the X-spouse that his attorney created

The figures on the X-spouse was inaccurate and she used an income for me when I did not have an income. **She didn't verify any of the figures from the X-spouse that my husband's attorney submitted.**

I later realized she used the figures as if we were in a short-term marriage, we were married for 23 years. The figures on the X-spouse were inaccurate and deceptive. One of the variables used in the X-spouse is the medical insurance, which should have only included what he paid for me. He used the figures including coverage for my daughter. Judge Heidel didn't verify my income. The opposing attorney took 2017 tax return. (Take note it is 2019) The opposing attorney crossed out the actual money I made and wrote in a figure. The judge used that figure that the opposing attorney came up with. It was 2019, but the judges used a made up figure that was created by the opposing. Not to mention the opposing presented an X-spouse calculation without giving me enough time to review evidence prior to the hearing. The figures on the x-spouse calculator was wrong.

Judge Sarah Heidel didn't ask any question relating to what the law says regarding ordering spousal support. Specifically, that I was in abusive marriage for 23 years. When I told judge Heidel, he was abusive she punished me by ruling against me. The law says the court shall consider all. Judge Heidel didn't ask one question pertaining to what the law says she must consider.

California Family Code 4320.

In ordering spousal support under this part, the court shall consider all of the following circumstances:

- (a) The extent to which the earning capacity of each party is sufficient to maintain the standard of living established during the marriage, taking into account all of the following:

While married I lived a comfortable life for the most part. The utilities bills were paid, I had food. We had leisure activities and went on vacation regularly. After the judge ruled in my husband's favor my credit score dropped 130 points in 60 days, I had to apply for food stamps. My lights were cut off multiple times. Please note that my husband of 25 years earns over \$170,000. His salary is published because he is an executive.

- (1) The marketable skills of the supported party; the job market for those skills; the time and expenses required for the supported party to acquire the appropriate education or training to develop those skills; and the possible need for retraining or education to acquire other, more marketable skills or employment.

In 2008, I suffered a horrible fall while working for Chase Paymentech. Progressively my back injury worsened. It was so bad, I couldn't walk. I had surgery in 2016. I submitted my medical records. I also

submitted multiple email records where I begged employers for a job. When I told judge Heidel, about how I looked for a job, she gave me a dirty look. This part of my testimony isn't even in the transcripts.

(2) The extent to which the supported party's present or future earning capacity is impaired by periods of unemployment that were incurred during the marriage to permit the supported party to devote time to domestic duties.

Because of my back injury, and my husband appreciate me being home with my daughter. We agreed that I would be a housewife. Again, this isn't something Judge Heidel addressed.

(b) The extent to which the supported party contributed to the attainment of an education, training, a career position, or a license by the supporting party.

Prior to my back injury, while employed in corporate America. I contributed to the household the first 7 years of our marriage while my husband was preparing to become licensed.

(c) The ability of the supporting party to pay spousal support, taking into account the supporting party's earning capacity, earned and unearned income, assets, and standard of living.

(d) The needs of each party based on the standard of living established during the marriage.

The Judge Heidel left me with no income at all. My monthly household obligation was in excess of \$2000 a month. She gave me nothing. But my husband has over \$15,000 a month to live on.

(e) The obligations and assets, including the separate property, of each party.

(f) The duration of the marriage.

(g) The ability of the supported party to engage in gainful employment without unduly interfering with the interests of dependent children in the custody of the party.

(h) The age and health of the parties.

(i) All documented evidence of any history of domestic violence, as defined in Section 6211, between the parties or perpetrated by either party against either party's child, including, but not limited to, consideration of:

(1) A plea of nolo contendere.

(2) Emotional distress resulting from domestic violence perpetrated against the supported party by the supporting party.

(3) Any history of violence against the supporting party by the supported party.

(4) Issuance of a protective order after a hearing pursuant to Section 6340.

(5) A finding by a court during the pendency of a divorce, separation, or child custody proceeding, or other proceeding under Division 10 (commencing with Section 6200), that the spouse has committed domestic violence.

(j) The immediate and specific tax consequences to each party.

(k) The balance of the hardships to each party.

(l) The goal that the supported party shall be self-supporting within a reasonable period of time. Except in the case of a marriage of long duration as described in Section 4336, a "reasonable period of time" for purposes of this section generally shall be one-half the length of the marriage. However, nothing in this section is intended to limit the court's discretion to order support for a greater or lesser length of time, based on any of the other factors listed in this section, Section 4336, and the circumstances of the parties.

(m) The criminal conviction of an abusive spouse shall be considered in making a reduction or elimination of a spousal support award in accordance with Section 4324.5 or 4325.

(n) Any other factors the court determines are just and equitable

Any other factors the court determines are just and equitable.

(omission) Judge Heidel didn't ask any question relating to what the law says regarding ordering spousal support. Specifically, that I was in abusive marriage for 23 years. When I told he, he was abusive she punished me by ruling against me. The law says you must consider all. Judge Heidel didn't ask one question pertaining to what the law says she must consider.

At the end of the Feb 7th Spousal support hearing the opposing counsel asked to move her March 13th court date to March 14th court date. I declined. Judge Heidel laughed because I said, no. She thought it was funny that I was upset that she didn't award any spousal support. ***I should mentioned any other couple with similar length of marriage and financials the wife would have been awarded \$4200-\$4500.*** I declined moving the court date. When I checked the court Website I no longer saw the March 13th Motion for Vocational Evaluation.

Judge Heidel moved the court date I was not informed. I had no income, I was forced to apply for food stamps. I received a notice saying I had a March 14 appointment for food stamps. I called Judge Heidel's clerk to ask her to move the date. She said if the opposing party agreed. I emailed my husband's attorney asking her to move the date. My husband's attorney is quite deceptive. She blatantly lies in open court. In the email correspondence she declined, she said she wanted to move the date but I declined. I emailed her copy of the original motion which was March 13. I asked her how was it moved to March 14th. She said the judge did it for economical purpose. ***If I declined moving the date why would Judge Heidel move the date if I wasn't in agreement. This statement will make sense as you read on (This was one of multiple times the court changed dates without notifying me***

Each court appearance I struggled with Judge Heidel. I was without council; I had a severe cognitive impairment. The harder I tried to communicate with Judge Heidel the more resistant she became.

The March 14th court hearing Judge Sarah Heidel continued to bully me and ignore the evidence, and testimony I provided. Early on in the hearing I attempted to get on a better foot with Judge Heidel.

Review ***Transcripts date March 14, 2019.*** I tried to explain that I was uneasy during the last hearing because the opposing attorney scared me during the pretrial conference, by suggesting she could have the spousal support hearing postponed. I wasn't aware that wasn't possible without my consent. I am not an attorney, I didn't have knowledge of the law I attempted to explain that I had a challenge communicating because of my concussion. When I explained the challenges, I was experiencing. ***She questioned the validity of what I was saying. I attempted to point out to her when and how I submitted my medical records to the court for evidentiary purposes she reprimanded me.*** She bullies me throughout this hearing and every other hearing thereafter. Please notice how I point out to the court that I feel like the court is being hostile to me without cause. I am behaving like any other woman in divorce court, who isn't represented and lack knowledge of courtroom etiquette. During the hearing for the Vocational Evaluation I state that I am not represented. I communicate that I would be open to

revisiting the subject of the court once I am represented. Judge Sarah Heidel starts right up with harassing me. Page 2 Line 15. She starts to say, she doesn't have medical records associated with my conditions. You are going to see a theme throughout every engagement she is going to verbally say I have not submitted my medical records. Each time I respond accordingly.

There are two issues.

1. The issue that I am not represented by an attorney
2. Secondly, What the law says about a Motion to Request A Vocational Evaluation.

Judge Heidel rules in favor of the vocational evaluation although the opposing council hasn't not submitted the credentials of the supposed evaluator. Please acknowledge the judged moved original date from March 13th to March 14th in favor of my husband, when I declined. I state that I would like to revisit the issue when I am properly represented by council. Family Code 2030

California Family CODE FAM 2030 states the following"

(a)(1) *In a proceeding for dissolution of marriage, nullity of marriage, or legal separation of the parties, and in any proceeding subsequent to entry of a related judgment, the court shall ensure that each party has access to legal representation, including access early in the proceedings,* to preserve each party's rights by ordering, if necessary based on the income and needs assessments, I one party, except a governmental entity, to pay to the other party, or to the other party's attorney, whatever amount is reasonably necessary for attorney's fees and for the cost of maintaining or defending the proceeding during the pendency of the proceeding.) I am communicating to Judge Heidel that I would prefer an attorney. I explain in detail why I want to be represented. According to California Family Code 2030 I have a right to be represented to be on an even playing field. My rights under the Fourteenth Amended is being violated. I said, *"I cannot really speak to it because I'm not an attorney. Now, I am at an extreme deficit because I'm not represented today."* It is my position that she declines my request and disregard California Family Code 2030 because I am a black woman. She orders the vocational evaluation depended on the opposing attorney submitting the credential of the evaluator.

First the law Family Code 4331: PERTAINING TO VOCATIONAL EVALUATION.

(a) In a proceeding for dissolution of marriage or for legal separation of the parties, the court may order a party to submit to an examination by a vocational training counselor. The examination shall include an assessment of the party's ability to obtain employment based upon the party's age, health, education, marketable skills, employment history, and the current availability of employment opportunities. The focus of the examination shall be on an assessment of the party's ability to obtain employment that would allow the party to maintain herself or himself at the marital standard of living.

(b) The order may be made only on motion, for **good cause, and on notice to the party to be examined and to all parties. The order shall specify the time, place, manner, conditions, scope of the examination, and the person or persons by whom it is to be made.**

(c) A party who does not comply with an order under this section is subject to the same consequences provided for failure to comply with an examination ordered pursuant to Chapter 15 (commencing with [Section 2032.010](#)) of Title 4 of Part 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

(d) “Vocational training counselor” for the purpose of this section means an individual with sufficient knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education in interviewing, administering, and interpreting tests for analysis of marketable skills, formulating career goals, planning courses of training and study, and assessing the job market, to qualify as an expert in vocational training under [Section 720 of the Evidence Code](#).

(e) A vocational training counselor shall have at least the following qualifications:

(1) A master's degree in the behavioral sciences, or other postgraduate degree that the court finds provides sufficient training to perform a vocational evaluation.

(2) Qualification to administer and interpret inventories for assessing career potential.

(3) Demonstrated ability in interviewing clients and assessing marketable skills with an understanding of age constraints, physical and mental health, previous education and experience, and time and geographic mobility constraints.

(4) Knowledge of current employment conditions, job market, and wages in the indicated geographic area.

(5) Knowledge of education and training programs in the area with costs and time plans for these programs.

(f) The court may order the supporting spouse to pay, in addition to spousal support, the necessary expenses and costs of the counseling, retraining, or education.

720.

(a) A person is qualified to testify as an expert if he has special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education sufficient to qualify him as an expert on the subject to which his testimony relates. Against the objection of a party, such special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education must be shown before the witness may testify as an expert.

(b) A witness' special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may be shown by any otherwise admissible evidence, including his own testimony.
(Enacted by Stats. 1965, Ch. 299.)

Judge Sarah Heidel hears my request for Attorney Fees. I want to point out something to you. See March 14th transcripts Page 1

Judge Heidel rules in favor of the vocational evaluation although the opposing council hasn't submitted the credentials of the supposed evaluator. Also, the request fails to detail the scope of the evaluation. Please refer to Family Code 4331

Judge Sarah Heidel rules in favor of the opposing in the case of Vocational Evaluation. When Judge Heidel ruled, I was not quite clear on what she said exactly. I knew she did rule in their favor pending something related to the evaluator's credentials. Please remember I am suffering from a concussion, I struggle to follow along.

(I believe the judge was in error when she didn't allow me attorney fees early on in the divorce proceedings.

I waited for the Finding and Order after the hearing document. I was waiting for this document because it was my intent to file a Motion For Reconsideration.

Motion for Reconsideration : This is when a party that is affected by a trial court's order asks the same court to reconsider the order, based on new facts, circumstances, or law. You must file a motion for reconsideration within 10 days of being served with the written notice of entry of the order you want the court to reconsider.

After waiting several days after the hearing for the Findings and Order after the hearing, I filed a Motion For Reconsideration of the Order.

March 14th Vocational Evaluation ruling was an Improper Ruling: See Family Law Code regarding Request/Proposal/Order of Vocational Evaluation in the case of divorce.

The order failed to include specific elements outlined in CCF 4331

1. The order failed to show Good Cause for the evaluation.
2. The order did not specify the time, place, manner, conditions, scope of the examination

Specifically, Conditions and Scope of the Examination

3. The Order failed to demonstrate the Qualifications of the evaluator specifically See below

(e) A vocational training counselor shall have at least the following qualifications:

- (1) A master's degree in the behavioral sciences, or other postgraduate degree that the court finds provides sufficient training to perform a vocational evaluation.
- (2) Qualification to administer and interpret inventories for assessing career potential.
- (3) Demonstrated ability in interviewing clients and assessing marketable skills with an understanding of age constraints, physical and mental health, previous education and experience, and time and geographic mobility constraints.
- (4) Knowledge of current employment conditions, job market, and wages in the indicated geographic area.
- (5) Knowledge of education and training programs in the area with costs and time plans for these programs

4. Failed to adhere to evidence code.

(d) "Vocational training counselor" for the purpose of this section means an individual with sufficient knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education in interviewing, administering, and interpreting tests for analysis of marketable skills, formulating career goals, planning courses of training and study, and assessing the job market, to qualify as an expert in vocational training under Section 720 of the Evidence Code

See Section 720 of the Evidence Code

(a) A person is qualified to testify as an expert if he has special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education sufficient to qualify him as an expert on the subject to which his testimony relates. Against the objection of a party, such special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education must be shown before the witness may testify as an expert.

(b) A witness' special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may be shown by any otherwise admissible evidence, including his own testimony.

(Enacted by Stats. 1965, Ch. 299.)

Judge Heidel ignore my request to revisit when I have counsel. She doesn't abide by the law Family Code 2030 The opposing attorney hasn't submitted the credentials for the evaluation. Why didn't she continue the case to allow me *to have representation and allow the petitioner to submit the credentials to the court.*

March 14th Motion for Legal Fees.

After Judge Heidel ruled against me for the vocational evaluation. I started my requesting legal fees. I tried to get on a better footing with Judge. I began explaining my cognitive challenges. As I tell her I have a concussion.

The Respondent: So I'm just delayed. And I'm forgetful and sometimes I have to grasp for words. So when you say do I want accommodations, in what way? How can you accommodate me other than patience?

