1	SUPERI OR CO	OURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR 1	THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 2	HON. LAWRENCE P. RIFF, JUDGE
4		
5	IN RE THE MARRIAGE (	OF:
6	JAMES GIBSON, III,	PETI TI ONER,
7	VS.	\ NO. 17PSFL00745
8	KARRIE GIBSON,	RESPONDENT. CERTIFIED
9		COPY
10		
11	REPORTER	'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12	FR	I DAY, OCTOBER 25, 2019
13		
14		
15	APPEARANCES:	
16	FOR PETITIONER:	CKB VIENNA BY: ARIEL D. CARTER
17		ATTORNEY AT LAW
18		10390 COMMERCE CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 110 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA 91730
19	FOR RESPONDENT:	KARRIE GIBSON IN PROPRIA PERSONA
20		THE PROPERTY PERSONAL
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		ROSEMARIE P. HERNANDEZ, CSR NO. 9572
28		OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Γ

```
CASE NUMBER: 17PSFL00745
 1
 2
     CASE NAME: JAMES GIBSON, III VS. KARRIE GIBSON
 3
     LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA FRIDAY, OCTOBER 25, 2019
 4
     DEPARTMENT NO. 2
                                 HON. LAWRENCE P. RIFF, JUDGE
     REPORTER: ROSEMARIE P. HERNANDEZ, CSR 9572
 5
 6
     APPEARANCES: (AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
     TIME: A.M. SESSION
 7
 8
9
                (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD IN
10
               OPEN COURT: )
11
12
             THE COURT: NUMBER 1, JAMES GIBSON AND KARRIE
     GIBSON PLEASE.
13
14
             MS. CARTER: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. ARIEL
     CARTER ON BEHALF OF JAMES GIBSON WHO IS PRESENT.
15
16
             THE COURT: WELCOME.
17
             THE PETITIONER: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
             THE COURT: ARE YOU KARRIE GIBSON?
18
19
             THE RESPONDENT: I AM.
20
            THE COURT: OKAY. COME ON OVER HERE.
                    PARTIES, PLEASE FACE OUR CLERK AND TAKE AN
21
     OATH TO TELL THE TRUTH.
22
23
             THE CLERK: DO YOU AND EACH OF YOU SOLEMNLY STATE
24
     THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU SHALL GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING
25
     BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND
     NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?
26
27
             THE PETITIONER: YES.
28
             THE RESPONDENT: YES, MA' AM.
```

THE COURT: HAVE A SEAT. WELCOME TO DEPARTMENT 2. 1 2 LET ME TAKE A LOOK AT A COUPLE OF THINGS. 3 SO YOUR DIVORCE CASE IS MORE THAN TWO YEARS 4 OLD. I'M GOING TO ASK SOME VERY BASIC QUESTIONS. THEY ARE STRAIGHT UP. I'M NOT BEING SARCASTIC. I JUST WANT TO 5 KNOW THE ANSWERS. 6 7 MR. GIBSON, DO YOU STILL WANT TO BE DIVORCED 8 FROM MS. GIBSON? 9 THE PETITIONER: YES, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: MS. GIBSON, DO YOU WANT TO BE DIVORCED FROM MR. GIBSON? 11 THE RESPONDENT: YES, SIR. 12 13 THE COURT: OKAY. I'M SURE JUDGE HEIDEL HAS 14 EXPLAINED TO YOU. IN ORDER FOR YOU TO BE DIVORCED YOU EACH HAVE CERTAIN THINGS THAT YOU HAVE TO DO THAT THE 15 16 COURT CAN'T DO FOR YOU. AMONG THE THINGS THAT HAVE TO 17 HAPPEN IS CERTAIN FINANCIAL INFORMATION NEEDS TO BE 18 EXCHANGED. 19 LET ME ASK MS. CARTER. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAS THE RESPONDENT SERVED PRELIMINARY DECLARATIONS OF 20 21 DI SCLOSURE? MS. CARTER: TO MY KNOWLEDGE SHE HAS NOT. 22 23 THE COURT: OKAY. MS. GIBSON, DO YOU BELIEVE YOU HAVE SERVED A PRELIMINARY DECLARATION OF DISCLOSURE? 24 25 THE RESPONDENT: YOUR HONOR, I'M UNABLE TO ANSWER 26 THAT. WHAT I NEED TO PREFACE SOMETHING. I'M NOT MENTALLY 27 ABLE OR CAPABLE TO HAVE A HEARING RIGHT NOW. I HAVE 28 MEDICAL RECORDS IF YOU WOULD LIKE, BUT I DON'T HAVE PROPER

CAPACITY TO ENGAGE TODAY. 1 FIRST OF ALL, I'M NOT REPRESENTED. WHEN YOU 2 3 SAY JUDGE HEIDEL EXPLAINED. JUDGE HEIDEL HAS BEEN VERY 4 DISCRIMINATORY AND RACIST. MY HUSBAND HAS BEEN FACED WITH WHITE 5 6 PRIVILEGE. HE MADE \$180,000 LAST YEAR. HE IS NOT PAYING 7 MF ANYTHING. 8 I HAVEN'T WORKED IN TEN YEARS. I NEED AN 9 ATTORNEY, AND I'M HAVING MEDICAL ISSUES. I CAN GO -- I 10 HAVE MY MEDICAL ISSUES. I HAVE A CONCUSSION. I HAVE DIFFERENT THINGS THAT ENABLES ME TO ENGAGE WITH YOU 11 12 PROPERLY. 13 THE COURT: I READ THE FILE. SO I HAVE SOME 14 BACKGROUND IN THAT REGARD. 15 MS. CARTER: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY. 16 THE COURT: WALT. EVERYBODY STOP. 17 THE RESPONDENT: SHE DOESN'T REALLY --THE COURT: WHEN I SAY "EVERYBODY STOP," THAT MEANS 18 19 EVERYBODY STOP. IF YOU DON'T STOP, THAT MEANS YOU END UP IN THE HALLWAY, AND I PROCEED WITHOUT YOU, WHICH I'VE ONLY 20 HAD TO DO THAT ONCE IN MY WHOLE JUDICIAL CAREER. I HOPE 21 NEVER TO DO IT AGAIN. SO PLEASE STOP WHEN I SAY "STOP." 22 23 HERE'S WHAT I PLAN TO DO TODAY: 24 JUDGE HEIDEL HAS SENT THIS CASE DOWN HERE FOR A TRIAL READINESS CONFERENCE. MY BELIEF IS THAT SHE HAS SENT IT 25 TO DEPARTMENT 2 BECAUSE, WHEN YOUR CASE GOES TO TRIAL, 26 27 IT'S GOING TO BE A LONGER TRIAL THAN JUDGE HEIDEL'S 28 DEPARTMENT CAN HANDLE. SO MY GOAL IS TO GET THIS CASE

