

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. PSB

HON. SARAH J. HEIDEL, JUDGE

JAMES GIBSON,

PETITIONER,

VS.

KARRIE GIBSON,

RESPONDENT.

) NO.  
) 17PSFL00745

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 18, 2019

APPEARANCES:

FOR PETITIONER: CKB VIENNA LLP  
BY: ARIEL CARTER, ESQ.  
10390 COMMERCE CENTER DRIVE  
SUITE C-110  
RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

FOR RESPONDENT:        IN PROPRIA PERSONA

CHANI D. LUDWIG, CSR NO. 13017  
OFFICIAL REPORTER

1 CASE NUMBER: 17PSFL00745  
2 CASE NAME: JAMES GIBSON VS. KARRIE GIBSON  
3 POMONA, CALIFORNIA WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 18, 2019  
4 DEPARTMENT NO. PSB HON. SARAH J. HEIDEL, JUDGE  
5 REPORTER: CHANI D. LUDWIG, CSR 13017  
6 TIME: A.M. SESSION  
7 APPEARANCES: (SEE TITLE PAGE)  
8

9 THE COURT: I'M GOING TO CALL THE GIBSON MATTER.  
10 GIBSON VERSUS GIBSON, 17PSFL00745. GOOD MORNING. CAN I  
11 GET APPEARANCES?

12 THE PETITIONER: JAMES GIBSON, YOUR HONOR.

13 MS. CARTER: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. ARIEL  
14 CARTER ON BEHALF OF JAMES GIBSON.

15 THE COURT: OKAY. CAN I GET YOUR APPEARANCE,  
16 PLEASE.

17 THE RESPONDENT: MY NAME IS KARRIE GIBSON.

18 THE COURT: AND, MS. GIBSON, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU  
19 TO REMOVE YOUR HAT, PLEASE. THANK YOU.

20 ALL RIGHT. THE MATTER IS SET FOR HEARING --  
21 THERE'S A MATTER SET FOR HEARING THIS MORNING ON A  
22 REQUEST FOR ORDER FILED BY PETITIONER OCTOBER 11, 2019.

23 HOWEVER, IN PREPARING FOR THAT HEARING, THE  
24 COURT BECAME AWARE OF A MOTION TO DISQUALIFY ME THAT WAS  
25 FILED BY MS. GIBSON WITH THE COURT ON DECEMBER 11, 2019.

26 THAT MOTION WAS NOT PROPERLY SERVED ON THE  
27 COURT, NOT SERVED ON THE COURT AT ALL, AS FAR AS I'M  
28 AWARE. HOWEVER, BECAUSE I BECAME AWARE OF IT AND FOR

1 PURPOSES OF THIS MOTION ONLY, WHAT I AM GOING TO DO IS  
2 DEEM THAT MOTION TO RECUSE AS SERVED AND FILED AS OF  
3 TODAY'S DATE.

4 AND BECAUSE I'M DOING THAT, I HAVE TO  
5 ADDRESS THAT MOTION ON THE MERITS BEFORE PROCEEDING ON  
6 ANY OTHER MOTION.

7 ALL RIGHT. SO WHAT I'VE DONE -- AND,  
8 MS. GIBSON, DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M SAYING?

9 THE RESPONDENT: I WOULD LIKE THE COURT TO ALLOW  
10 ME TO COMPLETE MY THOUGHT AND RESPOND, BECAUSE THE COURT  
11 HAS A HABIT OF INTERRUPTING ME AND NOT ALLOWING ME TO  
12 SPEAK. FIRST OF ALL, CURRENTLY --

13 THE COURT: WELL, HOLD ON. BUT YOU'RE NOT  
14 RESPONDING TO MY QUESTION, MS. GIBSON. DO YOU  
15 UNDERSTAND WHAT I WAS SAYING? THAT I'M GOING TO DEEM  
16 THE MOTION TO RECUSE FILED AND SERVED AND ADDRESS THAT  
17 BEFORE MOVING ON TO THE MOTION TO HAVE YOU DECLARED A  
18 VEXATIOUS LITIGANT?

19 THE RESPONDENT: DO I UNDERSTAND? I'D LIKE TO  
20 RESPOND TO THAT BECAUSE --

21 THE COURT: I WANT YOU --

22 THE RESPONDENT: PAULA (PHONETIC) --

23 THE COURT: I WANT YOU TO RESPOND TO THAT, BUT  
24 JUST "YES" OR "NO."

25 THE RESPONDENT: NO. I DON'T UNDERSTAND. THAT'S  
26 WHY I WOULD LIKE TO RESPOND TO THE CLARIFICATION,  
27 BECAUSE YOU'RE SPEAKING OF A MOTION TO RECUSE WHEN A  
28 MOTION IS TO DISQUALIFY FOR BIAS AND PREJUDICE.