The Court: Well. I will do my best to be patient. There's—no documentation that you have a concussion. You've been saying this for several months.*

The Respondent: I submitted to you, ma'am I submitted my documentation (the rest of my words are cut off) The issue with the court reporter is consistent. Take note Judge Heidel continued to make reference to the court reporter being unable to understand. I suspect that is another tactic of hers. I have since went before two other judges during this case, her court reporter is the only one who had issues understanding me)

The Court : I'm going to actually cut you off because now we're getting far afield. This is not why we're her today. I understand your position. You have a concussion. But let's get back to the matter on calendar

The Respondent: But you want to know what the documentation—I submitted with the court what my response is. So for future reference do you want to know?)

Judge Sarah Heidel makes a statement that I have not documented my condition. I attempted to tell her when I document my condition and where she can find the documentation. She cuts me off and continues to verbally attack me. I do not have an attorney; I am at mentally deficient. Judge Sarah Heidel consistently questions the validity of what I am saying. I have never given her any reason to question my credibility. Judge Heidel fails to review any of the documentation that I submit because she does not care about fairness or equity in this divorce because I AM A BLACK WOMAN, AND THE OPPOSING IS A WHITE MALE who I believe knows Judge Sarah Heidel personally. Take note she said she would try her best to be patient with me, but cuts me off from speaking in the same breathe. I want to point out to you in a

future hearing Judge Sarah Heidel states I have not documented my medical condition. Judge Sarah Heidel refuses to acknowledge anything on my behalf.

(Transcripts March 14 page 2,3,4) You can also see on the same transcripts page 3 line 11-27. I explain about my back injury. During the spousal support hearing she gave me a dirty look when I sad down. When I mentioned that my husband was an abusive alcoholic. She tried to claim that she wasn't annoyed. But she did. I thought I wasn't allowed to sit, because during the last hearing I stood. I tried to sit toward the end of the hearing because I have a serious back condition. She was visibly annoyed with me. My frame of mine was this lady is mean to me. Why is she mean to me? Was I being disrespectful by sitting? I didn't know, I remember sitting and her facial expression and he demeanor. As a black person you try to rationalize the bad treatment. You don't want it to be your skin color.

During the Vocational Evaluation hearing the opposing attorney speaks. Judge Heidel alludes to the idea that I am able to respond freely but this isn't the case **See March 14th Transcripts Page 7 line 7.-28**

I asked, can I speak. I ask can I read a statement. Judge Heidel says, not at this time. Then I try to speak again. I struggled to speak, at every turn she challenges my effort to communicate. I state that I feel like I am being treated unfairly because I am a black woman. I state that I have never observed black litigants each time I come to this court.

Throughout our divorce proceedings I have pointed out how my husband and his attorney has misrepresented the truth. I have submitted conflicting evidence to demonstrate that my husband is blatantly lying. **See Transcripts Page 15 line 14-line 21.** He falsified Form FL-150 stating a mutual fund (incidentally this is joint funds that he converted without my consent) I showed that mutual fund is actually valued at \$31,699. I showed her another mutual fund of \$50,000 that he didn't even document on the FL-150. Judge Sarah Heidel never makes one comment or question my husband about the conflicting information. **Transcripts March 14, 2019** See Page 17 I point out another falsification my husband submitted to the court. Judge Sarah Heidel doesn't question James W. Gibson III about any of the false information that he falsified, and I presented tangible evidence to demonstrate he and his attorney's deceit.

Transcripts Feb 7, 2020 See page 19 Line 9-21. I am explaining why I became housewife. I mention while she and the opposing attorney were working on their careers, I was being a housewife. I was trying to make the point that I sacrificed my ability to have a career to be a mom, and appease my James W. Gibson Judge Heidel retorts ^{*13} **"Who are you Speaking to"** Notice my response. Then she claims that my position isn't relevant. My position is very relevant. Judge Sarah Heidel is blatantly bullying me. She has no reason to speak to me in such a way. I was the model wife and mother, she has no right to talk to me in such a way. I was trying to demonstrate why I don't have the ability to afford council. I in good faith thought my husband would always be the income provider. I honored our marriage and our positions in our marriage.

Transcript March 14th, 2019 See Page 20 line 20. Notice every time I make a valid point, she interrupts me, says I am speaking too fast or it is not relevant to the case.

Transcripts See Page 20 line 14-25 I tell her that I need to hire an attorney to subpoena his bank records. I have repeatedly demonstrated to her how he openly lies about his income and

finances. At the end she doesn't award what is adequate for a 20 year marriage considering our assets

Transcript Page 21 – 22 I tell the court that my husband is an abusive alcoholic. His attorney objects. I ask if I am allowed to cross examine him. Judge Sarah Heidel declines. She says why is it relevant that he is an alcoholic. The relevancy is he blatantly lied in his response for spousal support. He claims that I misappropriated funds, when the truth our divorce was caused by his verbal abuse during his drunken benders and his infidelity.

See page 21-22: Each time I address my husband alcoholism Judge Sarah Heidel begins to verbally attack me. She blatantly lies by suggesting I am being disrespectful. She lies, by saying I am making a face. She bullies me over and over. Please read my words. These are not the words of a hostile person. These are the words of a woman begging for mercy justice and respect.

March 14th Transcripts See page 24 line 20 I point out that I am living well below the standard of living I experienced throughout the marriage. Judge Heidel does nothing to make me whole. She doesn't even acknowledge that her previous spousal support ruling was erroneous and egregious.

Page 29 of the transcripts March 14, 2019. Judge Heidel reprimands me for laughing. Let me fully explain about not receiving my **RFO**, I did giggle. I giggled because Ariel Carter has been blatantly deceptive. I thought it humorous that she had the gumption to again lie in open court. His attorney's deceit becomes increasing flagrant. If you recall, she wanted to move her vocational evaluation originally on March 13th to March the 14 because March 14th was my hearing for attorney fees. His attorney egregiously operates outside the lines of the law. She does this because it is clear this woman has a personal dislike for me because I am a black woman. Judge Heidel doesn't know me. There is no reason for her to continue to attack me. By this point a reasonable person has to see that this Judge Sarah Heidel is openly showing bias in favor of my white male spouse. See page 30 My husband attorney goes on a tirade of lies. *page 30*

She attacks me again. **Line 24** I tell her it's disturbing that she continues to be critical of me. I ask her why doesn't she request that the opposing party to presented evidence of their claims. I am dealing with a lawyer who passed the bar, yet the lawyer fails to bring the evidence for her petition, and she has no evidence to support her verbal claims. Sarah Heidel does not question or request any tangible evidence by the opposing attorney, an officer of the court. **Page 30 line 28** I directly say to Judge Heidel, "I would like you to ask her to prove it. **Page 33** again when I am trying to make my point Judge Sarah Heidel makes these bogus claims about my behavior, attitude or gesture. She claims I pointed my figure at her. I picked up my purse from the floor and pulled out my food stamp card. ***You have to notice every time I make a point Judge Sarah Hiedel attacks me for no blatant reason other than she is a racist. These are Jim Crow Sambo antics.***

March 14th Transcripts Page 34 line 1. Judge Heidel claims she imputed me with \$1820 a month. She claims that she was imputing me with minimum wage. This is erroneous and a fabrication. What Judge Sarah Heidel did was use the \$1820 from 2017 taxes that my husband attorney submitted to the court. His lawyer crossed out the actually figure on our taxes and wrote in \$1820. During the Spousal Support Case when I told her my husband was an alcoholic. She looked at me and ruled against me. Judge Heidel originally said for him to pay me \$1400. My husband lawyer

coax the judge by saying aren't you going to use her income. Then I said can I respond. Judge Heidel shook her head instead of verbally answering.

How does she make this assessment of what I could be earning? I hadn't held a regular job since 2008, She was insinuation that I could be earning minimum wage, but the \$1820 isn't the number that would be minimum wage. She is attempted to cover herself from not ordering the appropriate spousal support and using the fabricated figure that Ariel Carter used on the X-Spouse form

Judge Sarah Heidel is attempted to cover herself from not ordering the appropriate spousal support. I show her my Food Stamp Card. The court finds that the proposed payor is able to pay for both parties' legal representation. (I point out this statement because she doesn't award enough to cover my legal expenses. Then notice I thanked her for the small inadequate \$5000 she awarded. She reprimands me for thanking her. I thanked her because I continued to try to be polite and respectful and she only treated me with disdain and disrespect. I did nothing to her **I have pointed out time and time again that her attitude towards me is hostile. This is unbecoming of an officer of the court who hold a judicial seat.**

I'd like to speak about Judge Heidel's assessment and reasoning for the attorney fee and assessment March 14, 2019 Transcripts Page 36. Line 11

In making this determination, the court has considered several factors A, The Litigation Nature and Difficulty and Its Intricacies and importance. This case involves routine issues regarding the division of property and spousal support. Extensive Discovery is not necessary. Although some investigation and the assistance form experts may be necessary. Based on the nature and difficulty of the litigation, skilled legal training and ability is necessary.

Line 4-8. The court finds that at least 15 hours are necessary to do the work in this case.

- I. How does Judge Heidel determine the litigation nature, difficulty and Its Intricacies and importance.*
 - a. In the state of California equity is important. I submitted evidence that I was receiving food stamps and my credit score had dropped 120 points after she declined my spousal support request. Standard of living is paramount in any divorce case. I have indicated that my husband spent 10 years evading child support with Johnson City, Tennessee. I demonstrated that our taxes were seized, and his passport was seized. I explained that I did not want to agree to the Vocational Evaluation without an attorney.*
 - i. My husband had paid \$6000 to his attorney by this date.(I should mention as of today, I know he has paid \$40,000 in attorney fees.*
 - ii. What is the typical hours spent in the preliminary process of a long term divorce in Ca?*
 - iii. If she is orders a Vocational Evaluation, I have a right to hire my own independent expert.*
 - iv. I submitted 3 retainer agreements where each attorney wanted \$10,000*

I am highlighting these points, because what Judge Sarah Heidel does at the next hearing is nefarious and iniquitous. I reference the Central Park Five, we all know the story of " When They See Us" How are these miscarriages of justice rampant in the US legal system? Judges like Sarah Heidel is sitting on the bench. America has a long racist history and the leverage of white privilege.

My husband was so angry about having to pay for my attorney he went and filed a bogus Restraining Order. The Restraining order wasn't properly served. I found the summons taped to my door. I appeared in court anyway. **Judge Sarah Heidel recused herself.** In my response I

told how my husband came to the home, and taunted me. He started bragging about how Judge Heidel didn't like me. He claimed he knew Judge Sarah Heidel. My husband knowing Judge Sarah Heidel is conceivable, my husband is an executive for an agency that houses probation and foster children, he works directly with the Family Court. He has worked in this industry for over 20 years. My husband said that she didn't like "hood rats" He was referring to me. That is a derogatory racist term characterizing black women. I want to point out Judge Sarah Heidel was appointed to the Pomona Court a couple months before my husband filed for divorce in 2017. He waited more than a year after filing to follow up on the divorce. I received a Jan 2 court date six months before. I believe he strategically filed to ensure she was given the case. I do believe he knows Judge Sarah Heidel. My husband was the COO of Optimist Youth Home in Highland Park. His agency hosted many events in the Pasadena area. It is very possible my husband knows Judge Sarah Heidel outside of our divorce case Judge Sarah Heidel lives in the Pasadena area.. Also, why did he file in Pomona? Our family home is in Hacienda Heights and my husband works in Highland Park. ***Judge Sarah Heidel recusing herself from the Restraining order implies she is unable to be impartial in hearing with me and my husband as litigants. Once she recused herself, she should have recused herself from the case.***

The Restrainer Order was heard by Judge John A Slauson. Judge Slauson ruled against my husband because he caught him in multiple lies. My husband brought in an email as evidence. The email contradicted his testimony. My husband expected Judge Slauson to not verify the evidence. He expect Judge Slauson to hear the hearing like Judge Sarah Heidel had, not questioning the evidence and testimony. During this hearing Judge Slauson gave us the opportunity to question each other and he reviewed the evidence a loud in court. He questioned my husband's testimony. You never hear Judge Sarah Heidel question any of the opposing attorney's testimony, she never reviewed any evidence in court. NEVER! Judge John Slauson caught James W. Gibson in a lie, and so he ruled against James W. Gibson.

I filed a Motion to Reconsider Spousal Support dated May 3rd. shortly after Judge Sarah Heidel ruled in my husband favor for spousal support. Remember I also filed a Motion to Reconsider Vocational Evaluation. I was not represented. I wanted to revisit the issues once I had legal representation. The Motion to Reconsider was based on lack of evidence of the evaluators creditals, and also the supposed order failed to have the necessary elements as outlined by California Family Code 2030. (The question still remain was there every a technical order because there were never Order and Findings after the hearing filed.

Please understand I have NO MONEY. I am suffering from a severe concussion. I spent that last 10 years dealing with chronic pain from a severe back injury. I was given a raw deal on my workers compensation case because I wasn't aware that the person who pays for the evaluation usually benefit from evaluation. I only wanted to understand my rights under the Vocational Evaluation.

I had \$5000 awarded to hire an attorney. I called around to find an attorney, I was unable find anyone for the \$5000. I submitted 3 different quotes for retainer agreements in my request for attorney fees. Each attorney wanted \$10,000 retainer. I decide to call Aletha Jones. She was an associated at the firm my husband hired. Aletha Jones appeared the very first time on Jan. 2. She and I walked to the elevators together after the Jan 2, 2019 first appearance. Aletha Jones was

very sympathetic to me. Aletha Jones is an African American. While walking to the elevator she acknowledged that the judge was being racist to me. She didn't come out and say, Yeah, she is racist, but she acknowledged her nasty behavior towards me. Black professional can't come out and say something is racist, they will then be considered playing the race card. It's a catch 22. But because I knew she was a genuine human being I called her. I asked her if she could suggest an attorney. I asked her because I felt like my divorce would go smooth if I was represented by someone she recommended. This information comes into play later. Aletha didn't refer anyone. I have an email that documents Ms. Smith telling me she will call me later. My phone records also show Ms. Smith and my conversation.

I eventually hired C.O.R.E lead attorney Simon Budhwani. Mr. Budhwani left a good impression on me. I explained that I had been living on credit cards because my husband abandoned me. This was early April, 2019. Mr. Budhwani couldn't get me into see him until Mid-Late April. He understood that I needed some sort of support, that I was a housewife for 12 years. My car was retired by Uber, it was also way too old for Lyft. I had no means of income. He planned to help me with the spousal support and also do RFO for additional attorney fees. The May 3rd court day was right around the corner. Mr. Budhwani planned to see if the opposing side would agree to move the court date to July. I had a May 3rd RFO Motion to Reconsider Spousal support. A June 14th Motion to Reconsider on the Vocational Evaluation.