READY FOR A DISPOSITION, WHETHER IT'S BY SETTLEMENT OR 1 2 TRIAL. WHAT I PLAN TO DO IS MAKE A COLLECTION OF ORDERS 3 THAT WILL BRING THIS CASE TO A POINT WHERE THE NEXT TIME 4 YOU'RE HERE I CAN EITHER GIVE YOU A TRIAL DATE, OR THE CASE WILL PROCEED DOWN A DIFFERENT ROAD. SO --5 THE RESPONDENT: MAY I RESPOND TO YOU? 6 THE COURT: HOLD ON JUST A SECOND, MS. GIBSON. I 7 8 WILL GIVE YOU A CHANCE TO SAY WHAT YOU NEED TO SAY. 9 THE RESPONDENT: IT'S IN RESPONSE TO YOUR BELIEF WHY SHE MOVED IT HERE. BECAUSE I ACCUSED HER OF BEING 10 RACIST AND DISCRIMINATING AGAINST ME BECAUSE MY HUSBAND IS 11 12 CAUCASIAN. HER BEHAVIOR HAS BEEN EGREGIOUS AND BLATANT. 13 SHE HAS DONE EVERYTHING IN HIS FAVOR, AND HER CONCERN IS 14 THAT I'M GOING TO EXPOSE HER, AND SHE IS A RACIST. 15 SARAH HEIDEL IS A RACIST, AND THIS IS NO LONGER ABOUT MY 16 DIVORCE. THIS IS ABOUT EXPOSING SOMEONE WHO SHOULDN'T BE 17 IN A PLACE OF POWER. 18 SHE MOVED IT HERE BECAUSE -- WHEN SHE FIRST 19 MET ME, I HAD A CONCUSSION. I COULDN'T SPEAK VERY WELL. 20 SHE THOUGHT I WAS A DUMB BLACK WOMAN. I'M A SLOW BLACK WOMAN BECAUSE I HAVE BEEN IN AN ABUSIVE RELATIONSHIP FOR 21 22 25 YEARS. I HAD BACK SURGERIES. I COULDN'T WALK FIVE 23 YEARS AGO. SIX MONTHS AGO I HAD A CONCUSSION. I'M NOT 24 OKAY. AND I UNDERSTAND YOU'RE SAYING YOU WANT TO 25 26 MOVE FORWARD. IT IS NOT FAIR TO ME OR MY BEST INTEREST TO 27 DO ANYTHING WITHOUT ME HAVING A REPRESENTATION OR ME BEING

IN THE MIND TO BE ABLE TO DEFEND MYSELF.

28

THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. 1 2 THE RESPONDENT: I APPRECIATE THAT. 3 THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD? MS. CARTER: I DO BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR. 4 5 I WAS MISTAKEN. THERE WASN'T A PRELIMINARY DECLARATION OF DISCLOSURE SERVED BY THE RESPONDENT. 6 7 THE COURT: THERE WAS? 8 MS. CARTER: THERE WASN'T, BUT SHE DID SERVE A COMMUNITY AND QUASI COMMUNITY PROPERTY DECLARATION 9 10 INITIALLY. THAT WAS PRIOR TO ME COMING INTO THE CASE. 11 AT THIS JUNCTURE WE WERE ORIGINALLY SET FOR A TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE BACK IN JANUARY OF 2019, AND 12 13 SEVERAL RFO'S WERE FILED, PUSHED OUT. 14 IN AND AROUND JUNE 19TH OF 2019, TRIAL RULES 15 AND PROCEDURES WERE ORDERED BY JUDGE HEIDEL, AND MR. GIBSON HAS COMPLIED WITH THEM. HE COMPLIED WITH THEM 16 17 PRIOR TO THE TRIAL READINESS CONFERENCE IN SEPTEMBER 23RD OF 2019. 18 19 AND THERE HAS BEEN SEVERAL ORDERS THAT 20 MS. GIBSON HAS NOT COMPLIED WITH, AND SHE HAS HAD OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE COUNSEL. SHE HAS HAD COUNSEL PAID 21 22 FOR. AND SHE SOUGHT TO GET LIMITED SCOPE COUNSEL WHO CAME 23 OUT OF THE CASE BEFORE THEY EVEN APPEARED AT ANY HEARING. 24 AT THIS POINT WE HAVE DONE EVERYTHING WE NEED TO DO ON OUR END TO BE PREPARED. WE SERVED ALL OF 25 26 THE DISCLOSURES. WE SERVED ALL OF OUR TRIAL DOCUMENTS. 27 AND MS. GIBSON HASN'T DONE ANYTHING. AND TO DELAY THE 28 MATTER TO GIVE EXTRA ORDERS THAT I DON'T BELIEVE

RESPONDENT WILL COMPLY WITH, THE ONLY -- ONLY CONTINUES TO 1 2 ALLOW PETITIONER TO INCUR MORE FEES. AND I THINK THE 3 COURT WILL NOTICE THAT THERE IS --4 THE COURT: LET ME STOP AND YOU ASK YOU A QUESTION. 5 WHAT DO YOU WANT ME TO DO? MS. CARTER: SET THE MATTER FOR TRIAL. 6 THE COURT: HOW DO I SET THE MATTER FOR TRIAL WHEN 7 8 THERE HAS BEEN NO PRELIMINARY DECLARATION OF DISCLOSURE FILED AND THE PETITIONER HAS NOT SOUGHT RELIEF FROM THAT 9 10 UNDER FAMILY CODE 2104 AND 2107? MS. CARTER: WELL, I THINK THAT THAT'S SOMETHING 11 THAT IN TERMS OF ALL OF THE -- ANY MOTIONS THAT WE WANT TO 12 13 FILE I INTENDED TO DO AS MOTIONS IN LIMINE WITH REGARD TO 14 KEEPING INFORMATION OUT OF THE CASE BECAUSE THERE WAS THE 15 OPPORTUNITY TO FILE ALL THESE DOCUMENTS, AND THEY WEREN'T 16 FILED --17 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. 18 MS. CARTER: -- OR SERVED. 19 THE COURT: I THINK YOU PROBABLY ALSO UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M ASKING BECAUSE THE JURISDICTION OF THE COURT -- I 20 21 DON'T KNOW IF IT'S JURISDICTIONAL. I'LL TAKE THAT BACK. 22 THE CODE IS PRETTY CLEAR THAT A JUDGMENT OF 23 DISSOLUTION MAY NOT BE ENTERED WITHOUT THE PARTIES HAVING 24 EXCHANGED AT LEAST PRELIMINARY DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSURE. NOW, IF A PARTY REFUSES TO DO IT, THERE ARE METHODS OF 25 DOING SOMETHING ABOUT THAT, BUT THAT REQUIRES SOMEBODY TO 26 27 DO SOMETHING ABOUT THAT.

THE RESPONDENT: MAY I RESPOND?

