

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION

Karrie Gibson

V.

Los Angeles County Superior Court

Interested Party

James W. Gibson III

Attorney Ariel Carter

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUM REQUESTING THE
APPEALS COURT ORDER THE SUPERIOR COURT TO ALLOW
KARRIE GIBSON TO FILE A FAMILY CODE 2122
MOTION TO SET ASIDE JUDGEMENT

Karrie Gibson

1004 West Covina Pkwy #238

West Covina, Ca 91745

DivorceofJamesGibson@gmail.com

Points and Authority

Family code 2030 (a)(1) In a proceeding for dissolution of marriage, nullity of marriage, or legal separation of the parties, and in any proceeding subsequent to entry of a related judgment, the court shall ensure that each party has access to legal representation, including access early in the proceedings, to preserve each party's rights by ordering, if necessary based on the income and needs assessments, one party, except a governmental entity, to pay to the other party, or to the other party's attorney, whatever amount is reasonably necessary for attorney's fees and for the cost of maintaining or defending the proceeding during the pendency of the proceeding. (2) When a request for attorney's fees and costs is made, the court shall make findings on whether an award of attorney's fees and costs under this section is appropriate, whether there is a disparity in access to funds to retain counsel, and whether one party is able to pay for legal representation of both parties. If the findings demonstrate disparity in access and ability to pay, the court shall make an order awarding attorney's fees and costs. A party who lacks the financial ability to hire an attorney may request, as an in pro per litigant, that the court order the other party, if that other party has the financial ability, to pay a reasonable amount to allow the unrepresented party to retain an attorney in a timely manner before proceedings in the matter go forward.(b) Attorney's fees and costs within this section may be awarded for legal services rendered or costs incurred before or after the commencement of the proceeding.

California Code, Family Code - FAM § 2122 The grounds and time limits for a motion to set aside a judgment, or any part or parts thereof, are governed by this section and shall be one of the following: (a) Actual fraud where the defrauded party was kept in ignorance or in some other manner was fraudulently prevented from fully participating in the proceeding. An action or motion based on fraud shall be brought within one year after the date on which the complaining party either did discover, or should have discovered, the fraud.(b) Perjury. An action or motion based on perjury in the preliminary or final declaration of disclosure, the waiver of the final declaration of disclosure, or in the current income and expense statement shall be brought within one year after the date on which the complaining party either did discover, or should have discovered, the perjury.(c) Duress. An action or motion based upon duress shall be brought within two years after the date of entry of judgment.(d) Mental incapacity. An action or motion based on mental incapacity shall be brought within two years after the date of entry of judgment.(e)As to stipulated or uncontested judgments or that part of a judgment stipulated to by the parties, mistake, either mutual or unilateral, whether mistake of law or mistake of fact. An action or motion based on mistake shall be brought within one year after the date of entry of judgment. (f) Failure to comply with the disclosure requirements of Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 2100). An action or motion based on failure to comply with the disclosure requirements shall be brought within one year after the date on which the complaining party either discovered, or should have discovered, the failure to comply. California law recognizes the vital importance of full and accurate disclosure of assets liabilities and financial circumstances at the early stage of a marital actions in order to ensure a proper division of the community estate and fair and sufficient spousal support awards.

§ 170.1. Grounds for disqualification

(a) A judge shall be disqualified if any one or more of the following are true:

(1)(A) The judge has personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding.

(B) A judge shall be deemed to have personal knowledge within the meaning of this paragraph if the judge, or the spouse of the judge, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse of such a person is to the judge's knowledge likely to be a material witness in the proceeding.

(2)(A) The judge served as a lawyer in the proceeding, or in any other proceeding involving the same issues he or she served as a lawyer for a party in the present proceeding or gave advice to a party in the present proceeding upon a matter involved in the action or proceeding.

(B) A judge shall be deemed to have served as a lawyer in the proceeding if within the past two years:

(i) A party to the proceeding, or an officer, director, or trustee of a party, was a client of the judge when the judge was in the private practice of law or a client of a lawyer with whom the judge was associated in the private practice of law.