An Associate with C.O.R.E Charles Major emailed Ariel Carter to ask if she would agree to move the court dates. Ariel Carter agreed to move the court date. I am including the email with this correspondence. **(emails regarding moving the date) (Also Ariel Carter and Charles Major previously worked together)** Once the court dates were moved, I felt confident that my attorney and my husband's attorney could work things out. My husband attorney has been very aggressive. She has been employing every tactic to bill my husband. My husband is deep in his alcoholism. He is angry and bitter. Anything Ariel Carter suggested to attack me, my husband agrees to. I felt once she has to negotiate with an attorney she couldn't mislead or use the deceptive practices she's been employing throughout the case. I should also point out during my daughter college graduation my husband told me his attorney Ariel Carter was his girlfriend. I know that I should have her investigated for misconduct, but I don't have the time or resources. At any rate, I believed it would have been a good chance that we could resolve issues outside of court if two attorneys were working on the case.

When C.O.R.E associate attorney, Charles called the clerk to ask to move the court date, the clerk Deana told Charles that someone had to appear. This is very uncommon. ***I want you to take note, that when I called to have the March 14, 2019 date moved. I was told by the clerk Deana as long as the opposing party agreed it would be fine. Why would someone have to appear to ask to move the dates? Judge Sarah Heidel didn't award enough when considering a marriage of 23 years. Why would she want me to use money she awarded to come to court and ask to move the date?*** My husband's attorney agreed to appear. She was there for another matter. In this case ,Judge Heidel instructed the clerk to say someone must appear This sounds very fishy to me at the very beginning. Now I am going to detail you on what happened at this May 3rd court hearing that I thought was being moved.

Directing you *May 3rd Transcript Page 1 line 28 and page 2 line (1-2)* My husband's attorney Ariel Carter explains to Judge Heidel that both parties were requesting a continuance. She even acknowledges that the continuance was necessary because I now had representation. In the name of fairness, I should have an attorney. Judge Heidel begins her rant against me. Judge Heidel states that asking for a continuance isn't a good reason not to appear. She is alluding to the idea that she wasn't aware that Charles Major, an associate at CORE called, the clerk he was told someone had to appear.

Judge Sarah Heidel's attitude is indicative of abusive of power and White Privilege. Judge Heidel declining the continuance has no legal premise. She is using judicial discretion to discriminate against me, a black woman and with malice and forethought hindering my position in this divorce case. Judge Heidel award me 15 hours towards attorney's fees. If my attorney would have appeared it would have cost me a minimum of 8 hours of court fees. Ultimately both parties agreed to move the date. Judge Sarah Heidel motive is to sabotage my position in the divorce.

*Testimony from May 3rd hearing May 3rd Transcripts Page 2 line 9-18) Ms. Carter: I understand, your honor. My understanding is that respondent's counsel was retained Limited Scope to continue this matter and to file an additional RFO. **There are several RFO's on the court's calendar right now. And in an effort to try to consolidate some of the matters and hopefully resolve some of the matters, we did agree to continue today's hearing out to a date in July, As well as advance response June 14th and continue that as well.***

Judge Heidel denied the continuance. Please be aware both parties were agreeing to a continuance. Judge Heidel has no legal basis for denying the continuance. Heidel implied that my attorney just didn't appear, as if he did something that wasn't normal and usual. Simon Budhwani from what I know is a reputable skilled attorney. He wouldn't just not show up to court. Simon Budhwani received the \$5000 attorney fees payment made by my husband. He could have just appeared and billed me. I later discover this is a plot

Charles Major with C.O.R.E law group called the clerk to request a continuance. Charles spoke to the clerk Deanne Lee Kistler. Deanne Lee Kistler told Charles that judge is requesting that someone appears. Ariel Carter agreed to appear and request a continuance on our behalf because she would be in that court that day on other matters. On May 3rd, Simon Budhwani called me to tell me that the judge ruled against us, even though council requested a continuance. I called the clerk to ask her. **(My phone records document my call to the court on May 3rd)** I asked, "Deanne did my attorney call to request a continuance and if so did you tell him that someone had to appear to request a continuance." Deanne Lee Kistler responded, "No Comment". I asked a second time, to be clear I am asking did my attorney call to ask for a continuance and you told them someone had to appear. Deanne Lee Kistler's second response was, "No Comment." I thanked her, wished her a good weekend and hung up the phone.

May 3rd Transcripts page 3 line 12-14:

The Court: Ok and then regarding the trial setting conference, I guess I'll take your view the issues of what needs to be resolved for trial. Why is she asking opposing these questions when I am not present and there isn't representation? I didn't purposely do something erroneous. My state of mind was, I now have representation. This will be handled amongst the licensed professional. Why is she penalizing me? A rational person and an officer of the court should be aware that I am a layman with limited knowledge of the law. In every aspect of her attitude, behavior and actions how does this apply to the law? Where is my right to Due Process?

During May 3rd court hearing. The court begins her verbal attack of me. She makes reference to limited scope hiring of my attorneys (***I didn't request limited scope agreement***) It was my understanding it was limited scope because I was unable to provide a credit card. I was unable to provide a credit card because Judge Heidel didn't order supposal support. My credit cards went into default because I had no income. My credit card debt was marital debt that Judge Heidel didn't consider when ordering Spousal Support. Judge Heidel called me unreasonable because I hired an attorney to handle my spousal support matter and to request additional attorney fees. ***How my behavior is unreasonable?*** I was virtually a housewife for the last 15 years of my marriage. I took responsibilities for the household duties including raising our daughter who received a Merit Scholarship to St John University, I cooked and cleaned and emotional supported my husband. I emotionally supported my husband to my best ability while being in an emotionally abusive marriage. I endured his infidelity. When he left me, I did everything I could to support myself by driving for ride share which compromised my already injured spine. My husband earns \$180,000 annually. He is an executive for this non-profit. His annual salary is published. I didn't earn an income for the last 10 years of our marriage. Judge Sarah Heidel was aware that I have known medical issues, that my husband is abusive. I even submitted several email correspondences where I begged employers for a job. These job search email spanning over 2 years of me looking for work around 2016. She also knew that I am receiving food stamps. Judge Heidel is behaving like a classic racist in power in America. Treating me less than human. Judge Sarah Heidel goes on to make a negative comment about awarding additional attorney's fees.

See May 3rd Transcripts Page 4 line 24-28

The Court: I'm concerned because the court did award attorney's fees and the respondent has gone and hired an attorney limited scope to address a motion to reconsider a temporary spousal support order and to file a motion for additional attorney fees. I'm concerned about the reasonableness of additional attorney's fees requested going forward if that's the way the attorney's fees are going to be spent.

C.O.R.E contacted me asking me to sign a limited scope amendment. I didn't understand why, at the time I thought it was because of my small retainer. As I read this over and over, I become suspect.

My attorney is attempting to consolidate the dates to be cost effective with the inadequate award Judge Heidel ordered. ***She has the audacity to say this statements in open court.*** The opposing attorney and my husband agreed to move the dates. In the name of justice, why would she rule is

such a way? Why would she make such statements about me? There is an obvious bias. This type of treatment of black people has been going on since we were kidnapped and brought to his country. Judge Heidel attitude is that of a racist who thinks I deserve less than a white woman. I am living well below the standard of living I was accustomed to for 23 years. Judge Sarah Heidel used incorrect numbers when ordering temporary spousal support. She has NO legal basis. Up to this point she has only done things in favor of my husband. I am going to mention that his attorney lies in open court. Ariel Carter opposing attorney doesn't follow legal 2019 California Rules of Court. Ariel Carter is aware that Judge Sarah Heidel is showing extreme bias. It is obvious to every person in the court room.

I am going to try my best to explain the turn of events to the best of my ability. I am suffering from Concussion Syndrome. I am also suffering from sleep deprivation. I am scheduled for a sleep Study.^h I have horrible time with train of thought and keeping things in proper sequence. The events become much more complicated. Again, I had a June 14th court for Motion to Reconsider the vocational evaluation. Let me be very clear! I was not trying to evade the evaluation. I just wanted to have a lawyer advise me on the specifics and my rights. Ariel Carter also had a date June 21, she had a Motion to Compel Discovery and Motion to Compel Vocational Evaluation.

I had NO KNOWLEDGE OF EITHER OF THESE RFO and Ariel Carter as of May 3, 2019 made NO EFFORT TO INFORM ME OR C.O.R.E LAW GROUP.

Ariel Carter attempts to move the dates to July to give my attorney ample time to get caught up to speed and prepare. Judge Heidel declines and moves my June 14th court date to my husband Motion to Compel Court date to June 21st. Please be aware, I am not present in court. The opposing attorney and my attorney of record agreed to move the dates to July. Judge Sarah Heidel has no legal basis for her actions. She moves my date to June 21 from June 14th. Again, her actions are always leaning in favor of my white husband. A normal person wouldn't view Judge Heidel as being unbiased. She rules against me at every possible turn without cause. Even when the opposing party is in agreement, she rules against me to make my life difficult. **A reasonable person cannot explain this behavior other than prejudice and or bias.** She goes on to say that she will not hear a request for spousal support. I was in a 23 year marriage where my husband was the sole income earner for the last 12 years of the marriage. Judge Sarah Heidel didn't order spousal support. I have no income and am now on Food Stamps. Judge Sarah Heidel's moves my court date of June 14, to my husband court date of June 21st. Every action is in favor of my white husband. She orders me NO temporary spousal's support when I demonstrated that my husband is in the top 20 percental earnings in California. Judge Heidel rules against what both parties agreed upon. **What is her legal reasoning if both parties agreed?**

The situation goes from bad to worse! My attorney is a busy attorney with a heavy case load. It was very challenging to consult with my attorney. This is the reason we asked to move all court dates to July. After the attorney calls me to explain what happened I went on the court website. ***This is when I saw a Motion to Compel Discovery.*** Opposing attorney claims she mailed me

Interrogatories. I never received interrogatories. As of May 3rd, Ariel Carter does nothing to confirm the receipt of interrogatories. Ariel Carter doesn't mention the Interrogatories.

This turn of event is one of the most important in this case. I knew nothing about Interrogatories. Opposing attorney Ariel Carter did nothing to make me aware that she mailed Interrogatories. I saw my husband April 16 in New York City. He asked me about the Vocational Evaluation, but he did not ask me about Interrogatories. Matter of fact, I sent his attorney Integratory back in Feb. His attorney rejected them. I told my husband, why would she reject them. That she was just trying to create billable hours. If Ariel Carter did in fact mail Interrogatories why didn't she mention this to my attorney. I am including the email between Charles Major and Ariel Carter in this email. If there was a matter of Interrogatories why didn't Ariel Carter mention this in her correspondence with Charles Major. Why didn't my husband mention the discovery when he and I were in New York. Regarding Interrogatories. I had no reason not to acknowledge and respond to Interrogatories. I was married to my husband for 23 years. He was the primary income earner. I have no motive not to respond. Why did Ariel Carter make any effort to Meet and Confer regarding Interrogatories?

In order to file a Motion to Compel Pre-Motion Requirements

Timing

- **45 Days For Written Discovery** – Motions to Compel may be filed 45 days after the insufficient response. [CCP 2030.300(c) (Interrogatories); 2031.310(c) (Inspection Demands); 2033.290(c) (Requests for Admission)].
- **60 Days for Depositions** – Motions to Compel deposition answers may be filed within 60 days of the completion of the deposition transcript. [CCP 2025.480(b).]
- **No Time Limit for No Response or Unverified Responses** – An unverified response is no response at all, and there is no time limit to move to compel. [CCP 2030.290(b) (Interrogatories); CCP 2031.300(b) (Requests for Production); CCP 2033.280(b) (Requests for Admission)].
- **Add Time if Responding Party Served Insufficient Responses via Mail** – Add 5 (mailed in CA), 10 (Mailed in US outside of CA), or 20 (mailed outside of US) days to the 45 day time limit if the *responding* party served their insufficient responses via mail. Source: [CCP 1013(a)].
- **Final Deadline is 15 Days Before Initial Trial Date** – Final day to hear discovery motions, including motions to compel, is 15 days before the initial discovery deadline. Practically this means the motion must be filed well in advance. [CCP 2024.020(a)]

Meet and Confer

- **Must Meet and Confer Prior to Filing a Motion To Compel** – The moving party must include a declaration stating facts that show a *reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution* of each issue presented by the motion. [CCP 2016.040].
 - **Sanctions for Failing to Meet and Confer** – The court “shall” sanction the moving party if he files a Motion to Compel before attempting a “reasonable and good faith attempt” to meet and confer with the responding party. Note, there is no meet and confer duty when no response is served. [CCP 2023.010(i); 2023.020; 2023.030].
-

Please be aware Ariel Carter did not try to Meet and Confer in regards to Discovery. I was not aware of Interrogatories until I went on the website to see the June 14th date had been moved. This is when I discovered a June 21 date Motion to Compel. *What Judge Sarah Heidel and Ariel Carter try to do is confuse matters by combining the Vocational Evaluation Motion to Compel with the Interrogatory Motion to Compel.*

In summary, the Judge Heidel ruled against me when both parties agreed to a continuance. She made disparaging remarks about me when I wasn't present. She moved my June 14th court date for Motion to Reconsider to June 21 without my knowledge or consent.

I was finally able to talk to my attorney on June 19th. He was very concerned about Judge Sarah Heidel's behavior and obvious bias. We agreed that I would file a Motion to have Judge Sarah Heidel removed for misconduct and judicial abuse. C.O.R.E Law Group refunded my money and provided a substitution of attorney. C.O.R.E law refunded my money less the cost of transcripts.

On the June 21, 2019 court date, I planned to get record of current family cases that Judge Sarah Heidel ruled on. I wanted to demonstrate Judge Heidel's attitude, demeanor and rulings were in direct contrast to how Judge Heidel presided over my divorce case. I observed Judge Heidel being lenient towards a white male who claimed to have cognitive issues. The white male has problems communicating. She was patient. She also lowered his support without any real evidence. Again, another case with a white male and minority female Frank and Gema Quinn. KD028303 (I mention the cognitive issue because I have a severe case of Post-Concussion Syndrome exasperated by my insomnia brought on by the stress of the discrimination. You can observe Judge Heidel has not regard for my cognitive challenges.

In another case, Judge Sarah Heidel appears to again show bias to the white male. The female is a non -English speaking. The case number is KD057019 Maria Moore vs Sean Moore. She requested an interpreter. Judge Sarah Heidel attempted to circumvent the interpreter. A Social Service rep was present who insisted on the interpreter. In this specific case the Petitioner, a Hispanic female claimed the white male was abusing her son. Social Service was there on behalf of the child. Judge Heidel speaks highly of the white male who was accused of abusing his son. (***I am mentioning this because in my response to the Vocational Evaluation I asked if I could choose the evaluator***) (***Also this specific case is a Family Court issue with a white male and a minority female.***) Again, Judge Sarah Heidel appeared to show racial bias in favor of a white male who was accused of abusing his son.

I went to the hall to take a picture of the docket so I could research each case for comparison in my "Motion To Disqualify". When I came back in the courtroom, I attempted to cut off my phone while I sat. I have an iphone. The button that cuts off the phone is adjacent to the button that takes a photo. I accidentally push the picture button. The phone flashed. I was startled! I went in the hall to completely cut off the phone. When I was in the hall an officer, a bailiff approached me. His name is Ojeda. He said someone said I was taking photos. I laughed and I said no I accidentally pushed the camera button when I was cutting off my phone. I said do you want to see where I accidentally took a photo. I showed him the blank photos. I already had moved to the trash. I also showed him the photos of the docket that I took photos of. He said, ok. I asked him could I get a photo of his badge. He agreed.