28

1	THE COURT: HOLD ON JUST A SECOND.
2	WHAT ARE THE ISSUES?
3	MS. CARTER: THE ISSUE
4	THE COURT: AS BEST YOU PERCEIVE IT, MS. CARTER,
5	WHAT DO THE PARTIES DISAGREE ON AT PRESENT?
6	MS. CARTER: SPOUSAL SUPPORT. AS TO THE DIVISION
7	OF COMMUNITY PROPERTY IN GENERAL, I THINK THAT MAYBE THE
8	ONLY ISSUE THE PARTIES MAY HAVE IS THAT, I BELIEVE, THAT
9	THE RESPONDENT WANTS THE ENTIRETY OF THE HOME WITHOUT ANY
10	EQUALIZATION TO MR. GIBSON, WHICH IS NOT IN NO WAY IS
11	THAT ABLE TO HAPPEN BETWEEN THE ASSETS THEY DO HAVE.
12	THERE ARE SOME REIMBURSEMENTS, ACTUALLY SEVERAL
13	REIMBURSEMENTS, THAT THE PETITIONER IS ASKING FOR THAT I
14	IMAGINE RESPONDENT DOESN'T AGREE TO. WE HAVE TRIED TO
15	MEET AND CONFER. WE JUST HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO TALK ABOUT
16	THE SPECIFIC ISSUES AND WHAT THOSE ARE.
17	THE COURT: HOLD ON JUST A SECOND, MS. GIBSON.
18	HOW IS THIS A LONG-TERM MARRIAGE?
19	MS. CARTER: YES.
20	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YES, MS. GIBSON.
21	THE RESPONDENT: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU,
22	AND I'M GOING TO ASSUME BECAUSE I WAS TOLD YOU'RE THE HEAD
23	JUDGE I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO NOT TAKE WHAT SHE SAYS AT
24	FACE VALUE.
25	THE COURT: I DON'T TAKE ANYTHING ANYONE SAYS AT
26	FACE VALUE.
27	THE RESPONDENT: I APPRECIATE THAT. MY HEART IS
28	HURTING. THAT HAS NOT BEEN MY EXPERIENCE. IN EVERY CASE

```
WE HAVE HAD, I HAVE BEEN ABLE TO SPEAK. SHE HAS LED.
 1
     WANT -- YOU KNOW, FIRST OF ALL, I DON'T THINK -- AGAIN, I
 2
 3
     WANT TO SAY VERY SLOWLY AND PUT IT ON RECORD. WHAT I SAID
 4
     TO YOU WAS I AM NOT MENTALLY, PHYSICALLY CAPABLE IN
 5
     ENGAGING TODAY. I SAID THAT WE MOVED FORWARD. I WANT TO
 6
     ADDRESS WHAT SHE SAID ABOUT ME GETTING COUNSEL.
 7
                    OKAY. I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU'VE READ OR WHAT
 8
     YOU KNOW, BUT EVERYTHING WILL BE DISCLOSED. SHE SAID TO
9
     YOU THAT I HAD COUNSEL. OKAY. THIS RACIST JUDGE AWARDED
10
     ME $5,000 BECAUSE I CALLED HER A RACIST. HE ALREADY SPENT
11
      $30,000. I GOT A PHENOMENAL JUDGE -- I MEAN A PHENOMENAL
12
     LAWYER. THE LAWYER -- WHAT ORIGINALLY HAPPENED IS WE DID
13
     SPOUSAL SUPPORT. SIR, I COULDN'T TALK. I COULDN'T SPEAK.
14
     SHE RULED IN HIS FAVOR WHILE THIS YOUNG LADY STOOD THERE
15
     AND COACHED THIS JUDGE OF ONE YEAR. SHE COACHED HER WHAT
16
     TO SAY. I HAD NO INCOME. I HAVEN'T HAD A JOB SINCE 2008.
17
     MY HUSBAND HAS MADE OVER $170,000 FOR THE PAST TEN YEARS.
     I'M ON FOOD STAMPS.
18
19
                    I'M GOING TO ASK YOU PLEASE TO LET ME -- I
20
     WANT TO ADDRESS WHAT SHE SAID.
             THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, PLEASE GET TO THE NUT OF
21
22
     IT.
23
             THE RESPONDENT: I DID A MOTION TO RECONSIDER. I'M
24
     NOT AN ATTORNEY. I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT TO DO. I PROBABLY
25
     DIDN'T WRITE IT ACCURATELY.
26
                    SHE GAVE ME $5,000. THE SAME DAY SHE GAVE
27
     ME $5,000 HE FILED A RESTRAINING ORDER THAT ANOTHER JUDGE
28
     THREW OUT BECAUSE IT'S BOGUS.
```

I GOT A GREAT ATTORNEY. WHAT THEY WANT TO 1 2 DO WAS MOVE EVERYTHING AND CONSOLIDATE EVERYTHING. I HAVE 3 EMAILS WHERE SHE AND THEIR ATTORNEY AGREED TO CONSOLIDATE 4 THI NGS. THIS THING ABOUT LIMITED SCOPE KEPT COMING 5 UP. I'M NOT AN ATTORNEY. I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT. 6 WHEN 7 I FOUND THIS ATTORNEY I DO NOT HAVE CREDIT CARDS. BECAUSE 8 OF THE JUDGE UNLAWFULLY NOT GIVE ME SPOUSAL SUPPORT, ALL 9 MY CREDIT CARDS WENT INTO DEFAULT. WHEN HE ASKED FOR A 10 CREDIT CARD, I SAID, "SIR, I DON'T HAVE IT." HE GAVE ME 11 \$5,000. HE SAID WE HAVE TO DO LIMITED SCOPE. THE LIMITED SCOPE WAS -- WHAT IS IT? REDO THE SPOUSAL SUPPORT? WHAT 12 13 IS IT CALLED? TO RECONSIDER AND ALSO THE SECOND ONE WAS 14 TO ASK FOR ADDITIONAL ATTORNEY'S FEES BECAUSE I STILL 15 NEEDED THEM. MY ATTORNEY AND HER ATTORNEY AGREED TO THAT. 16 17 IT'S MORE -- IT'S VERY BRIEFLY. SHE AGREED TO -- SHE 18 AGREED TO APPEAR ON MY ATTORNEY'S BEHALF. THE WHOLE IDEA 19 WAS THEY WERE GOING TO MOVE EVERYTHING BECAUSE WHAT THEY WERE GOING TO TRY TO DO WAS NEGOTIATE WITH HER WITH THE 20 21 \$5,000. 22 THE COURT: WAIT. HOLD ON, MS. GIBSON. TOO MUCH 23 INFORMATION FOR ME. 24 THE RESPONDENT: I'M ABOUT TO CLOSE IT. 25 WHAT JUDGE HEIDEL DID WAS RULE AGAINST ME. 26 OKAY. SHE RULED AGAINST ME ON THE -- WHAT IS IT? --27 RECONSIDER WHEN WE BOTH AGREED TO MOVE THE DATE. 28 SO WHAT I'M SPEAKING TO, SIR, IS HE IS