(ii) A lawyer in the proceeding was associated in the private practice of law with the judge.

(C) A judge who served as a lawyer for, or officer of, a public agency that is a party to the proceeding shall be deemed to have served as a lawyer in the proceeding if he or she personally advised or in any way represented the public agency concerning the factual or legal issues in the proceeding.

(3)(A) The judge has a financial interest in the subject matter in a proceeding or in a party to the proceeding.

(B) A judge shall be deemed to have a financial interest within the meaning of this paragraph if:

(i) A spouse or minor child living in the household has a financial interest.

(ii) The judge or the spouse of the judge is a fiduciary who has a financial interest.

(C) A judge has a duty to make reasonable efforts to inform himself or herself about his or her personal and fiduciary interests and those of his or her spouse and the personal financial interests of children living in the household.

(4) The judge, or the spouse of the judge, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse of such a person is a party to the proceeding or an officer, director, or trustee of a party.

(5) A lawyer or a spouse of a lawyer in the proceeding is the spouse, former spouse, child, sibling, or parent of the judge or the judge's spouse or if such a person is associated in the private practice of law with a lawyer in the proceeding.

(6)(A) For any reason:

(i) The judge believes his or her recusal would further the interests of justice.

(ii) The judge believes there is a substantial doubt as to his or her capacity to be impartial.

(iii) A person aware of the facts might reasonably entertain a doubt that the judge would be able to be impartial.

(B) Bias or prejudice toward a lawyer in the proceeding may be grounds for disqualification.

(7) By reason of permanent or temporary physical impairment, the judge is unable to properly perceive the evidence or is unable to properly conduct the proceeding.

(8)(A) The judge has a current arrangement concerning prospective employment or other compensated service as a dispute resolution neutral or is participating in, or, within the last two years has participated in, discussions regarding prospective employment or service as a dispute resolution neutral, or has been engaged in that employment or service, and any of the following applies:

(i) The arrangement is, or the prior employment or discussion was, with a party to the proceeding.

(ii) The matter before the judge includes issues relating to the enforcement of either an agreement to submit a dispute to an alternative dispute resolution process or an award or other final decision by a dispute resolution neutral.

(iii) The judge directs the parties to participate in an alternative dispute resolution process in which the dispute resolution neutral will be an individual or entity with whom the judge has the arrangement, has previously been employed or served, or is discussing or has discussed the employment or service.

(iv) The judge will select a dispute resolution neutral or entity to conduct an alternative dispute resolution process in the matter before the judge, and among those available for selection is an individual or entity with whom the judge has the arrangement, with whom the judge has previously been employed or served, or with whom the judge is discussing or has discussed the employment or service.

(B) For the purposes of this paragraph, all of the following apply:

(i) "Participating in discussions" or "has participated in discussion" means that the judge solicited or otherwise indicated an interest in accepting or negotiating possible employment or service as an alternative dispute resolution neutral, or responded to an unsolicited statement regarding, or an offer of, that employment or service by expressing an interest in that employment or service, making an inquiry regarding the employment or service, or encouraging the person making the statement or offer to provide additional information about that possible employment or service. If a judge's response to an unsolicited statement regarding, a question about, or offer of, prospective employment or other compensated service as a dispute resolution neutral is limited to responding negatively, declining the offer, or declining to discuss that employment or service, that response does not constitute participating in discussions.

(ii) "Party" includes the parent, subsidiary, or other legal affiliate of any entity that is a party and is involved in the transaction, contract, or facts that gave rise to the issues subject to the proceeding.

(iii) "Dispute resolution neutral" means an arbitrator, mediator, temporary judge appointed under Section 21 of Article VI of the California Constitution, referee appointed under Section 638 or 639, special master, neutral evaluator, settlement officer, or settlement facilitator.

(9)(A) The judge has received a contribution in excess of one thousand five hundred dollars (\$1500) from a party or lawyer in the proceeding, and either of the following applies:

(i) The contribution was received in support of the judge's last election, if the last election was within the last six years.