Shortly, after another bailiff came out, Casa. I again explained to Casa what happened. Showed him the docket photos on my phone and also the photo of Ojeda badge. Casa made a gesture that I shouldn't have taken the photo of Ojeda badge. Ojeda appeared to be a nice and conscientious bailiff. He realized it was an accident. Judge Heidel ended up switching the bailiff's from Ojeda to Casa. Ojeda hug out for a minute. I could tell he was questioning why he was being replaced by Ojeda.

I can email the transcripts to anyone who request them are you can find them on www.JudgeSarahHeidelisAracist.com)

Judge Sarah Heidel heard the matter in the late afternoon where they were no witnesses in the courtroom except the bailiff. Bailiff Casa the court reporter , **Chanti Ludwick** and her clerk Deanna

I waited in the hallway for the entire day. The bailiff, Casa came to talk to me. Casa continued to insinuate my husband was to blame the issues with the court. He repeatedly made comments about betrayal. Previously, when he asked about the phone. I explained to him I was taking a photo of the docket which would allow me to compare and contrast other cases Judge Sarah Heidel hard presided over. (Without thinking) I told him the Judge Sarah Heidel was a racist, that she wasn't treating me fairly. But Casa kept trying to make me get angry with my husband. He kept talking about betrayal and things of that nature. During this time, I honestly thought he was hitting me. I later realize that Judge Sarah Heidel sent him to talk to me. He was very nice and supportive. He said he would be supportive in the courtroom. If I got anxious that I could look at him. (As I write this it hurts my heart, because this man was setting me up, and trying to get information. I thought I was trying to help me.)

Directing attention to June 21st *transcripts from June 21, 2019. Line* Page 2 14-28 I explained that my attorney subbed two days ago. I didn't have representation. I told Judge Heidel my concerns with what occurred on the May 3rd court date. How Judge Sarah Heidel made personal attacks and discussed the case when I wasn't present. I told her that I read previous transcripts', that previous transcripts were inaccurate, that I wanted to hire my own court reporter.

June 21 transcripts page 4 line 11. The Court: I'm going to stop you. I don't know what you're talking about. The Respondent: I'm trying to explain. The Court: I actually don't know what you're talking about. The Respondent: you know what ma'am you don't like me because I'm a black woman. The Court: Ms Gibson---(**notice incomplete transcripts**) The Respondent: Please---(incomplete transcripts) The Court: Ms. Gibson If you are going to interrupt me we are going to have a problem (**Judge Sarah Heidel is interrupting me. I have demonstrated that every hearing Judge Heidel cuts me off, accuses me of speaking too fast or any other antic to deprive me of my rights to speak.**)

See June 21, 2019 Transcripts Page 4-5. Judge Heidel says she has no feeling about any of the people at the table. ***Judge Heidel goes on to state. "I don't know any of you and I don't have personal feelings.*** I have strong concerns about Judge Heidel saying she doesn't know any of us. I am not a psychologist, but that sound like an unconscious slip of the tongue. I do believe she knows James Gibson. What I observed she always favors white males. I didn't understand why my husband waited so long after filing for the divorce. I now know he waited because he knew she was being appointed. There is a cycle to who is assigned to cases in Family court.

Transcripts June 21 page 5 line 2- 8. The respondent: I disagree. If you would allow me, I have transcripts to show proof that each time I try to speak, I'm interrupted. And every case here. I didn't--- this happens. All I'm going to ask you to do, ma'am is let me speak to what occurred on May 3rd. Please, in the transcripts , where you verbally attacked me.

The Court: That's not before the court today that's not before the court. And unfortunately, I can't—(This part is cut off because she talks nasty to me. See my response. (Please see how Judge Heidel obvious attempts of Gaslighting me. Just attempts to gaslight me each and trial. Remembering I struggle cognitively. I will lose my train of thought, but I am aware of what I am struggling to communicate in my testimony.

The respondent: (These statements are fabricated) I welcome you to show your bias. What I am asking for, ma'am is a continuance because I do not have an attorney. And the reason why I don't have an attorney because they noticed the bias on your May 3rd transcripts .So they're afraid of you. (This testimony is regarding C.O.R.E, they supported the idea of filing a Motion to Disqualify.)

For brevity I urge you to read the entire transcripts, my exchange with Judge Sarah Heidel.

Transcripts June 21. page 6 line 18. The Court: Well Ms. Gibson I think you're speaking to quickly for the reporter and I'm not following— Judge Sarah Heidel words are cut off. How

many times has this woman cut me off? How many times has the woman accused me of speaking too fast. After reviewing the transcripts up to this point the court reporter caught all my words. Judge Heidel words are cut off. The court reporter caught all my words.

When one reviews the transcripts Judge Heidel consistently misrepresents my position. I didn't have an attorney. I explained to her, the attorney had recently subbed out. I attempted to address the issues of May 3rd. Judge Sarah Heidel refused to address the issues. She ruled against me on May 3rd alluding to the idea that the attorney's actions were negligent. If that is the case, she should understand or surmise that I changed council for incompetence or inability especially after she strongly chastised my attorney and myself on May 3rd. I wasn't present but, was represented. She at minimum should have an interest in my position or thoughts of May 3rd. Again, I am forced to represent myself in court when Family Code 2030 states. *** ***Please don't lose patient with me citing this law. The code is extremely valid, acknowledge the language: Legally speaking the word "Shall" is an imperative verb, giving it the meaning of "it must be done this way". In other words, the action required by the statute or regulation it is not optional***

(a)(1) In a proceeding for dissolution of marriage, nullity of marriage, or legal separation of the parties, and in any proceeding subsequent to entry of a related judgment, the court shall ensure that each party has access to legal representation, including access early in the proceedings, to preserve each party's rights by ordering, if necessary based on the income and needs assessments, one party, except a governmental entity, to pay to the other party, or to the other party's attorney, whatever amount is reasonably necessary for attorney's fees and for the cost of maintaining or defending the proceeding during the pendency of the proceeding.

I need to give additional background to the events of June 21. Judge Heidel swapped out the bailiffs. Originally Ojeda was the bailiff. She changed to bailiff Casa. Judge Heidel sent Officer Casa to the hall to talk to me. Officer Casa tried to get me to be angry with my husband. He made comments about betrayal. He attempted to make me angry with my husband. But I made peace with my husband many years ago. My husband suffers from alcoholism. Many people will not acknowledge this is a disease. I urged my husband to stop drinking. This is part of what broke up my marriage. Officer Casa even tried to make an analogy that but for my husband betrayal we wouldn't be here. I explained to the bailiff. That I serve a good God, that I was at peace with my husband and my divorce. I told him that Judge Sarah Heidel was a racist, once I got enough evidence, I would report her to the press. ***(This is where I made a mistake)*** Just like the Central Park Five others have to be compliant. ***Judge Heidel sent Office Casa to talk to me. It never crossed my mind.*** I actually thought he was trying hit on me. It didn't dawn on me to what he was doing until what Judge Sarah Heidel said during the hearing. Before we went in the hearing, Casa said. Will you give me your phone so no one can claim anything. I didn't understand why he asked. Because I showed he and Officer Ojeda my picture log. They saw the only photos where of the court dockets. I gave him the phone, I had no reason for the phone during the hearing. I later realized Judge Sarah Heidel told Casa to ask for my phone so she could put on the record that my phone was confiscated. ***The act of changing bailiffs one can easily infer that there is some sort of collusion!*** Why did she change bailiff? I should put on the record, that I believe Judge Sarah Heidel spoke poorly about me to Officer Casa. I believe after he witness the hearing, he realized that she was bias. Judge Sarah Heidel made negative

remarks about taking my phone. When she left the room. I look at the bailiff and I said to him. “*You set me up*” Officer Casa didn’t respond. He looked guilty. He then asked me, did you go to college? I laughed and said of course. He realized that I made a good argument in court. Officer Casa appeared remorseful. After I thought about it, I realized Judge Sarah Heidel told Officer Casa to ask me for the phone. He initially believed I was aggressive. After he and I talked he realized that she was trying to negatively characterize me because I told him she was a racist. While we sat at the table while waiting for the judge to return. I realized, she set him up. I turned to Officer Casa. I said, you didn’t set me. She set you up!” He didn’t make a verbal response, but his body language told the truth. He was remorseful.

I need to give information about June 21st hearing. Please recall that my original court date was June 14th for Motion to Reconsider Vocational Evaluation. Recall from the March 14th court date. The judge order that I undergo the vocational evaluation. I also had concerns about my rights, I need to advice by an attorney. I hired C.O.R.E a week before May 3rd court date. Both attorneys agreed to move the June 14th motion to reconsider vocational evaluation to a date in July. I never had a chance to discuss the Vocational Evaluation with C.O.RE. We were blindsided when she declined the request for the continuance. Judge Heidel doesn’t follow the law. She ordered the evaluation against what the law says. See California Family Code 4331(there is also question pertaining to if an actually order was made. There was never Findings and Order after the hearing filed.

1. The order may be made only on motion, for good cause. The opposing attorney doesn’t not make any argument for good cause. See Exhibit L proposed order
2. The order shall specify the time, place, manner, conditions, scope of the examination, and the person or persons by whom it is to be made See Exhibit L the proposed order. The proposed order doesn’t address “scope of the examination.

Judge Sarah Heidel ruling violated what the law says. This isn’t a mistake or rare occurrence, this is her consistent behavior and action of being bias towards me because I am a black woman.

CCF 4331

(a) In a proceeding for dissolution of marriage or for legal separation of the parties, the court may order a party to submit to an examination by a vocational training counselor. The examination shall include an assessment of the party's ability to obtain employment based upon the party's age, health, education, marketable skills, employment history, and the current availability of employment opportunities. The focus of the examination shall be on an assessment of the party's ability to obtain employment that would allow the party to maintain herself or himself at the marital standard of living.

(b) The order may be made only on motion, for good cause, and on notice to the party to be examined and to all parties. The order shall specify the time, place, manner, conditions, scope of the examination, and the person or persons by whom it is to be made.

(c) A party who does not comply with an order under this section is subject to the same consequences provided for failure to comply with an examination ordered pursuant to Chapter 15 (commencing with [Section 2032.010](#)) of Title 4 of Part 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

(d) “Vocational training counselor” for the purpose of this section means an individual with sufficient knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education in interviewing, administering, and

interpreting tests for analysis of marketable skills, formulating career goals, planning courses of training and study, and assessing the job market, to qualify as an expert in vocational training under [Section 720 of the Evidence Code](#).

(e) A vocational training counselor shall have at least the following qualifications:

(1) A master's degree in the behavioral sciences, or other postgraduate degree that the court finds provides sufficient training to perform a vocational evaluation.

(2) Qualification to administer and interpret inventories for assessing career potential.

(3) Demonstrated ability in interviewing clients and assessing marketable skills with an understanding of age constraints, physical and mental health, previous education and experience, and time and geographic mobility constraints.

(4) Knowledge of current employment conditions, job market, and wages in the indicated geographic area.

(5) Knowledge of education and training programs in the area with costs and time plans for these programs.

(f) The court may order the supporting spouse to pay, in addition to spousal support, the necessary expenses and costs of the counseling, retraining, or education.

If Judge Heidel would have agreed to the moving of the court dates to allow my attorney to prepare review transcripts and review the facts it is a great chance that all the issues would have been resolved timely and economically. Judge Heidel attentively sabotages my position in this divorce. She has done nothing within the law at each and every hearing.

There is a matter of the Motion to Compel Discover. Motion to Compel Vocational Evaluation. Ariel Carter is well aware that Judge Sarah Heidel is bias. *See the attached email*, that shows emails exchange with my previous attorney. Charles Major and Ariel Carter. In the email the June 14th court date is mentioned. In the email our intention to file a Motion For Attorney Fees and Motion for Spousal Support. ***Why wouldn't Ariel Carter make mention to the June 21st court date. Why wouldn't Ariel Carter ask about the Interrogatories if she actually mailed them?*** If Ariel Carter is acting in good faith to my husband, why would she NOT attempt to mitigate cost to my husband. Ariel Carter and everyone who witness my hearings are well aware that Judge Sarah Heidel is bias in favor of my white male husband. Judge Heidel gave me \$5000 is attorney fees. Then she requested that my attorney to appear to request a continuance, while simultaneously chastising me for how I choose to use my award. Then Ariel Carter files a bogus Motion to Compel, Ariel Carter actions throughout my divorce hearing demonstrates that she is aware of racial bias in favor of my husband. Every action she makes is premature by common divorce standards in California.

My attorney instructed me to mail a letter to the opposing attorney, informing her that I did not receive the Discovery Request. Simon Budwani, by email told me to send her a letter. I have included the copy of the letter that I mailed to Ariel Carter dated June 5, 2020. Normal and usual standards would to resend the Interrogatories or schedule a Meet and Confer. Ariel Carter failed to respond. She was looking forward to June 21, 2020 court date because she is confident that

racist Judge Sarah Heidel would rule in her favor, even knowing that she did not comply with California Family Law

The law says we need to Meet and Confer. I provide tangible evidence that Ariel Carter did nothing to Meet and Confer. Ariel Carter makes false accusation in court. She blatantly lies, Judge Sarah Heidel rules against me in the favor of Ariel Carter without one shred of pertinent evidence to support her claims. I never received a request for Discovery. This was Ariel Carter deceptive attempt to deplete what little attorney fees I was awarded, and also deprived me of others freedoms when responding to the discovery request Ariel Carter knows this judge is showing extreme bias against me a black woman, in favor of a white male.

.....

Please refer to June 21 TRANSCRIPTS.

READ PAGE TWO AND THREE

SEE JUNE 21 TRANSCRIPTS PAGE 4 LINE 2

I explained that needed to hire my own court reporter. The words that I used are not accurate.

June 21, Transcripts page 5 line 2

I told Judge Sarah Heidel that she verbally attacked me on May 3rd, and discussed the case without me being present. Notice how she continues to play dumb like she isn't aware of what I am saying and what she has done. She verbally attacked me on May 3rd. She made disparaging bias remarks without cause. I wasn't even present. She moved my court date and heard the Motion to Compel before my Motion for Reconsideration.

Please see how I try to explain to Judge Sarah Heidel that my attorney subbed out. Notice how she continues to cut me off. Notice how the transcripts continue to be missing testimony. (THIS IS APPARENT IN EVERY HEARING) (** As of Feb 1, 2021 Judge Heidel recused herself, and relocated Pasadena court where the court reporter followed her)

See June 21 Transcripts Page 7

Read the entire page. This is Judge Sarah Heidel attempt to confuse the proceeding. This is Judge Sarah Heidel attempt to frame me as an angry black women. (More gaslighting)

I begin by putting on the record that I am being discriminated against.