SAYING THAT I HAD AN ATTORNEY. MY ATTORNEY GAVE ME A 1 2 REFUND BECAUSE, IN READING THE TRANSCRIPTS, SHE DID 3 THINGS, VIOLATIONS, ISSUES OF LAW AND ISSUES OF FACT. IT 4 WAS BLATANT THE WAY SHE RULED AGAINST ME. MY ATTORNEY SUGGESTED GIVE ME THE MONEY BACK. YOU FILE A 170.6 TO 5 6 HAVE HER REMOVED, AND THAT'S WHERE WE ARE. 7 THE COURT: I SEE THAT. LET ME ASK YOU A DIFFERENT 8 QUESTION. YOU SAY YOU WANT TO BE DIVORCED FROM THIS MAN: 9 RI GHT? 10 THE RESPONDENT: I DO, BUT I WANT IT TO BE FAIR. I DON'T WANT IT TO BE A DIVORCE FROM A BLACK WOMAN TO BE 11 12 DIFFERENT. I HAVEN'T IDENTIFIED ASSETS. HE CLAIMS ASSETS 13 TO BE HIS THAT ARE OURS, BUT HE SAID HE WOULD GIVE ME THE 14 THE MONEY IS HIS, BUT THE DEBT IS MINE. DEBT. 15 THE COURT: MS. GIBSON, I AM GOING TO STOP YOU NOW. 16 SO THIS IS WHAT I THINK I NEED TO DO. THIS 17 TWO-YEAR-OLD CASE NEEDS TO BE BROUGHT TO CONCLUSION FOR BOTH PARTIES'S BENEFIT AND IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST BECAUSE 18 19 EVERY TIME WE HAVE A HEARING --20 THE RESPONDENT: DO YOU --21 THE COURT: SEE, YOU'RE INTERRUPTING ME. 22 THE RESPONDENT: HE DID --THE COURT: YOU'RE INTERRUPTING ME. 23 24 THE RESPONDENT: BUT HE WAITED A YEAR WITHOUT DOING 25 ANYTHI NG. 26 THE COURT: NOW, LOOK, THE BAILIFF IS GETTING UP, 27 WHICH IS THE LAST THING I WANT. PLEASE DON'T INTERRUPT 28 ME.

```
THE RESPONDENT: YOU DIDN'T ASK WHY IN TWO YEARS.
 1
 2
     BECAUSE HE DIDN'T DO ANYTHING FOR A YEAR. HE STRUNG ME
 3
     ALONG AND TOLD ME WE WERE GETTING BACK TOGETHER IS WHAT HE
 4
     DID.
             THE COURT: MS. GIBSON, I THINK WE ARE ALL TRYING
 5
 6
     REAL HARD HERE --
 7
             THE RESPONDENT: I UNDERSTAND.
 8
             THE COURT: -- BUT YOU ARE --
9
             THE RESPONDENT: I JUST WANT FAIR.
10
             THE COURT: -- NOT FOLLOWING THE COURT'S ORDER.
11
             THE RESPONDENT: YES, SIR.
12
             THE COURT: SO THIS IS WHAT I SEE.
13
             THE RESPONDENT: I APOLOGIZE.
14
             THE COURT: THANK YOU. I ACCEPT YOUR APOLOGY.
15
             THE RESPONDENT: I JUST WANT TO BE TREATED FAIRLY
16
     NOT --
17
             THE COURT: MS. GIBSON, YOU ARE ENTITLED TO YOUR
18
     OPINIONS ON ALL THIS.
19
             THE RESPONDENT: THIS IS THE LAW. THIS IS ABOUT
20
     WHITE PRIVILEGE, CLEARLY WHITE PRIVILEGE. I'M ON FOOD
21
     STAMPS. MY HUSBAND HAS BEEN AN EXECUTIVE FOR THE PAST 25
22
     YEARS, AND I GET FOOD STAMPS.
23
             THE COURT: SO I AM MAKING A FINDING THAT
24
     MS. GIBSON APPEARS TO BE UNABLE TO CONTROL HER IMPULSE
     HERE IN COURT DESPITE HOW HARD I'M TRYING. I'M SPEAKING
25
     WITH A LOW VOICE. I'M NOT ANGRY.
26
27
                    ALL RIGHT. SO ON JUNE 21, 2019, THE COURT
28
     THROUGH JUDGE HEIDEL MADE A COLLECTION OF TRIAL READINESS
```