1 THE COURT: THAT'S --

2 THE RESPONDENT: PAULA HAS THE --

3 THE COURT: MS. GIBSON, THAT'S THE MOTION I'M  
4 REFERENCING.

5 THE RESPONDENT: THIS IS THE REFERENCE -- THIS  
6 IS -- YOU ARE GOING TO BE SERVED. PAULA AT THE  
7 SHERIFF'S OFFICE HAS THE PAPERWORK TO SERVE YOU.

8 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, MS. GIBSON, WHAT I'M  
9 SAYING --

10 THE RESPONDENT: THE COURT DATE IS JANUARY THE  
11 12TH OR 13TH, IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN. AND YOU KNOW WHAT,  
12 I'M NOT PHYSICALLY OR MENTALLY ABLE TO SPEAK TO YOU AT  
13 THIS MOMENT. THAT'S WHY I'M LATE.

14 THE COURT: OKAY.

15 THE RESPONDENT: IF THE COURT TENDS TO QUESTION  
16 THE LEGITIMACY OF WHAT I'M SAYING, IF THE COURT WILL  
17 ALLOW ME TO TURN ON MY PHONE, THE COURT CAN LOOK AT MY  
18 PHONE AND SEE THAT I MISSED A CALL FROM A SLEEP STUDY  
19 BECAUSE I WAS SCHEDULED FOR A SLEEP STUDY ON FEBRUARY  
20 13TH.

21 THE COURT: OKAY, MS. GIBSON, NOW I'M GOING TO SAY  
22 SOMETHING.

23 THE RESPONDENT: OF COURSE.

24 THE COURT: WHAT I'M SAYING TO YOU IS THAT YOU  
25 DON'T -- YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND HAVE SOMEBODY SERVE ME,  
26 BUT I'M GOING TO ALREADY DEEM IT SERVED, THAT MOTION  
27 YOU'RE HOLDING IN YOUR HAND, WHICH IS A MOTION TO  
28 DISQUALIFY.

1           THE RESPONDENT: IT'S IN JUDGE RIFF'S COURT. IT'S  
2 TO BE HEARD BY JUDGE RIFF. IT'S NOT A RECUSAL. IT'S TO  
3 DISQUALIFY AND FOR MISCONDUCT. AND IT'S APRIL -- EXCUSE  
4 ME. YOU KNOW WHAT, I CAN'T EVEN SPEAK BECAUSE I'M NOT  
5 CAPABLE. I'M HAVING ISSUES. AND PART OF MY COMPLAINT  
6 IS THAT EACH TIME I ATTEMPT TO COMMUNICATE MY ISSUES,  
7 THE COURT ACCUSES ME OF MISLEADING THE COURT WHEN THE  
8 COURT HAS ALL THE DOCUMENTATION OF MY ISSUES.

9           THE COURT: SO, MS. GIBSON --

10          THE RESPONDENT: I'M NOT ABLE TO RESPOND -- I'M  
11 NOT ABLE TO CONTINUE BECAUSE OF MY PHYSICAL AND MY  
12 MENTAL INABILITY. BASED ON CALIFORNIA LAW, IF THERE'S A  
13 MOTION TO DISQUALIFY OR REMOVE A JUDGE, EVERYTHING IS  
14 HALT UNTIL THAT IS ADDRESSED, IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN.

15                 BECAUSE I'M A BLACK WOMAN, THINGS ARE NOT  
16 BEING HELD ACCORDING TO WHAT THE LAW SAYS. MY 14TH  
17 AMENDMENT HAS REPEATEDLY BEEN VIOLATED. PART OF MY  
18 COMPLAINT --

19          THE COURT: OKAY, MS. GIBSON -- AND I UNDERSTAND  
20 ALL OF THAT. AND I AM GOING TO ADDRESS THAT ON THE  
21 MERITS RIGHT NOW.

22                 WHAT I HAVE HERE IS AN ORDER STRIKING YOUR  
23 STATEMENT OF DISQUALIFICATION AND VERIFIED ANSWER.

24                 WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO IS ADDRESS THAT NOW  
25 AND SERVE YOU WITH A COPY OF THAT IN COURT TODAY. WE'LL  
26 HAVE THE MINUTE ORDER REFLECT THAT'S WHAT THE COURT HAS  
27 DONE.