What actually happened is Chani Ludwig, the court reporter says she didn't understand. I say. "I am sorry Chani." Notice the transcripts read differently. Notice the unethical racist judge tries to infer that I attacked the court reporter. When reading the transcripts please notice how often the dialogue lacks cohesion. There are sentences that don't make sense. I knew the court reporters name because I ordered previous transcripts from her.

(What I have come to learn, each transcript (except for the Restraining Order is inaccurate. What it looks as if they are taking testimony, but not in the correct sequence. Like a new paper article that has been cut out, but not put together in correct order.

See line Page 7 line 15

Then she implies that I was disruptive! This is what white America does, insinuate black people are disruptive.

June 21 transcripts. Page 8

June 21 transcripts . page 9 line 1-19

You have advised the past you have an issue relating to a concussion that you sustained in December of 2018 there never has been any medical reco--

The Respondent : *There has been , Ma am, and you wouldn't acknowledge that*

The Court:--- *Supplied to the court. As to the other conditions this is the first you've mentioned them. We are going to proceed on the Motion to Compel today. I am going to hear from counsel for the petitioner. But I do note your objection.*

The Respondent: *In the transcript, it shows where I submitted all my medical records. And that's is my contention. You are ignoring me and saying things that are not true. I submitted my medical record orders on multiple occasions. It's in the transcripts .*

Please notice Judge Sarah Heidel continued blatant ignoring my medical condition. I have established a pattern of her cutting me off. She repeatedly questioning my medical history. I have strong concerns about the court reporter.

Please review again for the 2nd time when I point out to Judge Sarah Heidel when and how I submitted my medical records.

See March 14 page 2 Judge Sarah Heidel again claims I have not submitted medical records.

Both rulings were improper in regard to the law. What white America does is it calls it an error, but this is inequality, violation of my civil rights and a failure of my due process.

Judge Heidel order me to pay \$1500 in my husband attorney fees. As I write this statement my heart races and I feel sick to my stomach. It is 2019 this blatant racial bias innocent in the United State Court system shouldn't exist. My heart hurts because this woman is treating me so brutally when I didn't do anything, but be a good wife. I only loved and supported my husband even with him being an abusive alcoholic. Judge Sarah Heidel might as well have taken me out back and whipped me like a slave.

I requested to cross exam my husband and his attorney. I filed the appropriate paper work to have them entered as witnesses. This is the second time Judge Sarah Heidel refuses to allow me to cross exam the witness. She wouldn't allow me to cross exam either witness because she didn't want testimony demonstrating that they both with less than honest.

Every single court hearing judge Heidel attempts to tarnish my credibility. I am pointing out my deficiency because I truly struggle. My husband left me Jan 5, 2018. I could have filed for

spousal support immediately. Instead, I drove ride share in a SUV for part of the year. Then Uber retired my vehicle after I was in a collision. I then rented a vehicle. Again, I was rear-ended. I was rear-ended a 2nd time in four months. I had major whiplash. I had a horrible concussion. Since this collision occurred, I have a feeling like my head is submerged in water. My ears constantly ring, I have short term memory issues, and I train of thought. I have a severe sleep disorder; I am scheduled for a sleep study on Dec 13, 2019. I could have asked for spousal support a year before I did. My husband is an alcoholic. For a year I prayed he would get better. I thought he was going to AA, and was in therapy. But instead he was plotting on me. I am a human being. More so, I am a woman. Then I am black woman. I have challenges after challenges, because I was in a horrible toxic marriage for so many years. I developed the tools to combat emotional stress and pain.

This woman is a Family Law judge, she should no way be sitting on a bench. If she has a disdain for black woman that is her prerogative, but she has a duty to be impartial, I have more than demonstrated her inability to be objective and judicial.

The reason why I think it's important that she acknowledged my deficient is so she can be understanding and make allowances when I am in a hearing. I do not have representation I am already at a disadvantage. I know it is because my husband is a white male and I am black female. California Family Code 2030 is to ensure fairness.

The issues of discovery. Ariel Carter claims to have sent a request of Interrogatories. I have been unemployed since 2008. I tried to do ride share 2018. Other than that, I have virtually NO income. I went one year without requesting any spousal support. Pertaining to the Interrogatories after viewing them. There is a not a question in the Interrogatories that concerns me. ***Why would I not respond to a discovery request?*** I have no motives to not respond, the interrogatories should have improved my position by giving a clear picture of the economic equality amongst my white male husband and myself

Judge Sarah Heidel ruled against me on every matter, outside of California Family Code. I attempted to file a Motion to disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel on Aug. 30, 2019. I attempted to File a Motion to Disqualify at the Pomona court. The clerk said she didn't know what to do. She called over Arturo Quintero, the supervisor.

Arturo Quintero tried to get me to complete the preemptory challenge Code of Civil Procedure 170.6, But that isn't what I was filing. I was filing Code of Civil Procedure 170.1.

I told him that isn't what I was trying to do. I told him I was filing a Motion to Disqualify. He was very resistant. He said it was dumb, that I would go before the judge to request that she be removed. I told him I understood. He said ,he wasn't sure what to do. He said, I had to talk to the judge. I pulled out my phone and asked him to repeat himself. He then threatened to call security on me. I have Arturo Quintero on video threatening to call security, on me. I was only trying to exercise my rights. Arturo Quintero never officially filed the Motion. The first week in Sept 2019 I received a letter from the judge saying that the request was denied because she was never served. Arturo Quintero never acknowledge filing the motion. He said I need to wait until he talked to the judge. Please see the video. In the video you will see the page that Quintero made

me complete in his hand in the video CCO 170.6. You see I have my copy of the Motion to Disqualify in my hand. He didn't stamp the copy that was supposed to use to subpoena the judge.

If you visit the Lacourt.org site. You will see there is two identical Notices of Motion to Disqualify. Shows on August 30, 2019 and for a second time September 3, 2019. Which implies Arturo Quintero or other court officers were not aware that the Motion was posted the date I attempted to file the Motion. Remembering Arturo Quintero told me he wasn't going to file the motion, that he needed to speak to the judge first. Somehow, someone recorded the Motion to Disqualify for a second time on Sept 3, 2019. Two days before Judge Heidel rejected my request for not serving her. I didn't serve her because he kept both copies. The one copy to keep for my records, the second to filed with the sheriff to have Judge Sarah Heidel served. The Sept 5 without notifying me.

I have been in an abusive relationship with an alcoholic for over 20 years. I was struggling with anxiety and depression. I was suffering from Post-Concussion syndrome, which wasn't approving due to lack of sleep. I had a severe case of Insomnia. I had gone days without sleeping. All my medical issue are documented in my medical records.

I went to the clerk's office at the Pomona Superior Court to get information about filing an appeal. Again, the same clerk misled me. I believe the clerk who continued to mislead me is Amy. She told me that I couldn't file for an appeal that I had to talk to the judge, she said it was \$700. When I asked her name, and for the supervisor she sent me to a specific line to get a waiver. I had a notice of appeal prepared. I was given a wavier to complete. I later discovered that the waiver I completed was a wavier for a Superior Court Matter, not the Appellate Court. This is evidence that I was misled and lied to. I already had a Superior Court Wavier on file that I applied for on Nov 21, 2018. This wavier was still valid. See both wavier **One stamped on Nov. 21, 2018** and the second one stamped on July 30, 2019. Court records will show that I filed a Notice of Appeal for the June 21, 2019 Motion to Compel Order on June 21, 2019. They misled and told me that I would be receiving a court date in the mail. This is clear evidence of bias, injustice and collusion. I now know that wasn't the correct procedure. I was severely depressed; I had a hard time getting out of bed. I didn't do proper research; I didn't expect to be misled by the court. **This judge evaded being served with the aid of the court clerk. I have given multiple reasons to support change of venue.**

My hearing for attorney fees was scheduled for Sept 23, 2019. For several weeks leading to the court date I experienced depilating anxiety and insomnia. I had been dealing with these ailments for some time due to my financial situation. Judge Sarah Heidel didn't award spousal support. I hadn't worked in over 10 years. I was barely able to keep my lights on. The lights had been cut off multiple times for non-payment. Then my husband officially had the lights taken out of his name. Because my credit cards went into default the electric company would not turn on the lights in my name without a substantial deposit.

The night before the September 23 date, I was still suffering from insomnia, which brought on a horrible migraine. I didn't fall asleep until after 6am. I told my son to call the court to say I couldn't make it. Later that day, I called the court multiple times, leaving messages to see if I

could put the Motion back on the court calendar. No one answered. The next day I checked the court website to see the case had been moved to the Los Angeles Court.

Several different events transpired after Sept 23 that prompted me to go back to review the transcripts for the Sept 23 court hearing. September 23rd, hearing, I missed due to medical issues, medical issues that were exasperated by the egregious injustice I experienced throughout the divorce hearings.

Again, I have to point out the lack of accuracy of the court transcripts created by Chani Ludwig, the obvious fabrication and omission of testimony. Also need to preface these things by again citing, California Family Code 2030. By this time, you are familiar with this legal code.

The events and outcome of the divorce hearing and divorce trial supports the idea that I lacked appropriate counsel due to the disparity in income.

Relevancy of California Family Code 2030

Transcripts dated Sept 23, 2019 Page 1 line 14 to line 28.

Transcript Sept 23, 2019 (PLEASE REMEMBER I AM NOT PRESENT) The court makes mention to a Trial Readiness conference. A trial readiness conference that I was not aware of. I do not know how this issue was added to my court date. The court date that I requested from the clerk when I filed the motion. Notice how the court and the opposing have discussions about the case when I am not present in the courtroom. Notice how they discussed the number of times that I filed a Motion to Reconsider. Based on my recollection I had filed 3, all three with merit. Motion to Reconsider Vocational Evaluation. The court supposedly order that I be evaluated, but not under the guidelines of the California Family Code 4331. There is also a question if an order was ever legally entered into judgement. I need to point out that the court website is questionable. Over the past couple of years. Information has been removed while other information has been added. When looking at the court website today there is March 15, 2019 notice of Finding and Order after the hearing. Which really doesn't make sense. The Findings and Order after the hearing, should be sent to me before filing. The hearing was March 14, 2019. Also, the March 15, 2019 entering of the Findings and Order after the hearing was

not recorded last year. It was not recorded last year, because I waited to receive it via male so I could file the Motion For Reconsideration. I gave testimony to these events on the June 21st Motion to Compel hearing. The first Motion to Reconsider was the Spousal Support which is elementary to understand. The Petitioner earns over \$180,000 and I was a housewife for the last 12 years of our marriage. The court used an X-Spouse calculation. Including inaccurate figures in favor of the white male petitioner, James W. Gibson III

The 3rd Motion to Reconsider was the Motion to Compel Discover and Vocational Evaluation. Again, with merit. I never received discover, when I was made aware of the discover I submitted notice to opposing requesting she serve the request on June 5th, which she ignored. She made no effort to Meet and Confer. The court and opposing combine the two motions to confuse things. She sanctioned me \$1500, but did not stipulate which issue the sanction applied. More of Judge Heidel's efforts to maligned me in the divorce case.

Page 4 The court makes mention to Pretrial Orders were made on June 21, 2019. Another fabrication, Please see June 21, transcripts. Nothing is said about pretrial orders. Please be aware of each event as it occurs. They are setting me up to slaughter me. I have done nothing, but asked to be treated fairly under the guidelines of the law. Which is not happening.

The opposing counsel gives false testimony on Page 5 line 10 Ariel Carter give testimony that she attempted to Meet and Confer. Ariel Carter has never made an effort to Meet and Confer. The single sole Meet and Confer conference was right before our first spousal support hearing. When she asked to move the spousal support case right before knowing I

had zero income. It was her attempt to frazzle me. During this brief Meet and Confer conference, Ariel Carter also gave me the X-Spouse printout which did not give me ample time to review the entry of figures and the accuracy of the calculations.

According to California Rules of Court Rule 5.83 Family centered case resolution both party are to Meet and Confer. Opposing attorney never comply with this rule, because it wasn't necessary, she knew the court would rule in the favor of the Petitioner because of racial bias.

Take note of September 23 transcripts page 6. Line 11 the court ask Ms. Ariel Carter did she send the exhibits to me. Which is perjury. Ariel Carter gave this testimony on Sept 23, 2019 although the postmark on the 3 packages that Ms. Carter mailed was Feb 14, 2020. Five months after Ms. Carter made this statement. I can forward a photograph of all 3 envelops that including the exhibits showing the postmark Feb 14, 2020.

The petitioner asked the court to rule, but the court refuses to. In the proposed motion submitted with my declaration I point out that I never received discovery request.

Before a party resorts to filing a motion to compel, the court often expects the requesting side provides a “good faith effort” to obtain a response from the opposition. This effort, commonly referred to as a “meet and confer,” can be an in-person meeting, but may sometimes also take form in a written notice. If the opposition requests a reasonable extension of time, the requesting party would be expected to permit this, “in good faith.

The Pre- Motion Requirement is **Meet and Confer**

- **Must Meet and Confer Prior to Filing a Motion To Compel** – The moving party must include a declaration stating facts that show a *reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution* of each issue presented by the motion. [CCP 2016.040].
- **Sanctions for Failing to Meet and Confer** – The court “shall” sanction the moving party if he files a Motion to Compel before attempting a “reasonable and good faith attempt” to meet and confer with the responding party. Note, there is no meet and confer duty when no response is served. [CCP 2023.010(i); 2023.020; 2023.030].

The court not only failed to rule within the law during the Motion to Compel hearing on June 21, 2019 she also failed to Sanction the Petitioner for not attempting to Meet and Confer.

The court sends the case to Los Angeles to be treated as Long Cause Case. Please be aware, I am not aware of any of these events. The petitioner filed for divorce September 5, 2017. He did nothing to push the case forward. He knew I struggle financial for over a year without asking for any support. I pointed out the court that I had medical issues and that I had been in an abusive relationship with an alcoholic abuser for over 23 years.

I need to point out that opposing attorney Ariel Carter continues to file premature motion in the Pomona Court. Ariel Carter continues to behave inconsistent with State Court Procedures demonstrating that she is aware of the bias in favor of her client, a white male executive who has ties to the family court system for over 20 years. Each case is a clear civil right violation against the black female respondent in the case of Gibson vs Gibson

During this time my health was failing. I never recovered from the concussion because I had chronic insomnia. I had a history of insomnia which was perpetuated by my husband's violent outburst. I also had issues sleeping associated with my back pain prior to my back surgery. I would go days without sleep. My doctor ordered a sleep study, which was derailed because my husband changed jobs, and didn't warn me that there was a change in the insurance.