ORDERS. INCLUDED WAS THAT THE PARTIES WERE TO FILE PROOFS 1 2 OF SERVICE OF PRELIMINARY DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSURE 3 AND/OR FINAL DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSURE OR WAIVERS OF FINAL DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSURE AS REQUIRED BY STATUTE. 4 THE REASON THE COURT REQUIRES THAT IS BECAUSE THE COURT 5 CANNOT ENTER A JUDGMENT DIVORCING THE TWO OF YOU UNLESS 6 7 YOU HAVE DISCLOSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION AS REQUIRED BY 8 IT DOES NOT APPEAR TO ME THAT THE RESPONDENT HAS I AW. COMPLIED WITH THAT ORDER. 9 10 THE RESPONDENT: MAY I RAISE MY HAND TO SPEAK? THE COURT: IN A MINUTE. 11 JUDGE HEIDEL ALSO ORDERED ON THAT DAY THE 12 13 PARTIES WERE TO FILE AND EXCHANGE WITNESS LISTS 14 IDENTIFYING AREAS OF TESTIMONY OF EACH PROPOSED WITNESS. 15 ACTUALLY, I TAKE THAT BACK. SHE DID ORDER THAT, BUT THOSE ARE NOT DUE UNTIL FIVE COURT DAYS BEFORE A MANDATORY 16 17 SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE OR A TRIAL. 18 LET ME TELL YOU WHAT I PROPOSE TO DO, AND 19 THEN I'LL HEAR FROM YOU, MS. GIBSON. I'M GOING TO SET ANOTHER HEARING IN THIS 20 COURT. IT'S NOT GOING TO BE A TRIAL READINESS CONFERENCE. 21 22 IT'S GOING TO BE A TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE. ON THAT DAY I'M GOING TO SET YOUR CASE FOR TRIAL. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO 23 24 GO TO TRIAL THAT DAY. YOU WILL BE GETTING A TRIAL DATE 25 THAT DAY. 26 I'M GOING TO ALSO ORDER -- WELL, I'M GOING 27 TO SET WHAT'S CALLED AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING THIS 28 PRELIMINARY DECLARATION OF DISCLOSURE, AND BASICALLY WHAT

```
IT WILL BE IS I'M GOING TO HOLD A HEARING THAT DAY AS TO
 1
 2
      WHY THE CASE SHOULD NOT PROCEED TO JUDGMENT EVEN THOUGH
 3
      THE RESPONDENT HAS NOT FILED A PRELIMINARY DECLARATION OF
 4
      DI SCLOSURE.
                    AND I'M GOING TO SET A HEARING AS TO WHY THE
 5
      PETITIONER -- I'M SORRY -- WHY THE RESPONDENT SHOULD NOT
 6
      BE PRECLUDED FROM OFFERING ANY TESTIMONY ON THE ISSUES --
 7
 8
      ON FINANCIAL ISSUES IN THE CASE.
9
                    NOW, I DON'T WANT TO DO ANY OF THAT.
10
      REALLY WANT IS FOR THE TWO OF YOU -- THE TWO PARTIES TO
11
      SIT DOWN AND COME TO AN AGREEMENT AND GET A JUDGMENT
      ENTERED, AND I WANT IT TO BE FAIR, AND I WANT EVERYBODY TO
12
      GET WHAT THEY'RE ENTITLED TO, BUT, IN ORDER FOR PEOPLE TO
13
14
      GET WHAT THEY ARE ENTITLED TO, THEY JUST HAVE TO -- THEY
      HAVE TO FOLLOW COURT ORDERS, COURT RULES, STATUTES AND THE
15
16
      LI KE.
17
                    SO THAT'S WHAT I PLAN TO DO. THEN ONE WAY
      OR THE OTHER NEXT TIME YOU'RE HERE YOU WILL BE MOVING TO
18
19
      THE NEXT AND FINAL PHASE OF THE CASE.
             THE RESPONDENT: MAY I RESPOND?
20
21
             THE COURT: I'M SORRY.
22
             THE RESPONDENT: AM I ALLOWED TO RESPOND?
23
             THE COURT: YES, NOW YOU ARE ALLOWED TO RESPOND.
24
      PLEASE TELL ME WHAT YOU THINK.
25
             THE RESPONDENT: YOU KNOW WHAT, JUDGE RIFF? I
      WOULD REALLY APPRECIATE IT IF YOU GIVE ME AN OPPORTUNITY
26
27
      TO COMMUNICATE.
28
                    FIRST OF ALL, THE FIRST THING I SAID TO YOU
```

WAS I'M NOT MENTALLY, PHYSICALLY CAPABLE OF PROCEEDING. 1 1 AM SEEING A MENTAL HEALTH DOCTOR. AS A MATTER OF FACT, 2 3 THAT THE LADY CAN CHANGE HIS JOB AND DIDN'T TELL ME ABOUT 4 HIS INSURANCE. I'M UNDER A DOCTOR'S CARE. SO I'D LIKE TO ADDRESS HIM SAYING MY OUTBURST RELATES TO MY MENTAL 5 6 CONDITION. OKAY. 7 SO, IN THE BEGINNING OF THIS TRIAL I SAID TO 8 YOU THAT I'M NOT CAPABLE OF DOING THIS, THAT I NEED 9 REPRESENTATION. I TOLD YOU BRIEFLY I'M GOING THROUGH 10 EVERYTHING. AND I WOULD ASSUME THAT YOU'RE A CRITICAL THINKER. IF YOU LOOK AT IT ON THE SURFACE, YOU MAY SEE IT 11 12 ONE WAY. WHAT I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO DO, JUDGE RIFF, IS 13 TO LOOK DEEPLY TO -- BECAUSE WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE IS THAT I 14 DIDN'T COMPLY. 15 WHAT HAPPENED WAS SHE SUPPOSEDLY SENT INTERROGATORIES. SHE NEVER MAILED THEM. WHEN THE JUDGE 16 17 ORDERED ME \$5,000 IN ATTORNEY'S FEES, HER STRATEGY WAS TO EAT UP MY ATTORNEY'S FEES. SHE DIDN'T MAIL THEM. SHE HAD 18 19 MULTIPLE CONVERSATIONS WITH MY ATTORNEY. SHE NEVER MENTIONED INTERROGATORIES. SHE FILED A MOTION TO COMPEL. 20 THIS RACIST JUDGE ORDERED HER FAVOR. 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE LAW SAYS WE HAVE TO MEET AND CONFER. ON THE MAY 3RD DATE SHE TOLD HER TO MEET AND CONFER WITH ME. SHE NEVER MEET AND CONFER. HER ASSOCIATE, MS. SMITH, WHO IS ANOTHER BLACK WOMAN, WHO I MET THE FIRST DAY I CAME --I BONDED WITH. I CALLED HER. EMAILED HER. SHOWED THE JUDGE MY PHONE RECORDS AND EMAILS THAT ASKING HER TO HELP ME FIND A BLACK ATTORNEY. SHE NEVER MENTIONED

```
INTERROGATORIES. SHE FILED A MOTION TO COMPEL
 1
 2
     INTERROGATORIES TO LIMIT MY RIGHT IN RESPONDING.
 3
                    SIR, I HAVEN'T HAD A JOB SINCE 2008.
             THE COURT: OKAY. I'M GOING TO STOP YOU NOW.
 4
             THE RESPONDENT: OF COURSE.
 5
             THE COURT: AS I SAID EARLIER, YOU ARE CERTAINLY
 6
     FNTITIED TO ALL OF THE OPINIONS --
 7
 8
             THE RESPONDENT: IT'S NOT OPINION. IT'S FACT, SIR.
9
             THE COURT: PLEASE DON'T INTERRUPT ME. PLEASE
10
     DON'T INTERRUPT ME.
11
                   AND I AM NOT GOING TO ENGAGE YOU IN YOUR
     OPINION. YOU'RE ENTITLED TO THEM. WHAT I AM GOING TO
12
     DO --
13
14
             THE RESPONDENT: OPINIONS?
15
             THE COURT: WHAT I AM GOING TO DO IS TO MAKE THE
16
     ORDERS THAT I THINK I NEED TO MAKE, THAT I WOULD MAKE IN
17
     EVERY CASE, IN ORDER TO GET THIS CASE MOVING TO THE NEXT
18
     PHASE.
             THE RESPONDENT: CAN I REQUEST THAT --
19
20
             THE COURT: NOT YET.
21
             THE RESPONDENT: -- THAT --
22
             THE COURT: PLEASE DON'T INTERRUPT ME. PLEASE
23
     DON'T INTERRUPT ME.
24
            THE RESPONDENT: SURE. I'D LIKE TO RESPOND,
25
     THOUGH.
26
             THE COURT: SO, MADAM CLERK, I WANT A TRIAL SETTING
27
     CONFERENCE DATE IN DEPARTMENT 2 IN ABOUT SIX WEEKS,
28
     PLEASE.
```