28          THE RESPONDENT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE

1 SAYING. I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT YOU'RE SAYING.

2 THE COURT: IT'S A WRITTEN ORDER. SO YOU CAN  
3 REVIEW IT AT YOUR --

4 THE RESPONDENT: I'M NOT SURE WHY THIS COURT IS  
5 ADDRESSING A COURT -- AN ISSUE THAT I HAD FILED IN A  
6 DIFFERENT COURT. AND, AGAIN, I'M NOT ABLE TO MENTALLY  
7 RESPOND. I'M NOT PREPARED TO RESPOND. PART OF MY  
8 COMPLAINT IS THAT MY HUSBAND'S ATTORNEY ACTS AGGRESSIVE.  
9 SHE COMES TO COURT WITHOUT EVIDENCE. SHE GIVES BOGUS  
10 INFORMATION TO THE COURT, AND THE COURT LISTENS AND  
11 RECEIVES WHAT MY WHITE HUSBAND'S ATTORNEY SAID WITHOUT  
12 VERIFYING ANYTHING.

13 AND I REALLY WOULD LIKE YOU TO ALLOW ME TO  
14 COMPLETE MY THOUGHT. HAD THE COURT AWARDED ME FAIR  
15 SPOUSAL SUPPORT ON FEBRUARY 7TH, HAD THE COURT ALLOWED  
16 ME TO HAVE AN ATTORNEY BEFORE I AGREED TO A VOCATIONAL  
17 EVALUATION, HAD THE COURT ALLOWED US TO CONTINUE OUR MAY  
18 3RD CASE, WHICH MY HUSBAND AND I WERE BOTH IN AGREEMENT  
19 OF, ALL THESE SO-CALLED FILED -- WHATEVER FAKE FILINGS  
20 THAT I'VE -- SHE'S ACCUSING ME OF WOULDN'T HAVE  
21 OCCURRED.

22 THE COURT: LET ME STOP YOU THERE. SO WE'RE  
23 MOVING ON NOW FROM THE MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION,  
24 WHICH THE COURT --

25 THE RESPONDENT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND I'M NOT  
26 PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY ABLE? DO YOU UNDERSTAND? THE  
27 COURT?

28 THE COURT: MS. GIBSON, I REALLY NEED YOU TO

1 NOT --

2 THE RESPONDENT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?

3 THE COURT: MS. GIBSON, THIS IS THE PROBLEM.

4 EVERY TIME YOU COME TO COURT, YOU INTERRUPT ME

5 CONSTANTLY. I JUST ALLOWED YOU TO SPEAK FOR QUITE SOME

6 TIME. I WANT TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE THINGS YOU'VE SAID.

7 FIRST, THE COURT HAS ISSUED AN ORDER STRIKING THE MOTION

8 TO DISQUALIFY.

9 THE RESPONDENT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT  
10 MEANS.

11 THE COURT: BUT I'VE GIVEN YOU A WRITTEN ORDER AND  
12 YOU CAN REVIEW THAT --

13 THE RESPONDENT: I'M NOT AN ATTORNEY. THEREFORE,  
14 I DON'T UNDERSTAND.

15 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.

16 THE RESPONDENT: IN THE COURT CASE, THE LAW SAYS  
17 THAT I HAVE -- I SHOULD HAVE AN ATTORNEY EQUAL TO MY  
18 HUSBAND. MY HUSBAND, WHO MADE \$180,000, IS A WHITE  
19 MALE. AND I'M A BLACK FEMALE, AND I'M ON FOOD STAMPS  
20 BECAUSE THIS COURT HAS BEEN BIASED -- RACIALLY BIASED,  
21 AND I HAVE ALL OF THE TRANSCRIPTS TO SHOW HOW YOU BULLY  
22 ME CONTINUOUSLY AT EVERY COURT CASE.

23 THE COURT: WELL, MS. GIBSON, I'M VERY SORRY YOU  
24 FEEL THAT WAY. I'M GOING TO TURN NOW TO THE MOTIONS TO  
25 HAVE YOU DEEMED A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT THAT WAS FILED, AS  
26 I NOTED, ON OCTOBER 11, 2019.