Through the LA court website, I learned about the case being moved to Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk. Los Angeles Court house is more than 20 miles from my home. I have not receiving any support. I haven't worked in over 10 years. I am not sure if I mentioned, but in the Motion for Attorney fees I submitted 2 year's worth of emails of open solicitation for job opportunities, dating back to 2016. I hadn't worked since 2008, the applicant screening process are now handled by headhunters. Head hunters were not pulling my resume because I hadn't work in over 8 years. I sought out companies by sending cold call emails, which including several follow-ups. I was unable to earn an income. Moving the venue to Los Angeles was a drive of more than 20 miles and I had to pay \$20 for parking, monies I didn't have. Every single thing was a struggle regarding the court.

The day of the first court hearing in Los Angeles was Oct 25, 2019. I hadn't slept in over 48 hours. I was suffering from constant anxiety. I lived my life in fear.

I would like to discuss the transcripts for Oct 25, 2019. Again, the transcripts are not accurate. I will reference some of the testimony.

1. I told Judge Lawrence Riff that I am unable to participate in a hearing because of medical issues
2. Due to the lack of sleep and post-concussion I struggle understanding. I don't understand or comprehend everything that is being said, and I struggle with train of

thoughts. If I am in a conversation or there are multiple conversations, I am slow about processing my thoughts. The judge could say something, it will take me longer to understand, or I may not understand at all. Meanwhile, other conversation could be going on but I miss the conversation.

3. Ariel Carter is a liar. She has lied under oath without hesitation.
4. The judge asked specific questions about submitting documents. I believe it is referred to as Declaration of Disclosure. Judge Riff says Judge Sarah Heidel has covered this topic. If you look through the transcripts up to this date. Judge Sarah Heidel doesn't every cover any of these topics in my presents. She an opposing attorney obviously have multiple interactions without me. She only verbally attacks me. I do not have the transcripts from the restraining order but during that hearing Judge John Slauson guided us through the hearing to ensure that we understood the process. Judge Heidel never did any of this. I was in the dark about everything. Judge Heidel knew this and used it against me.
5. On page 4 of the transcripts the Judge Lawrence Riff discussed why Judge Sarah Heidel sent the case to Los Angeles. He states because it will be along trial. I correct him, and explain why she moved it to this court. (THIS IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT) They moved the case Los Angeles because it was going to be a longer than usual trial. But this JUDGE LAWRENCE RIFF EVENTUALLY SANCTIONS ME WITHOUT REAL CAUSE, bullies me into a trial before I am reading for trial. This is another case number of a white male who bullies his wife in divorce court for five years. Look up this case at Lacourt.org. compare and contrast our experiences in Family Court of Los Angeles. The opposing continues to file request in the Pomona Court because she knows that she has the favor of Judge Sarah Heidel. (you will better understand as you read on) I am highlighting things to be aware of on this Oct 23, 2019 court date. Please see how my cases were moved back and forth from Pomona court to the Los Angeles court.
6. On page 6 of the transcripts I can't confirm the testimony of Ariel Carter. As I have explained I wasn't really cognizant. It is important that I communicate my inability to focus or understand. I hadn't slept in more than 48 hours and the post-concussion interfered with ability to process what is being said, and being able to respond

appropriately or even organize my thoughts. What I have notices from studying the transcripts and based on events I do recall, the transcript are doctored. Testimony that was made a different time or court hearing is entered as accurate testimony. But it isn't accurate.

7. Ariel Carter gives testimony that there were orders that I didn't comply. I don't recall her saying that. Also, by this time there wasn't any order that I was aware of that I was out of compliance. Please read between the lines. They were setting me up, and I wasn't aware. Notice I respond to the best of my ability. If I heard Ariel Carter say I was out of compliance I would have asked what she referring to.
8. Please notice how in every transcript there is testimony missing. The judge says something about Family Code 2104- 2107. I do not know what this means, but I suspect the judge is leading or coaching attorney Ariel Carter.
9. Page 7. The judge asked Ariel Carter what we disagree about. This is a blatant lie. We never discuss anything about how to come to an agreement. Originally Simon Budwanti, from C.O.R.E was going to attempt to negotiate. If you recall, this is why both attorney requested to move the May 3rd court date and every other issue. If you recall Judge Sarah Heidel declined and ruled against me when I didn't have counsel and both parties agreed to move the court dates. ***PLEASE BE AWARE! NO ONE EVER ASKED ME WHAT I WANT. PLEASE READ ALL THE TRANSCRIPTS. THEY NEVER ASK ME WHAT I WANTED. ARIEL CARTER LIES SAYING I WANTED TO KEEP THE HOUSE WITHOUT GIVING ANY EQUITY. THIS IS A BLATANT LIE. WHEN WE WERE AT MY DAUGHTERS GRADUATION DINNER MY HUSBAND AND SON AGREED THAT WE WOULD REFINANCE THE HOUSE AND PAY HIM FROM THE REFINANCING.*** This discussion occurred during my daughter's graduation dinner in April 2019. If you recall, later that evening my husband got drunk. He told me his attorney, Ariel Carter was his girlfriend. He and I didn't speak after that. C.O.R.E was to negotiate with Ariel Carter. Ariel Carter never made any effort to Meet and Confer. Again, I am not sure of the accuracy of the testimony because I hadn't slept in over 48 hours.

10. See page 10 of the transcripts I give testimony that I want a fair divorce. I tell the judge that I haven't identified assets page 10, line 10- line 15. The judge makes reference to this case being 2 year old. Testimony is missing because I tell the judge it been 2 years because my husband waited 14 months to move the case forward. He did so to ensure Judge Heidel was his judge I now know he did that to ensure Judge Sarah Heidel was his judge. I make mention that my spouse wanted to give me the debt and he keeps the asset. ***THIS IS WHAT EVENTUALLY HAPPENED THEY DIDN'T INCLUDE MY DEBIT! BUT THEY ORDER THAT I PAY HALF OF HIS DEBT. HALF HIS DEBT THAT WAS FRAUDENTLY. HE INCLUDED DEBT THAT HE INCURRED AFTER THE DATE OF SEPEARATION. THEY EVENTUALLY ORDER THAT I PAY. PLEASE LET ME REMIND YOU. JAMES W. GIBSON MADE OVER \$180K IN 2019. I AM ON FOOD STAMPS!*** Please notice how when I give pertinent testimony Judge Lawrence Riff insinuate. I am doing something out of order! He says that the case has gone on for 2 years. I try my best to explain why, but he tries to frame me as a disrupted black woman. First, I TOLD HIM, I AM NOT IN SOUND CONDITION TO HAVE A HEARING.
11. I am going to have to sum up this Oct 23, 2019 dates. I am documenting how 3 judges violated my civil rights. I just read the testimony from Oct's hearing., I just realize that these transcripts have been compromised. I remember because in Jan 2020 Judge Riff illegally removes me from the court room, before he does, he says this statement "I am speaking calmly" I am confident that we can find other court transcript where he uses that exact same statement before violating other person's civil rights. He didn't say that in Oct. He said that in Jan 2020. I suspect they doctored the transcripts because sometime in Jan I spoke to Judge Riff clerk, Clerk Kodie. I called her to discuss information I received about the hearing on Jan 2, 2020 where Judge Lawrence Riff removed me for the courtroom. I had no idea of the outcome. The document said I need to submit documents by Jan 14, 2020 I received the documents after the due date. I explained I didn't have the resourses to drive to 20 miles to Los Angeles and I didn't have funds for parking. The clerk's name is Clerk Kodi. I told her I remember Judge Riff saying, "***I am not doing anything today, so why are upset.***" He said those words to me. I suspect that she told him about our

conversation I remember. This is important, because what later happens is that they make accusations that I didn't comply with an order. The only thing he did was give me a referral to Self-Help for Declaration of Disclosure.

12. I struggled to give testimony Judge Riff constantly interrupted my testimony. He made mention to me having issues with Impulse Control, I remember distinctively. When he said it I didn't respond. I remained silent because I wanted to be clear that it was on the records. I have continued to explain that I was having medical struggles. Having issues with my Prefrontal Cortex supports my position that I wasn't medically able to sit through a court hearing.

TIMELINE: Around about Oct 2019- Dec

Noting my many attempts to request attorney fees. I missed a court date sometime in September due to migraines and insomnia, so I had to refile. I called the court later to see if Judge Sarah Heidel advanced the date. She did not, she took the court date off of the calendar. The Request For Order filing provided adequate evidence supported my need for help with legal fees.(Heidel had no issue ruling on May 3rd, without my attendance. Why didn't she rule on Sept 23, 2019? I was forced again to file a subsequent request for attorney fees. Sept 19, 2019. I filed for attorney fees, instead of advancing the date Judge Sarah Heidel took the motion off the calendar. My son called on the morning of the hearing and I need to point out Judge Sarah Heidel does nothing to accommodate me. She only does things in favor of the white male opposing James W. Gibson.

I am not mentally able to defend myself as a result of the post- concussion, the depression exasperated from the continues abuse by the court and opposing attorney, the insomnia on other cognizant issues related to the concussion, anxiety and sleep deprivation. It is almost impossible for me to effectively keep track of events or have the proper knowledge to defend myself in a divorce to a White Male who was the primary wage earner where there is obvious bias because I am a black woman without adequate financial resource.

“the court shall ensure that each party has access to legal representation, including access early in the proceedings, to preserve each party’s rights by ordering, if necessary, based on the income and needs assessments”

Please pay special attention to the language- The court shall ensure that each party has access to legal representation including access early in the proceeding

- May 3rd Judge Sarah Heidel declined request by both parties to move Spousal Support reconsideration. Not only did she deny it, she insinuate that I pay an attorney to come to

court to request a continuance when both parties agreed to advance the date and opposing will appear on behalf of both parties. This was an attempt to minimize legal cost.

- Judge Sarah Heidel failed to advance a court date I missed because of medical issues. As a reminder she continues to challenge medical issues when medical records have been submitted to the court.
- I filed another request for attorney fees I was given the date Dec 18, 2020. Please see that the records have been changed. The date was changed to Dec 18 instead of Dec 11 without giving me notification. There is a pattern, the opposing always files opposing motion to be heard the same days as my motion. This has been her strategy throughout the divorce hearing.

How I learned that the court date was moved on Dec 11, 2019. I just happened to look on the court calendar to find the address for the Los Angeles courthouse on Dec 11, 2019 because on Dec 11, 2019 I went to the Los Angeles Courthouse to file a Motion to have Judge Sarah Heidel Disqualified for racial bias. I just happened to notice that the court website read Motion For Attorney Fees 12/11- I called the Pomona court house, and a clerk responded telling me Judge Sarah Heidel had taken the request of the calendar. Judge Heidel moved the court date, again without my notice. The court date was originally Dec 18th, the same date of a RFO (request for ordered) filed by the petitioner. Also, notice Dec 11, 2019 was on a Wednesday. At the Pomona court motions were always heard on a Friday.

I attempted for a second time to have Judge Sarah Heidel disqualified from the case under Code of Civil Procedure 170.1 Disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel for Racial Bias and Misconduct. This time I filed in Los Angeles at the Stanley Mosk court house. I took the Request to the Sheriff in Pomona to have Judge Sarah Heidel Served. On Dec.11 a Sheriff, a Filipino woman I believe her name is Paula called me on my cell phone. She told me I needed to come back to get the subpoena and change something. When I got there, she claimed that I need to take the service to the Los Angeles sheriff, she called me under false pretenses in an attempt to interfere with service process. I was adamant about having her serve the judge. **PLEASE PAY CLOSE ATTENTION TO WHAT THIS SHERIFF DID. SHE WHITED OUT THE MOTION TO DISQUALIFY 170.1 AND ENTERED 170.6. I am confident that the sheriff did this under the instruction of Judge Sarah Heidel. I made her change it back. The reason why she and Arturo Quintero** (The clerk who I have on video threatening to call the security, in his attempt to assist Judge Heidel in evading my request to disqualify her. The sheriff Paula and Arturo Quintero both tried to make me file the CCP 170.6 because this preemptory challenge has a time limit. You must file it within the first 60 days of the case. I was filing the Motion to have Judge Sarah Heidel Disqualified for Racial Bias and Misconduct. The California Family Code is 170.1. *Judge Sarah Heidel recused herself from the Restraining Order that James Gibson filed.*

Judge Sarah Heidel did not want it to be on the record that she knew James Gibson. If Judge Heidel found it necessary to recuse herself from the Restraining Order, she should recuse herself from the case. Any indication of improprieties, or question of the Judges ability to remain objective is grounds for recusal. The mere fact that Judge Sarah Heidel had the mind to recuse herself from proceeding is adequate reasoning that she be disqualified. It exceeds

Please take mental note, that the Sheriff had no real reason to call me on my cell phone to quest that I come to get the subpoena. You can find the phone records attached to this correspondence. Nothing was done when I returned to the Pomona sheriff's department as Paula requested. Again, court officers attempt to intervene in the legal process and violate my civil rights

Ariel Carter continued to file motions in Pomona because she knew she had the favor of Judge Sarah Heidel. Sometime in the fall of 2019 Ariel Carter filed a Vexatious Litigant request. This was opposings attempt to tie my hand behind. Also, a counter to my file for attorney fees. I believe Judge Sarah Heidel was in cahoots with the opposing. As you review the evidence you will notice the opposing and the court had countless communication without me being present, which is against court procedure, and civil rights violations.

What Is a Vexatious Litigant?

Under Code of Civil Procedure section [391\(b\)](#), a vexatious litigant is a person who does any of the following:

- In the immediately preceding seven-year period has commenced, prosecuted, or maintained in propria persona at least five litigations other than in a small claims court that have been (i) finally determined adversely to the person or (ii) unjustifiably permitted to remain pending at least two years without having been brought to trial or hearing.
- After a litigation has been finally determined against the person, repeatedly relitigates or attempts to relitigate, in propria persona, either (i) the validity of the determination against the same defendant or defendants as to whom the litigation was finally determined or (ii) the cause of action, claim, controversy, or any of the issues of fact or law, determined or concluded by the final determination against the same defendant or defendants as to whom the litigation was finally determined.
- In any litigation while acting in propria persona, repeatedly files unmeritorious motions, pleadings, or other papers, conducts unnecessary discovery, or engages in other tactics that are frivolous or solely intended to cause unnecessary delay.
- Has previously been declared to be a vexatious litigant by any state or federal court of record in any action or proceeding based upon the same or substantially similar facts, transaction, or occurrence.

This was the court attempt to prevent me for fighting for my rights and prevent me for filing Motion in Court. What they are implying is that my motions were without Merit. Each motion I filed had Merit, was way within my rights and necessities. I only requested spousal support, attorney fees and an impartial judge.

I have been at an extreme disadvantage. Several things continue to occur that I am unable to counter because I am not an attorney, coupled with my health challenges. The first time I went before this judge I communicated that I needed representation. I explain that I was struggling with a Post-Concussion syndrome. Racist judge Sarah Heidel continued to challenge me about the legitimacy of medical issues. and continuing to try to confuse things. During the June 21, 2019 court hearing I explained I was without representation. That I wasn't mentally able, my cognizant ability is severely impaired. During the hearing, while I gave testimony, I completely loss my train of thought. Judge Heidel saw my face went blank. I saw in Judge Sarah Heidel's face that she realized that I was truly suffering from medical issues. These issues challenged my ability to defend myself, not to mention my husband was represented by counsel Judge Heidel did nothing to ensure that I was on an even playing field. Which is the bases of marital equity according to California Family Law.