1	THE RESPONDENT: I HAVE AN RFO FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES,
2	AND THAT'S IN DECEMBER.
3	THE COURT: PLEASE. OH, YOU HAVE AN RFO FOR
4	THE RESPONDENT: AND SHE WAS ABLE TO FILE AN RFO TO
5	HAVE ME DECLARED AS A NUISANCE AND NOT FILE THINGS. I'M
6	BEING BULLIED, AND, JUDGE RIFF, I'M GOING TO LET YOU KNOW
7	THIS IS GOING TO BE PUBLIC.
8	THE COURT: OKAY.
9	THE RESPONDENT: I'M GETTING A DIVORCE. THE LAW IS
10	YOU SPLIT EVERYTHING IN HALF. I'M DONE.
11	THE COURT: PLEASE STOP TALKING.
12	THE RESPONDENT: OF COURSE. OF COURSE.
13	THE COURT: PLEASE STOP TALKING.
14	MADAM CLERK.
15	
16	(A DISCUSSION WAS HELD OFF THE RECORD
17	BETWEEN THE COURT AND THE JUDICIAL
18	ASSI STANT.)
19	
20	THE COURT: SO EVERYBODY'S GOT SOMETHING TO WRITE
21	WI TH? GOOD.
22	I SEE YOU'VE GOT TWO REQUEST FOR ORDERS SET
23	IN POMONA IN DECEMBER. I'M GOING TO SET THIS HEARING IN
24	THIS DEPARTMENT AFTER THAT ON JANUARY 7, 2020, AT 8:30
25	A. M.
26	THE RESPONDENT: JANUARY 7TH.
27	THE COURT: IN THIS DEPARTMENT, JANUARY 7, 2020.
28	OKAY. THAT IS A TUESDAY.

YES, MA'AM.

THE RESPONDENT: CAN YOU PLEASE -- I'M GOING TO ASK YOU, SIR. LISTEN, I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU THINK ABOUT BLACK WOMEN AND BEING DIFFICULT. ALL I WANT IS FAIRNESS. I TRUST YOU. I WOULD REQUEST THAT YOU MOVE EVERYTHING IN MY CASE TO YOUR COURT. I THINK YOU'RE BEING LESS THAN KIND TO ME. YOU'RE BEING LESS THAN FAIR TO ME, BUT I TRUST YOU MORE THAN RACIST JUDGE HEIDEL. I'M ASKING YOU TO PLEASE MOVE EVERY ORDER HERE BECAUSE I THINK YOU'RE LOOKING AT AND YOU'RE NOT SEEING WHAT'S HAPPENING. I TRUST YOU. IF YOU ARE ABLE TO LOOK THROUGH EVERYTHING, YOU'LL SEE, SIR, THAT I'M TELLING THE TRUTH. IT'S NOT THAT I DON'T WANT TO NOT BE MARRIED TO MY HUSBAND. ALL I WANTED WAS AN ATTORNEY, SIR. 2018 -- HE STRUNG ME ALONG FOR A YEAR.

THE COURT: MS. GIBSON.

THE RESPONDENT: YES, OF COURSE.

THE COURT: YOUR REQUEST THAT I TRANSFER THE ENTIRE CASE TO DEPARTMENT 2 -- I'M GOING TO DENY THAT REQUEST, YOU KNOW, AND I'LL JUST EXPLAIN TO YOU, AND, AGAIN -- WELL I'LL JUST EXPLAIN TO YOU.

WE HAVE BOTH AN ETHICAL OBLIGATION AND A
STATUTORY OBLIGATION AS JUDGES TO RULE ON AND HANDLE ALL
MATTERS THAT ARE ASSIGNED TO US. THIS CASE IS ASSIGNED TO
JUDGE HEIDEL. YOU HAVE BROUGHT A 170.6 CHALLENGE. I SEE
THAT IT WAS DENIED. I THINK YOU BROUGHT --

THE RESPONDENT: IT WASN'T DONE PROPERLY. THE CLERK THREATENED ME. I HAVE THE CLERK ON VIDEO

THREATENING TO CALL --

THE COURT: YOU'RE INTERRUPTING ME AGAIN. 1 2 THE RESPONDENT: OF COURSE. 3 THE COURT: SO I'M EXPLAINING TO YOU WHY I'M 4 DENYING THAT REQUEST. 5 THE RESPONDENT: AND I'D LIKE TO RESPOND TO THAT. THE COURT: I'M NOT GOING TO PERMIT YOU TO. 6 THE RESPONDENT: THAT'S FINE, BUT I WANT YOU TO 7 8 KNOW, IF HE WANTS TO GET DIVORCED. THIS IS BEING PROLONGED 9 BECAUSE, AT THE END OF THE DAY, BLACK PEOPLE DIED FOR ME. 10 AND, BECAUSE OF THAT, WHEN I SEE BLACK PEOPLE BEING 11 DISCRIMINATED, THIS IS ONE OF THE ISSUES IN OUR MARRIAGE. 12 I STAND UP FOR IT. BLACK PEOPLE GAVE THEIR LIFE FOR ME. YOU'RE NOT ALLOWING ME --13 14 THE COURT: PLEASE STOP TALKING NOW. 15 THE RESPONDENT: FINE. SHE IS A RACIST. 16 THE COURT: I HAVE TRIED --17 THE RESPONDENT: BUT YOU WON'T LET ME SPEAK. 18 THE COURT: NO. I DON'T WANT YOU TO SPEAK. 19 THE RESPONDENT: THE 170.6 -- THEY DID NOT LET ME 20 FILE IT APPROPRIATELY. IT'S GOING TO BE INVESTIGATED. SO 21 IF YOU WANT TO --22 THE COURT: MS. GIBSON --23 THE RESPONDENT: OKAY. 24 THE COURT: -- THIS IS THE LAST TIME I'M GOING TO SAY IT. THE NEXT TIME YOU INTERRUPT ME I'M GOING TO 25 26 PROCEED WITH THIS HEARING WITH YOU IN THE HALLWAY. OKAY. 27 I'M SETTING A TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE 28 JANUARY 7TH, 2020. I'M ALSO SETTING ON THAT DAY AN ORDER