27 I'VE REVIEWED THAT. THERE WAS A PROOF OF  
28 SERVICE INDICATING THAT YOU WERE SERVED WITH A COPY OF

1        THAT.    YOU DID NOT FILE A RESPONSE.    DO YOU HAVE A  
2        RESPONSE TO THAT?

3                THE RESPONDENT:    I AM MENTALLY -- I'M GOING TO  
4        GRAB MY BAG, IF YOU DO NOT MIND?    I'M UNABLE TO RESPOND  
5        TO THIS BOGUS CLAIM.    AND SO WHAT'S HAPPENING IS MY  
6        HUSBAND TOLD ME THAT ARIEL CARTER WAS HIS GIRLFRIEND AND  
7        SHE'S TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF MY HUSBAND.    MY HUSBAND IS AN  
8        ALCOHOLIC.    MY HUSBAND HAS A DISEASE.    SO I HAVE NO  
9        PROBLEMS WITH MY HUSBAND.    MY HUSBAND IS BEING TAKING  
10       ADVANTAGE OF AND --

11              THE COURT:    WHAT I'M GOING TO -- HOLD ON.    IT'S A  
12        "YES" OR "NO."

13              THE RESPONDENT:    YES OR NO TO WHAT, MA'AM?

14              THE COURT:    DO YOU HAVE A RESPONSE?    I TAKE IT THE  
15        ANSWER IS "YES"?

16              THE RESPONDENT:    PLEASE ALLOW ME TO RESPOND.

17              THE COURT:    MA'AM, HOLD ON.    I TAKE IT THE ANSWER  
18        IS, YES, YOU HAVE A RESPONSE?

19              THE RESPONDENT:    I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION.  
20        YOU HAVE TO REPEAT IT.    I HAVE ISSUES --

21              THE COURT:    MS. GIBSON, STOP TALKING, PLEASE.    YOU  
22        DO HAVE A RESPONSE?    I WANT YOU TO RESPOND.    I'M GOING  
23        TO ASK THE CLERK TO PLEASE PUT BOTH PARTIES UNDER OATH.

24              THE CLERK:    PLEASE STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT  
25        HANDS TO BE SWORN.    DO YOU AND EACH OF YOU SOLEMNLY  
26        STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW  
27        PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE  
28        TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?



1 THE PETITIONER: I DO.

2 THE RESPONDENT: I DO.

3 THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED.

4 THE COURT: MS. GIBSON, I'M GOING TO ALLOW YOU TO  
5 RESPOND TO THE MOTION TO -- TO HAVE YOU DECLARED A  
6 VEXATIOUS LITIGANT. PLEASE PROCEED.

7 THE RESPONDENT: IN A PROCEEDING FOR DISSOLUTION  
8 OF MARRIAGE --

9 THE COURT: MS. GIBSON.

10 THE RESPONDENT: -- A NULLITY OF MARRIAGE OR LEGAL  
11 SEPARATION --

12 THE COURT: MS. GIBSON.

13 THE RESPONDENT: -- OF THE PARTIES.

14 THE COURT: I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU SPEAK  
15 SLOWLY ENOUGH FOR THE COURT REPORTER TO GET DOWN YOUR  
16 WORDS. I KNOW THAT'S IMPORTANT TO YOU. IT'S ALSO  
17 IMPORTANT TO ME.

18 THE RESPONDENT: IN A PROCEEDING FOR  
19 DISSOLUTION --

20 THE COURT: I'M GOING TO HAVE THE RECORD REFLECT  
21 THAT YOU'RE ALLOWING NINE SECONDS TO PASS BETWEEN EACH  
22 WORD THAT YOU PUT ON THE RECORD. AND IF YOU HAVE A  
23 STATEMENT THAT'S BEEN PUT IN WRITING, THEN YOU CAN  
24 PROVIDE A COPY TO THE COURT.

25 THE RESPONDENT: I DO NOT HAVE A RESPONSE BECAUSE  
26 I'M NOT MENTALLY ABLE TO RESPOND. I WOULD LIKE THE  
27 COURT TO KNOW, BECAUSE SHE HAS NOT BEEN SERVED -- PART  
28 OF MY COMPLAINT IS THAT THE JUDGE SARAH HEIDEL BULLIES

1 ME. EACH TIME I SPEAK, SHE REFERENCES THE WAY I SPEAK,  
2 THE TONE, IF I'M FAST, IF I'M SLOW. SHE CUTS ME OFF  
3 REPEATEDLY BECAUSE JUDGE SARAH HEIDEL IS A RACIST JUDGE,  
4 AND I AM A BLACK WOMAN. AND MY HUSBAND DOESN'T  
5 UNDERSTAND, THIS IS AMERICA. AMERICA IS NOT JUST FOR  
6 THE TWO OF YOU.