Now the matter of the second request for attorney fees. The RFO was originally on Dec 18th. What trigger Ariel Carter filing a vexatious litigant request. Each time I file a request, the opposing would file something to counter, and she always request that it be heard on the same day as my request.

Dec 18th hearing for the Vexatious litigant hearing. I can't stress enough about my health condition. I had been in an abusive relationship the last decade of my life, while dealing with chronic pain from a severe back injury, a post-concussion syndrome and the weight of Judge Sarah Heidel obvious bias. I had already experienced issues with insomnia. These weighted issues rendered me completely helpless. Days leading to the hearing I didn't sleep, had migraine and extreme insomnia. I would have these sleepless episodes. The sleeping aids sometimes worsened my situation. I would be literally AWAKE! My mind wouldn't shut down. I was afraid to miss court dates, because the time that I missed they did things that I didn't learn until months later when I obtained the transcripts.

Dec 17 was another sleepless night. I hadn't slept in days leading up to the date of the hearing, in the middle of the night I knew I wasn't going to be able to function. I made two calls to the court. One at 3am to leave a message. I made second call maybe around 5am. I was afraid that I couldn't drive. When this happens, my head is spinning. It's hard to maintain focus, I am just sleep deprived. I struggle to explain. I am sure many who are reading understand, have also experienced the lack of sleep. For me it was 10 fold, because I struggled with insomnia for years. I had to have my son take me to court because there was no way I could drive.

I want to remind you. On December 18th there was a ***Motion to Disqualify judge Sarah Heidel for Racial Bias*** and Misconduct. This was to be heard in District Court 2 by Sarah Heidel's superior. Judge Lawrence Riff. While there is a pending issue for Motion to Disqualify all hearings and issues are halted. Please be aware Judge Sarah Heidel continues to evade my Motion to Disqualify her. First w/the clerk **Antion** and second with having the Sheriff call me and attempt to have me take back the service.

See transcripts Dec 18th. I have to stress that they transcripts has been compromised, as always there is testimony missing indicated by –

To my surprise Judge Heidel had the hearing while there were other persons in the court. She generally made us wait to be last so no one could witness the hearing.

Judge Sarah Heidel acknowledges the motions. She makes some ambiguous statement that she became aware of the Motion to Disqualify, and again. She uses the word recues. Remember the recuse is the 170.6. But I filed a Motion 170.1 to have her disqualified. I struggled with giving account in line with the transcripts, because the transcripts have been compromised. Judge Heidel tried to push to have the Vexatious Litigant hearing. As she and I have a discussion she says something about me speaking to fast. I spoke slower, then she says I am speaking too slow. I told her she always has an issue with me. That she always makes reference to my testimony, how she bullies me. I said exactly these words to her. "You are a racist and a bully, you white people think you are above the law. When I say that I pointed to her, and the opposing James W. Gibson III(white male x-spouse)

I want to give a good description. I make her nervous, because she knows all the horrible things she's done. She continues to speak to me negatively, but you can see she is uneasy. She now knows I am not a dumb black girl, but she continues to abuse her authority.

She says something about striking the Motion, apparently the bailiff Hill gave me papers, but I wasn't aware. I can't stress how I suffered cognitively, I don't remember seeing him give them to me. Remember I am sleep deprived. I only know because she mentions them when she said she

was going to strike the motion. She said the papers are on the table, Bailiff Hill had given them to me, apparently. I then corrected her, I said the motion was to Disqualify her for Misconduct and Racial Bias, not a request for recusal. She again says she wasn't served. I asked her if she wanted me to read the complaint to her. She declined. Continues to state she wasn't properly served. I told her Shelia had the service. Shelia is the Sheriff who called me. This is when her body language changes. She was surprised that I knew the name of the sheriff. She must have instructed Shelia to call me, to try to get me to take the service back. Judge Heidel jumped up in a panic and said she was taking a recess. My son and I left the court room. Bailiff Hill came and took the papers out of my hand. He replaced them with other papers. My son's phone was in his hand and, Bailiff Hill said something to my son about the phone, it being a felony to record or take photos. I instructed my son not to engage with Bailiff Hill. I told him, he's trying to bate you. Then Hill told me it was over. He said the hearing was over. Be aware that this was a Vexatious Litigant complaint. I believe because she knew I remember the Sheriff's name she became alarmed. Again, remember I was never given the opportunity to answer the Vexatious Litigant request. Technically there was a Request to Disqualify her with a court date. She should have discontinued any preceding until the request had been heard.

As a reminder Judge Heidel has sabotaged my efforts to receive attorney fees. Again, I remind you of California Family Code 2030. One of the most important aspects of the code FAM 2030:

“the court shall ensure that each party has access to legal representation, including access early in the proceedings, to preserve each party's rights by ordering, if necessary based on the income and needs assessments, one party,”

The opposing wrote a boastful review on his attorney's Yelp Page. He bragged how he won “big”. “I will include that review with this correspondence. He did win big, because I am not an attorney. My efforts to utilize the meager \$5k was sabotaged on May 3rd's, court hearing when the court ruled against the request of both parties. “Again, both parties agreed to continue with the intention to negotiate amongst both parties. My position was further compromised because the court didn't order adequate spousal support. I remind you I should have received between \$4200 and \$4500 in spousal. Judge Heidel intentionally hindering my position.

Judge Heidel clearly is showing extreme bias to the white male spouse. She only ordered \$5k in a marriage of 20+ years. The average cost of a 20-year marriage is \$40k. In each attempt to request attorney fees I included 3 different quote for representation each required a 10k retainer fee. I was blessed to find C.O.R.E who agreed to try to work with what I was ordered.

Judge Lawrence Riff gave me a referral for the Self-Help to get declaration of the disclosure at the Oct hearing. The LA courthouse is more than 20 miles from my home, and parking was \$20. I have zero income and virtually no cash for fuel for my car. Going to Los Angeles is an extreme hardship for me. I had to go after 2pm, because at either parking fee decreased after 3pm. It was my intent to visit self- help to get details about the Declaration of Disclosure while also filing a Motion for attorney fees. When I arrived, the self-help said they don't see people after 3pm. I was slightly after 3pm. There was a white male attorney who briefly detailed me on the Declaration of the Disclosure. He said I could find the form on the court's website, which I did. I also filed the Motion for Attorney fees in the Los Angeles Court Department 2 where Judge Heidel sent the case on the guise of a long trial. I found the appropriate forms to submit the complete the Declaration of Disclosure. Online instruction stated I need to mail to the opposing which I did sometime in mid-Dec 2019.

My next court date was Jan 7, 2020 at the Los Angeles Court House Department 2 with Judge Lawrence Riff. As a reminder there is a Jan 13th hearing for the Motion to Disqualify Judge

Sarah Heidel. During this time, I was still struggling with insomnia. I did actually sleep get some sleep. I overslept considering I had to travel over 20 miles. I called the court to inform them. I spoke to the Clerk who referred to herself as Clerk Kodie. I explained my dilemma and I tried to mention that I did have the Motion to Disqualify so I was under the assumption the court date would be advanced pending the Motion to Disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel. Clerk Kodie cut me off, notified me the call was on the record. I explained I was fine with that, that I had a sleep disorder and that the courthouse distance was a hardship and inconvenience.

Jan 7, 2020 hearing was one of the most heinous act committed by the Los Angeles Family court. I have supplied the transcripts which again are compromised but does include important relevant testimony withstanding the context of the testimony is quite misleading.

See transcripts dated Jan 7, 2020 I arrived late, please acknowledge my greeting to the court. I was in high spirits. I greeted the court with a Happy New Year. I was in an upbeat mood, this was the first time I felt 100 percent rested. I was in good spirits. I wanted to communicate to the court that I had no animosity. I wanted to address the issues at hand. During this time, I had a Motion to Disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel on the calendar for Jan 13, 2020. Also had a Request for Attorney's Fees to be heard on Jan 15th, 2020. Judge Riff was somewhat accommodating the last time although demonstrating some bias in favor of Judge Sarah Heidel. I viewed him as the assistant head judge of Los Angeles Family Court. The last thing I would have thought that this man would break the law, lie on the bench and perpetrate so egregious act of civil law violation.

After I greeted him, I recognized his unpleasant body language. Again, I tried to explain the struggles I was having. He had zero to no empathy for my situation. Mind you I am a black woman who has been in a 20+ year relationship with a white male, who was an abusive alcoholic. The outcome of every single hearing I had thus far fell outside of the guidelines of the California Family Law. I just wanted to be treated fairly.

He began to chastise me. He made mention to some order. There was no order. Judge Lawrence Riff sat on that bench lying making up a false narrative. He said something about a witness list from the June 21st court hearing. If you recall from the transcripts the only witness list was the I submitted listing the Opposing Attorney and the Opposing James Gibson. If you recall Judge Heidel refuse to allow me to question my x-husband or his attorney. Please see the transcripts from June 21st 2020. There is no mention of a witness list other than the one requesting to cross exam James W. Gibson III and Ariel Carter. I responded explaining I had no idea what he was referring to pointing out that he was giving false testimony.

Then he discussed the Motion to Disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel. He insinuates that I wrote in a court date on the Motion. I explained the court clerk determined the date and wrote in the date. He said he refuse to hear the Motion to Disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel and The Motion for Attorney Fees. Two points, why was the case moved to Judge Lawrence Riff and why would he refuse to hear the request?

He then asked the opposing did she receive the Declaration of Disclosure. By this time, I know that my x-spouse is actually having an affair with his attorney, so I knew to bring a copy of the declaration of the disclosure. He asked her did she receive the declaration, she declines. Judge Lawrence Riff fails to ask me if I mailed the declaration of disclosure. You can see on the transcripts. I say, I have them here. He ignores me.

I knew by his body language he was going to do something detrimental. I even said, regardless of what you do today I serve a good God.

He sanctioned me. He said that I couldn't submit any financial information in the trial and that I couldn't supply any exhibits. I know why he did this, he reviewed my Motion to Disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel. The declaration accompanying the Motion to Disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel included a substantial amount of evidence including exhibits. He knew I understood how to make my case. This was retaliating for my Motion to Disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel.

He then states set the trial date. Remember I have a Motion to Disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel on the calendar and a request for attorney fees.

Judge Riff attempts to set the trial date for March 6, 2020. When he asked me, I attempted to reply about the other hearings and my need for counsel. He not only cuts me off. He requested that the bailiff remove me from the courtroom. Please review the transcripts. I did nothing to deserve. this, this is a clear case of racial injustice, me being a black woman in a divorce case with a white male. ***It is also a clear case retaliation for requesting to have Judge Sarah Heidel disqualified for misconduct and racial bias.***

The bailiff walks me out of the court rooms. The bailiff says to me, "I am sorry that happened to you. I thank him and responded don't worry I serve a good God".

I eventually saw James W Gibson III, and his attorney Ariel Carter, in the parking lot. He began to take picture of my car. My car that my son purchased for me. His attorney Ariel Carter/Girlfriend snickered as they walked past me.

Current issues; Jan 2020: A Motion to Disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel and I had a Motion for Attorney Fees on the calendar for Jan 13 and Jan 15 respectively. Judge Lawrence Riff moved these issues off of his calendar. I filed these issues in his court. Judge Sarah Heidel sent the case to Judge Lawrence Riff court. Yet, Riff refuses to hear cases, only to sanctioned me. Judge Sarah Heidel sent the case to her superior, Judge Lawrence Riff with the intent that he punishes me, retaliating in response to my filing a Motion to Disqualify Judge Heidel.

Important events.

- Judge Sarah Heidel continues to violate my civil rights and abuse her authority. I had to wait until the court website was updated to try to get an idea of what happened on Jan 7, 2020 when Judge Riff illegally removed me from the court room. The request for attorney fees was moved to Pomona, to be heard on **Jan 18, 2020**. There were always issues with the transcripts. The day of the hearing I went to the court clerk to submit my declaration. Remember I am suffering from Post-Concussion Syndrome, I always read from a statement I prepared. The transcripts are always missing information or have erroneous information, to combat this issue I submitted my statement via declaration to the clerk the morning of the hearing. . I always read from a statement when I am testifying. The court clerk stamped both copies of the declarations, the one I would read from and the one that she would submit to the court case records. My son and I went to the court room. There was no one in the courtroom but the bailiff. Bailiff, Hill told me Judge Heidel took my motion off of the calendar. I can provide a copy of the declaration if requested. The very same day I returned to the Pomona Court House, to file yet another request for attorney fees. **In the interim I filed a formal complaint against Judge Heidel with the** Judicial Board several months earlier. I received a correspondence from the Judicial Board suggesting I file a Change of Venue. After I learned Judge Heidel took my request off the calendar I came back to the Pomona Courthouse to file the Motion for

Attorney Fees and I also filed the Change of Venue which was recommended by the Judicial Board.

- I received the documents about the trial that the Judge Riff set without allowing me to add input. ***By this time it is evident, the court didn't allow me to have any input in these divorce proceedings because I am a black woman in a divorce with a white male.*** Any AT ALL! I was always censored or verbally attack to divert the issues that I tried to raise. The documents stated I needed to submit my documents by Jan 14th. I was unable to go to the Los Angeles court where the trial would be heard. I did not have gas to drive the 20+ miles to Los Angeles and I didn't have parking fare. I called the court, I do have the phone records if needed. I spoke to his clerk, who referred to herself as Clerk Kodi. I was angry and upset I told her she knew he sanctioned me because I am a black woman who filed a request to have Judge Heidel removed from the case. I also told Clerk Kodi that James W. Gibson III was in a relationship with his lawyer. ***She yelled at me that it wasn't relevant.*** (Which was ignorant of a court clerk to even imply. I told her, his lawyer was lying about the documentation I submitted. The court records are full of documents I submitted. Why would I not submit documents. The only documents the court claims I didn't submit are documents that I am supposed to provide to the opposing. There were never issues with documents that were required to be filed with the court. To recap James Gibson's girlfriend/attorney Ariel Carter first lied, claiming she sent a request for discovery. Ariel Carter then claims I didn't submit the Statement of Declaration. The Statement of Declaration included the credit card debit that was in my name. Debt acquired during the marriage and also my claim to a Mutual Fund. I called out Judge Riff off for lying in court while speaking to Clerk Kodi. I told her he lied in court because he never made an order on Oct 25th, 2019. He only gave me a referral for the Self-Help which I have a copy. He even snapped at me saying why was I annoyed if he wasn't doing anything. But you don't see that in the transcripts. I received the transcripts months after this discussion. The court reporter claimed she was ill so she delayed providing the transcripts. When I eventually received the Oct 25th 2019 transcripts they had been falsified.
- I went to the Los Angeles Court to submit the documentation for the trial. When I tried to submit the documentation, the clerk would not accept them. Her name is Kim, said there were notes in the system by Judge Heidel to not allow me to submit documentation. She read the note after she accepted the documents, she had already stamped them. She marked a x on the documents with a pen, then returned them to me. I asked for her supervisor. They also called the sheriff or security because I asked for a supervisor. I was upset because I knew they were not supposed to refuse accepting my documents. I spoke to the supervisor her name is Maria. I asked her name and wrote it down. Then 3 sheriffs came and told me I had to leave.
- Judge Riff also moved the Motion to Disqualify Judge Heidel to the Pomona court. I was never given any information about the dates. The court website never was updated with information. I subsequently received two statements. One stating the case was moved to Pomona to be heard on May 3, 2020.
- I also received notice that Judge Heidel deemed me a Vexatious Litigant. This was about Jan 19, it was a day after she took my Motion for Attorney fees off the calendar. Remember I went right back to the court to refile the Motion for attorney fees. I was deprived of due process I was never able to respond to any allegation of me being a vexatious litigant. ***The court did anything and everything to tie my hands and prevent me from having a fair divorce hearing.***

- I also filed a Motion to Reconsider the Sanction. During the hearing I read the law regarding service for such motion. If you recall when Judge Riff sanctioned me I was clueless about what he was doing and why he was doing it.