TO SHOW CAUSE AS TO WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT PROCEED TO 1 JUDGMENT DESPITE PETITIONER'S -- I'M SORRY -- DESPITE 2 3 RESPONDENT'S FAILURE TO SERVE --4 THE RESPONDENT: FAILURE TO SERVE WHAT? I GAVE HER WHAT SHE ASKED FOR, BUT YOU'RE ASSUMING. I SERVE THE GOOD 5 6 GOD. ALL THE TIME MY GOD IS GOOD. 7 THE COURT: -- FAILURE TO SERVE A PRELIMINARY 8 DECLARATION OF DISCLOSURE. I'M SETTING THAT ORDER TO SHOW 9 CAUSE UNDER FAMILY CODE SECTION 2107. 10 THE RESPONDENT: WHAT IS A PRELIMINARY DISCLOSURE? WHAT ARE YOU REFERRING TO? I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT 11 12 IS. THE COURT: HOLD ON. I'M MAKING OTHER ORDERS, 13 14 PLEASE. I'M ALSO GOING TO SET AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 15 16 ON THAT SAME DATE AND AT THE SAME TIME AS TO WHY THE COURT 17 SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE THE RESPONDENT FROM OFFERING ANY EVIDENCE AT THE TIME OF TRIAL CONCERNING FINANCIAL 18 19 MATTERS. SO THAT IS AN EVIDENTIARY SANCTION. 20 NOW, MS. GIBSON, I'M GOING TO MAKE A 21 REFERRAL TO YOU TO OUR SELF-HELP CENTER. 22 THE RESPONDENT: I'VE ALREADY BEEN THERE, AND I'VE 23 ALREADY BEEN MISLED BY ATTORNEYS. 24 THE COURT: PLEASE STOP TALKING. I'M MAKING A REFERRAL TO OUR SELF-HELP 25 CENTER. THE MINUTE ORDER WILL REFLECT THAT BECAUSE I 26 27 REALLY WANT YOU TO PREPARE A PRELIMINARY DECLARATION OF 28 DISCLOSURE AND TO SERVE IT AS REQUIRED BY LAW AS YOU WERE

```
PREVIOUSLY ORDERED TO DO. IF YOU DO THAT -- IF YOU DO
 1
     THAT -- I AM NOT GOING TO SANCTION YOU WITH AN EVIDENCE
 2
 3
     SANCTION, AND YOU WILL BE ABLE TO TELL YOUR SIDE OF THE
 4
     STORY AT TRIAL. THAT'S WHAT I WANT FOR YOU. OKAY. SO,
 5
     IF YOU SAY YOU DON'T KNOW HOW TO DO IT, I'M TELLING YOU
     HOW YOU CAN GET THAT DONE. GO TO OUR SELF-HELP CENTER.
 6
 7
                    NOW. THE LAST THING I WANT TO SAY IS I HAVE
8
     HEARD YOU SEVERAL TIMES TELL ME THAT YOU BELIEVE --
9
             THE RESPONDENT: I AM --
             THE COURT: -- THAT YOU ARE MENTALLY OR PHYSICALLY
10
      INCAPABLE OF PARTICIPATING IN THIS HEARING.
11
12
             THE RESPONDENT: DO YOU WANT TO SEE MY MEDICAL
13
     RECORDS?
14
             THE COURT: PLEASE STOP.
                   IF THAT'S THE CASE, THIS IS WHAT I NEED YOU
15
     TO DO. OKAY. I NEED YOU TO GET A GUARDIAN AD LITEM
16
17
     APPOINTED ON YOUR BEHALF, OR I NEED YOU TO GET A GUARDIAN
     APPOINTED IN THE PROBATE COURT. I'VE LOOKED AT THIS FILE.
18
19
     I'VE SEEN IT, AND WHAT I'M NOT WILLING TO DO IS TO SIMPLY
20
     MAKE NO ORDERS IN THIS CASE --
21
             THE RESPONDENT: I NEED AN ATTORNEY.
22
             THE COURT: -- BASED ON YOUR ORAL REPRESENTATIONS
23
     TODAY.
24
             THE RESPONDENT: CALIFORNIA FAMILY CODE 2030 SAYS I
     NEED AN ATTORNEY. SIR, I HAVE AN RFO ON THE CALENDAR FOR
25
26
     AN ATTORNEY.
27
                   AND YOU KNOW WHAT, YOUR HONOR? AND LET ME
28
     SAY TO YOU, IF YOU FEEL I'M BEING DISRESPECTFUL TO YOU, I
```

APOLOGIZE PROFUSELY. I'M A BLACK WOMAN. 1 2 THE COURT: CAN I JUST COMMENT ON THAT? LET ME 3 JUST SAY THIS: I DON'T THINK YOU ARE BEING DISRESPECTFUL TO ME. I THINK YOU DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO CONTROL YOUR 4 5 IMPULSES. THAT'S WHAT I THINK. THE RESPONDENT: WELL, YOU KNOW WHAT? I'M NOT 6 GOING TO ARGUE WITH THAT. IF YOU HAVE BEEN IN AN ABUSIVE 7 8 RELATIONSHIP FOR 23 YEARS AND ALL THAT I'VE GONE THROUGH. WHICH YOU DON'T WANT TO HEAR AND IT'S NOT RELEVANT TO YOU, 9 YOU WOULD BETTER UNDERSTAND. WHEN I WALKED IN HERE, I 10 TOLD YOU I WASN'T CAPABLE FOR TWO REASONS. I DON'T HAVE 11 AN ATTORNEY. YOU'RE SENDING ME TO SELF-HELP WHEN MY 12 13 HUSBAND MAKES OVER 15, \$20,000 A MONTH. I NEED AN 14 ATTORNEY. THE COURT: YOU KNOW, YOU'RE REPEATING YOURSELF. 15 THE RESPONDENT: SO BECAUSE WHEN I'M SPEAKING --16 17 THE COURT: LET ME JUST SAY, MS. GIBSON. I DON'T 18 THINK IT'S USEFUL REALLY TO PROLONG THIS HEARING BECAUSE I 19 THINK YOU'RE REPEATING YOURSELF. 20 THE RESPONDENT: I'M REPEATING MYSELF. YOU'RE NOT LETTING ME GET MY THOUGHTS THROUGH. I WANT TO RESPOND TO 21 22 WHAT YOU SAID. 23 THE COURT: SO, MS. CARTER, I'M GOING TO ORDER YOU 24 TO GIVE WRITTEN NOTICE OF THE TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE AND THE TWO ORDERS TO SHOW CAUSE. 25 26 MS. CARTER: VERY GOOD. 27 THE RESPONDENT: WHAT IS THAT? I DON'T UNDERSTAND

WHAT THAT MEANS? CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT TO ME, SIR? I