7 AND WE CAN HAVE THIS HEARING, BUT MY HUSBAND  
8 DOESN'T UNDERSTAND, JUST BECAUSE WE HAVE HIS HEARING, IT  
9 DOESN'T END HERE. THAT'S WHY HE'S CLAIMING TO PAY HER  
10 40,000 --

11 THE COURT: I'M GOING TO ALSO HAVE THE RECORD  
12 REFLECT NOW YOU'RE SPEAKING EXTREMELY QUICKLY AND NOT  
13 RESPONDING. IF YOU HAVE SOMETHING RESPONSIVE YOU WANT  
14 TO SAY, I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU THE CHANCE TO SAY IT.  
15 PLEASE, GO AHEAD.

16 THE RESPONDENT: SO I JUST SPOKE AND THE COURT  
17 SAID I WAS SPEAKING TOO SLOW.

18 I SPOKE FASTER, AND THE COURT SAID THAT I'M  
19 GOING TOO FAST. WHAT I WOULD ADVISE JUDGE SARAH HEIDEL  
20 IS TO WAIT UNTIL SHE'S SERVED WITH MY COMPLAINT. YOU  
21 KNOW, ACTUALLY I HAVE IT HERE. IF YOU'D LIKE ME TO READ  
22 IT TO YOU, I'D BE HAPPY TO READ IT TO YOU, BECAUSE  
23 EVERYTHING --

24 THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO -- I'M GOING TO DECLINE  
25 THAT OFFER --

26 THE RESPONDENT: OF COURSE YOU ARE.

27 THE COURT: I'VE REVIEWED THAT.

28 THE RESPONDENT: YOU'VE REVIEWED WHAT?

1 THE COURT: THE COMPLAINT.

2 THE RESPONDENT: I THOUGHT YOU WERE NOT SERVED.

3 THE COURT: I JUST TOLD YOU I'VE REVIEWED IT. I  
4 DEEMED IT SERVED, EVEN THOUGH --

5 THE RESPONDENT: SO YOU DON'T WANT TO RESPOND TO  
6 IT?

7 THE COURT: I DID RESPOND TO --

8 THE RESPONDENT: WHAT'S YOUR RESPONSE?

9 THE COURT: I GIVE YOU A WRITTEN ORDER STRIKING  
10 IT --

11 THE RESPONDENT: I'M UNABLE TO -- YOU STRIKE IT  
12 BASED ON WHAT?

13 THE COURT: READ THE WRITTEN ORDER.

14 THE RESPONDENT: I'M NOT ABLE TO READ IT. I'M NOT  
15 ABLE TO THINK RIGHT NOW BECAUSE I HAVEN'T SLEPT IN THREE  
16 DAYS. I'M NOT MENTALLY OR -- CAPABLE OF SPEAKING TO THE  
17 COURT. AND INSTEAD OF THE COURT ACKNOWLEDGING MY  
18 PHYSICAL AND MENTAL ISSUES, WHICH ARE EXASPERATED  
19 BECAUSE I HAVE BEEN GOING THROUGH THIS EIGHT-MONTH  
20 ORDEAL IN THIS RACIST COURT --

21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MS. GIBSON, I'M GOING TO  
22 DEEM YOU TO HAVE SUBMITTED ON THIS ISSUE. I WILL TAKE  
23 THE MATTER UNDER SUBMISSION AND ISSUE A WRITTEN ORDER.

24 THE RESPONDENT: SO WHAT THIS COURT --

25 THE COURT: THIS COURT IS GOING TO TAKE ITS  
26 MORNING RECESS. THE COURTROOM IS CLOSED, AND WE'LL BE  
27 BACK IN TEN MINUTES. THANK YOU.

28 (THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. PSB	HON. SARAH J. HEIDEL, JUDGE	
JAMES GIBSON,		)
	PETITIONER,	) NO.
		) 17PSFL00745
VS.		)
KARRIE GIBSON,		) REPORTER'S
		) CERTIFICATE
	RESPONDENT.	)
		)
		)
		)
		)
		)

I, CHANI D. LUDWIG, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF  
THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I DID  
CORRECTLY REPORT THE PROCEEDINGS CONTAINED HEREIN AND  
THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES 1 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE,  
COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE  
PROCEEDINGS AND TESTIMONY TAKEN IN THE MATTER OF THE  
ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE ON WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 18, 2019.

DATED THIS 21ST DAY OF JANUARY, 2020.

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHANI D. LUDWIG, CSR NO. 13017  
OFFICIAL REPORTER, RPR