Today is Oct 20,2020. I have spent months trying document all that has happened. This is traumatic to me. I have had a difficult time revisiting each incident psychologically and emotionally. Something detrimental happened on Sept 23, 2020. At 8:30pm 3 officers broke into my home through the back door. Walk through my home like I was a drug dealer, speaking to each other as they searched each room. They eventually found me in the bathroom. I heard them but I wasn't dressed. I went in the bathroom to put on pants. The officer Pulido accosted me in my bathroom. He told me he needed to talk to me. Meanwhile, 2 other officers hemmed up my son in the hallway and wouldn't let him by. I went to grab my phone, Officer Anthony Pulido snatched the phone out of my hand and put it on the shelf. When I went to grab it from the shelf, he said are you attacking a police officer. He told me I had to leave the home. He presented fake paperwork. I went around the bed and grab a DSLR camera regarding the paperwork he gave me while he told me I had to leave. I asked him what would happen if I didn't leave, he said I would get arrested. While I got my things he said inappropriate things to me about liking black women not white women. For whatever unusually reason he told me he was white. I later found out that he is Mexican. I am now homeless. I thought it important that I disclose my mental state while continue documenting my experience. My body and mind is tired. I am lethargic and riddled with anxiety. The thing that is propelling me is that I am black woman. I fear for other black people who are any court proceeding presided by this racist and corrupt court officers.

On March 6th my son drove me to the first divorce trial. We met in department 2 Judge Riff's court. I tried to tell the clerk Kodi that I wasn't ready for trial that I had hearings on the calendar. She ignored my request. My son and I were sitting in the court room waiting to be called when Ariel Carter approaches me in the court room. She dropped a notice in my lap. She gave me some type of request for me to pay my husband attorney's fees. I believe it to be a request that I pay my husband's attorney fees. Yes, she was brazen enough to suggest that I am responsible for my husband attorney fees. When he was the primary wage earner and there was a huge disparity in earnings. Not to mention I believe she should have given me notice in advance to the hearing. But this is one of the many things that Ariel Carter did that is against court procedure, because she is aware that her white male client/boyfriend has the favor of the white court officers involved in the case.

I wasn't aware but when you have a trial the trial is given to a random judge. The trial was assigned to judge Bruce Iwasaki. We went to his court. Again, another racially bias judge. I had filed a WRIT to stop the hearing and I also tried to tell the judge that I had issues on the calendar that I wasn't ready for trial. He looked at me, in a very nasty demeanor. He spoke the words. "DON'T SPEAK TO ME" This is exactly what he said. He would never allow me to speak.

I tried to submit my documents and he said I wasn't allowed to because I was naughty. I asked him exactly what I did that was naughty. He wouldn't respond.

Early on in the case Ariel Carter told the court that I had two dates on the calendar. Judge Iwasaki respond negatively in response to Ariel Carter informing him that I had hearings on the calendar. He never allowed me to respond or discuss the issues on the calendar. I had a request for attorney fees and request for change of venue.

The opposing put on a case full of lies. They submitted credit card debt that he acquired after the date of separation. I flat out ask James Gibson what was on the credit card that was acquired during the marriage. He was like a deer in headlights. Everyone in the courtroom knew he was lying. His attorney lead him in his testimony. Anytime I objected the judge overruled. He was nasty in his demeanor. to me, to the point I

was frightened of him. They also submitted the Vocational Evaluation into evidence. I objected how could they submit the Vocational Evaluation if I couldn't question or cross exam the evaluator.

James Gibson lied about an incident that happened in 1996. In 1996 I was a waitress at Hungry Hunter. After work sometimes friends would come over. One of my co-workers was a gay guy who would flirt with the opposing, James Gibson. One time James Gibson even squeezed his behind. My co-worker who I use to call Tutti Frutti thought it was odd that it didn't bother me. I was actually proud that he was homophobic. James Gibson and I had a silly sense of humor. James Gibson flirting with my gay friend really didn't bother. I even have a photo that James took of my friend pretending to go down on James. I know this sounds odd. James had a silly sense of humor. We have tons of silly and awkward photos from when we hung out with friends. On the night in question, we were all at a neighbor's house partying after work. I should mention I am very lite weight drinker. I may have one drink if any. All my co-workers were drunk at our neighbor Casper's house. They were playing darts. I observed James Gibson and my gay friend put their faces together. It was a bit alarming. He had flirted with Tutti Frutti before, but it was always in fun. At least this is what I thought. James was really drunk, and seeing what he did really made me uncomfortable. He noticed me looking at him. We made eye contact, I rolled my eyes, and I left the neighbor's home. I came home. Shortly after he came home. I said something to him about him flirting with Tutti Frutti and he possibly being gay. **We began to argue.** James became enraged, he slammed me to the floor on my back. I ran in the kitchen and got a knife to get him to leave me alone. He grabbed the knife and cut his hand. Apparently, the neighbors heard us fighting because the police was called. He answered the door. James Gibson was talking crazy to the police. He didn't say anything about his hand. He was being obnoxious to the police. I want to be clear, I know if he was a black man he would have went to jail. James stood at the door screaming at the police. During the hearing James told a about this story, he said I cut him because he was flirting with a girl. He said people were at the house swimming and I was mad because he was fighting with the girl. Again, everyone knew he was lying. When I questioned about his testimony. The judge continued to interrupt. When I questioned him, his lawyer didn't object. I believe she herself wanted to see if he was lying. I asked him was it day or night. The judge intervenes and asked why it wasn't relevant. Judge Iwasaki continued to badger me about my questioning. He would not allow me to question James. I asked him was it day or night, because in his testimony he said people were over swimming. When in fact friends had come over after work. I was a waitress at a steak house, and we would give off at midnight. It was in the middle of the night. I was trying to demonstrate that he was lying in his testimony. This was the opposing strategy to minimize spousal support by trying to paint me as the abuser when James Gibson is the abuser. As a reminder, I submitted letters and cards of James Gibson apologizing to me, some of the apologies date as far back as 1993.

I was having horrible anxiety throughout the first day of trial. The only people in the room was a court reporter, my son, the bailiff and the clerk. The next day March 7 it was my turn to. It my turn to question James Gibson. I fell apart. I began to cry. It was hard and I was afraid, partially because I had to show how he was lying. I had been in a 20 year abusive relationship with this man who I had protected for over 20 years. I was afraid, felt extremely panicked! I tried to question him. The judge repeatedly interrupted me while I tried to question James W Gibson. I attempted to show that he was lying about the credit cards and he was lying about the incident back in 1996. I even tried to question James **about the insurance policy he asked the court to allow him to keep.** I also tried to make him acknowledge that I have been dealing with stress and anxiety throughout our marriage. I have a history of panic attacks and ambulance being called to our home. 10 years ago I thought something was wrong with me, but I later learned it was anxiety induced from the continued mental abuse. James Gibson was the abuser, he used the court system to further abuse me. The judge continued to threaten to not allow me to question James W Gibson. During the hearing I begged the judge to stop. I begged him to stop trying to malign me. I couldn't take it any longer. I couldn't breathe. I felt nauseated, like I was going to pass out and my heart would stop. I had to

go. I left the courthouse. It was too hard to be abused by this judge. He wouldn't let me speak. I even asked that bailiff when was able to speak. She said when he spoke to me. The bailiff's name is officer black.

Shortly after the hearing Los Angeles put the stay at home order. The stay-at-home order was in place until mid July. I received a notice that my Motion for Attorney Fees and Motion for Change of Venue was taken off the calendar because of Covid-19. The judgement was entered in the court records on July 1, 2020. I had little recourse, Covid made it impossible to speak with an attorney, to utilize the Law Library or to seek any remedy. Prior to the entering of the judgement, I received emails from James Gibson asking to show the house. I told him there was a stay at home order. That I wasn't going to have potentially infected people in my home. From what I know of today, there is specific protocol in the Real Estate Industry. He never asked to take photo or try to create a virtual post. He just threatened to have me evicted from the home. He even sent a copy of some letter to residence having to still pay their rent. James Gibson regularly harassed me because he knew that I was being discriminated against. That I had no rights, the court had a vendetta against me.

On Sept 22, 2020 I received notice about a hearing that was heard on Sept 18, 2020 having to do with the home. I am unable to explain exactly because I don't have access to the document. I don't understand how do they continue to have hearings without notifying me.

At 8:30pm 3 officers broke into my home through the back door. Walk through my home like I was a drug dealer, speaking to each other as they searched each room. They eventually found me in the bathroom. I heard them but I wasn't dressed. I went in the bathroom to put on pants. The officer Pulido accosted me in my bathroom. He told he needed to talk to me. Meanwhile, 2 other officers have my son hemmed up in the hallway and wouldn't let him by. I went to grab my phone, Officer Anthony Pulido snatched the phone out of my hand and put it on the shelf. When I went to grab it from the shelf, he said are you attacking a police officer. He told me I had to leave the home. He presented fake paperwork. I went around the bed and grab a DSLR camera regarding the paperwork he gave me while he told me I had to leave. I asked him what would happen if I didn't leave he said I would get arrested. While I got my things he said inappropriate things to me about liking black women not white women. For whatever unusually reason he told me he was white. I later found out that he is Mexican. I am now homeless. I thought it important that I disclose my mental state while continue documenting my experience.

Days later I called to find out how I could get a report of the incident. The first person I spoke to was Office Cole, she said that there wasn't record of anyone coming to my home. It must have been another department. I went to the City of Industry Sheriff station. The desk sergeant who identified himself as Morane. told me that City of Industry Sheriff work for the Pomona court. I spoke to several different people from the Sheriff Department in West Covina and Pomona. First, I was told they do not go out at night. The department is called the Levy department. Then after calling around a supervisor from the Pomona Sheriff, she identified herself as Angela Wang. told me that he had to file a WRIT of Possession. What they did was illegally. I then spoke to Lit. Geary. I spoke to this woman for over 30 minutes. She said she could have someone meet up at the local 7/11 because I need to get some of my things. I didn't even have underwear. They only let us get a few things and made us leave. Something inside told me not to trust the woman. When I told her they broke in my home she accused me of lying. I told her they broke in my home and it's a great chance the neighbor heard them. I told her not only did they break in my home. I told her I wasn't comfortable meeting anyone. That I am black woman, and this only happened to me because I am black. In hindsight I was right to follow my instinct.

I kept calling the City of Industry because my goal was to get a report. of the incident. Ironically the sheriff who broke in my home answered the phone. His name is Anthony Pulido. Immediately he began to spill the beans. He told me Lit. Geary order he and the other 3 officers to illegally break in my home and threatened to arrest me if I didn't leave my home. I have them on video with the fake paper work. I suspect this was all done by the orders of Judge Sarah Heidel. During my phone conversation with Lit. Geary, I told her the background. I explained to her that this judge had enlisted many people in other departments in her misconduct and the violation of my civil rights. This was before I knew she was the one behind these officers breaking in my home threatening to arrest me if I didn't leave.

Currently, I am homeless I can't qualify for an apartment because my credit is ruined because the Judge never order spousal support that the laws says I am allowed, she also didn't considered my debt as part of the marital obligation.

I made multiple attempts to file an Ex-Parte to request to get back in my home. I was homeless and had now safe place to store my things. I had no income, my credit score had dropped more then 130 points. There is no way I could qualify for an apartment or have money to afford a place. Covid cases were increasing in Los Angeles. I didn't have health insurance. In my Ex-Parte I was request \$6000 to find a place, I also asked the court to allow me back in my home for 60 days or until I could secure a place. I requested that the court order a home appraisal. Judge Sarah Heidel *rejected* my request on 3 separate attempts. It is Covid, the only way I can file an Ex-Parte was via email or to drop in a drop box. On 3 separate occasion Judge Heidel rejected my request. The third attempt I video recorded myself putting my request in the drop box. I emailed the video to the Ex-Parte email address. I later learned that Judge Sarah Heidel recused herself on Dec 3, 2020. I have no idea why.

I spoke to the court clerk supervisor Arturo Quintero the same man who helped Judge Heidel evade my first request to disqualify Judge Sarah Heidel. Arturo Quintero told me they move my case to department 22, he said I had to asked them to take me off the Vexatious Litigant list. Arturo Quintero referred to Stanley Mosk department 22. I had tried multiple times to call the court. I never received an answer. I later found out that the court I was transferred to was dark.

Periodically I would check the court website. I saw some sort of Ex-Parte be filed by the petitioner. I think the opposing was trying to petition the court to sign Escrow documents on my behalf. I saw this request around about Dec 22, 2020. It was almost impossible to get through to the court clerk. I called the court clerk ad nauseam. I was virtually helpless. I have been homeless since Sept 23.2020. I don't have income or the credit to qualify for a rental . The court awarded the petitioner over \$170.000 in the judgement. There legal legitimate award. What they did to me in the divorce judgement is truly heinous. The courts goal was to bankrupt me. To deprive me of any funds, or assets to protect myself. The courts goal was to render me homeless and helpless. I later learned the illegally sold my home below market value. The escrow company paid the petitioner over \$160,000 of my part of the sale.

Today, I am homeless. I have no way of getting housing. I need help with a Appeal, I also need help filing a Motion to Set Aside, under Family Code 2122. I have several reasoning for action under California Family Code 2122. I will be sighting Fraud, Duress and Mental Health medical protection. Regarding the Appeal, there isn't one action or ruling by Los Angeles Family Court that is legitimized by the California Family Law. More troublesome my civil rights were violated under the 18th Amendment of the Constitution. My case can be a valuable action, that can create true change for black people.