28

DON'T UNDERSTAND ANYTHING THAT YOU SAID. SO, WHEN I 1 2 WALKED IN HERE, I ASKED YOU NOT TO MOVE FORWARD. I HAVE A 3 DECEMBER COURT DATE FOR TO ASK FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES. 4 THE COURT: RIGHT. 5 THE RESPONDENT: THIS IS THE SAME THING THE OTHER 6 JUDGE DID. IF I WAS JUST GIVEN FEES. HE HAS PAID HER \$40,000 IN EIGHT MONTHS. CALIFORNIA CODE SAYS I HAVE A 7 8 RIGHT TO AN ATTORNEY. 9 THE COURT: SO YOU'RE REPEATING YOURSELE. 10 THE RESPONDENT: BUT I'M NOT FINISHING MY THOUGHT. 11 THE COURT: PLEASE STOP TALKING. 12 THE RESPONDENT: OF COURSE YOU DON'T WANT TO HEAR 13 IT FROM A BLACK WOMAN. 14 THE COURT: I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU ONE LAST 15 EXPLANATION, AND THEN THIS HEARING IS ABOUT TO BE OVER. 16 THE RESPONDENT: FINE. 17 THE COURT: I KNOW YOU HAVE HEARINGS SET IN POMONA 18 IN DECEMBER. WHAT I AM DOING IS I'M SETTING A HEARING 19 HERE IN JANUARY WHERE I'M GOING TO GET YOU A TRIAL DATE. THE OTHER THING THAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN ON THAT DAY IN 20 21 JANUARY IS I'M GOING TO CONSIDER TWO QUESTIONS. QUESTION 22 NUMBER 1, DID YOU SERVE THE PRELIMINARY DECLARATION OF 23 DI SCLOSURE --24 THE RESPONDENT: WHAT IS THAT? EXPLAIN THAT TO ME. 25 WHAT IS THAT? WHAT IS IT? 26 THE COURT: I AM SENDING YOU TO OUR SELF-HELP 27 CENTER, AND THEY WILL EXPLAIN TO YOU WHAT THAT IS. 28 THE RESPONDENT: SO I DESERVE SELF-HELP NOT AN

ATTORNEY. 1 2 THE COURT: PLEASE STOP INTERRUPTING ME. 3 THE RESPONDENT: OKAY. THE COURT: IF YOU DO -- IF YOU SERVE A PRELIMINARY 4 DECLARATION OF DISCLOSURE, THEN I WILL BE ABLE TO GIVE YOU 5 6 A TRIAL DATE. AND, IF YOU COMPLY WITH THE OTHER COURT 7 ORDERS, YOU WILL BE ABLE TO TELL YOUR SIDE OF THE STORY AT 8 TRIAL ON FINANCIAL MATTERS. IF YOU DON'T SERVE A 9 PRELIMINARY DECLARATION OF DISCLOSURE AS YOU'VE PREVIOUSLY 10 BEEN ORDERED TO DO --11 THE RESPONDENT: I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT IS. THE COURT: -- THEN THE CASE WILL PROCEED TO A 12 13 JUDGMENT WITHOUT YOUR DOING SO, AND IT'S LIKELY YOU WILL 14 BE UNABLE AT THE TIME OF TRIAL TO TELL YOUR SIDE OF THE STORY ON FINANCIAL MATTERS. I WANT YOU TO BE ABLE TO TELL 15 16 YOUR SIDE OF THE STORY. I THINK IT'S --17 THE RESPONDENT: I DON'T THINK THAT'S TRUE, BUT IT'S OKAY. 18 19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE HEARING IS CONCLUDED. 20 ANYTHING FURTHER, MS. CARTER? MS. CARTER: TWO THINGS. JUST TWO THINGS FOR OUR 21 22 RECORD. 23 MS. GIBSON DID INDICATE THAT SHE RECEIVED \$5,000 IN ATTORNEY'S FEES, THAT THOSE WERE GIVEN TO A 24 LIMITED SCOPE COUNSEL AND REFUNDED TO HER. THAT'S ALL I 25 WANTED TO INDICATE. 26 27 AS TO BEING ABLE TO PRESENT EVIDENCE AT 28 TRIAL, THE COURT MAY BE AWARE THAT, PURSUANT TO THE

JUNE 21ST, 2019, ORDERS, SHE IS ALREADY PRECLUDED FROM 1 2 PRESENTING TESTIMONY AS TO SPOUSAL SUPPORT. 3 THE RESPONDENT: YOU SEE WHAT SHE SAYS. 4 THE COURT: MY ORDER DOES NOT AFFECT ANY PRIOR 5 ORDERS. OKAY. SO MY ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE IS INDEPENDENT 6 OF ANY PREEXISTING COURT ORDERS, MS. CARTER. OKAY. 7 MS. CARTER: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE RESPONDENT: YOUR HONOR -- YOUR HONOR, I 9 BELIEVE THAT JUDGE HEIDEL IS BLASED -- IS RACIST TOWARDS 10 ME. AND THIS IS NOT ABOUT DISCLOSING FINANCIAL 11 INFORMATION. THIS IS ABOUT ME BEING IN FRONT OF AN I MPARTI AL JUDGE. 12 SO, IF YOU'RE GOING TO DO WHAT YOU'RE GOING 13 14 TO DO, MY POSITION IS TO BE HEARD BY A JUDGE WHO IS 15 IMPARTIAL. I BELIEVE THAT YOU'RE IMPARTIAL, AND I BELIEVE 16 THAT YOU'RE FAIR. I BELIEVE THAT YOU'RE SEEING THINGS, 17 AND YOU ARE GETTING THE WRONG IDEA. SO ALL THE THINGS YOU'RE SAYING IS -- I'M TRYING TO TELL YOU. YOU SAID --18 19 WHAT THEY ARE SAYING IS THAT I DIDN'T HAVE -- YOUR HONOR, I'M NOT SURE THAT THAT'S ACCURATE. 20 21 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, MS. GIBSON --THE RESPONDENT: SHE -- SHE HAS BEEN VERY 22 23 DECEPTI VE. 24 THE COURT: YOU'RE REPEATING YOURSELF. THE RESPONDENT: IT'S GOING TO BE IN THE NEWS. 25 SHE 26 IS BEING DECEPTIVE REPEATEDLY. 27 THE COURT: MS. GIBSON, OUR HEARING IS OVER. THE 28 MATTER IS CONCLUDED.

1 2		THE RESPONDENT: I UNDERSTAND. NO PROBLEM.  THE COURT: GOOD LUCK TO YOU ALL.
3		THE RESPONDENT: MY GOD IS GOOD. HE NEVER FAILS
4	ME.	THE RESTONDENT. WIT GOD TO GOOD. HE NEVER TATES
5	IVIL.	
6		(END OF PROCEEDINGS.)
7		000
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

	OUDEDLOD COURT OF THE OTATE OF CALLEDDINA
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 2 HON. LAWRENCE P. RIFF, JUDGE
4	
5	IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF:
6	JAMES GIBSON, III, PETITIONER,
7	VS. NO. 17PSFL00745
8	KARRIE GIBSON, RESPONDENT.
9	
10	
11	
12	I, ROSEMARIE P. HERNANDEZ, OFFICIAL COURT
13	REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
15	FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 25, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL,
16	TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE
17	ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER, REPORTED BY ME ON FRIDAY,
18	OCTOBER 25, 2019.
19	DATED THIS <u>24TH</u> DAY OF FEBRUARY 2020.
20	
21	
22	Rosemane F. Her rong
23	ROSEMARIE P. HERNANDEZ, CSR #9572 <b>)</b> OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Γ