(ii) The contribution was received in anticipation of an upcoming election.

(B) Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), the judge shall be disqualified based on a contribution of a lesser amount if subparagraph (A) of paragraph (6) applies.

(C) The judge shall disclose any contribution from a party or lawyer in a matter that is before the court that is required to be reported under subdivision (f) of Section 84211 of the Government **Code**, even if the amount would not require disqualification under this paragraph. The manner of disclosure shall be the same as that provided in Canon 3E of the **Code** of Judicial Ethics.

(D) Notwithstanding paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) of Section 170.3, the disqualification required under this paragraph may be waived by the party that did not make the contribution unless there are other circumstances that would prohibit a waiver pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 170.3.

(b) A judge before whom a proceeding was tried or heard shall be disqualified from participating in any appellate review of that proceeding.

(c) At the request of a party or on its own motion an appellate court shall consider whether in the interests of justice it should direct that further proceedings be heard before a trial judge other than the judge whose judgment or order was reviewed by the appellate court.

§ 721. Contracts with each other and third parties; fiduciary relationship

(a) Subject to subdivision (b), either spouse may enter into any transaction with the other, or with any other person, respecting property, which either might if unmarried. (b) Except as provided in Sections 143, 144, 146, 16040, 16047, and 21385 of the Probate Code, in transactions between themselves, spouses are subject to the general rules governing fiduciary relationships that control the actions of persons occupying confidential relations with each other. **This confidential relationship imposes a duty of the highest good faith and fair dealing on each spouse, and neither shall take any unfair advantage of the other.** This confidential relationship is a fiduciary relationship subject to the same rights and duties of nonmarital business partners, as provided in Sections 16403, 16404, and 16503 of the Corporations Code, including, but not limited to, the following:

(1) Providing each spouse access at all times to any books kept regarding a transaction for the purposes of inspection and copying.

(2) Rendering upon request, true and full information of all things affecting any transaction that concerns the community property. Nothing in this section is intended to impose a duty for either spouse to keep detailed books and records of community property transactions.

(3) Accounting to the spouse, and holding as a trustee, any benefit or profit derived from any transaction by one spouse without the consent of the other spouse that concerns the community property.

Family Code: Title The Marriage Relationship Subtitle B Sec. 3.001 Sec. 3.003. PRESUMPTION OF COMMUNITY PROPERTY. (a) Property possessed by either spouse during or on dissolution of marriage is presumed to be community property. Sec. 3.005. GIFTS BETWEEN SPOUSES. If one spouse makes a gift of property to the other spouse, the gift is presumed to include all the income and property that may arise from that property.

Wenger v. Commission on Judicial Performance, 630 P.2d 954 (Cal. 1981)

[S.F. No. 25115. Supreme Court of California. April 23, 1987.]

In re L. EUGENE RASMUSSEN, a Judge of the Justice Court, on Censur

Paragraph 1

Statement of Issues

My name is Karrie Gibson, I am a black woman who is a descendent of Chattel Slaver, American Negro and Freedman. America has broken the promise to black people under the 14th Amendment. My civil rights as a black woman have been severely violated by the state of California. I am a black woman who was in a 23 year abusive marriage to a white male abusive alcoholic. In a divorce multiple court officers, and other governmental state agencies are a party to antiblack hate crimes and fraud against me, Karrie Gibson. The state of California has a history of intentional malicious economic, and emotional harm to descendants of Chattel Slavery. Multiple court officers, and other governmental agency with malice aforethought harmed Karrie Gibson's economic interest in a divorce. Karrie Gibson incurred irreparable emotional, economical, and physical harm because she is a black woman, who was married to a white male abusive alcoholic. Court officers illegally, and intentionally deprived Karrie Gibson of marital assets to prevent Karrie Gibson from affording legal counsel. *City of Industry Sheriff Department broke in the home of Karrie Gibson, on September 23, 2020 at 8:30pm at night. Deputy Anthony Pulido threatened to arrest Karrie Gibson, and her tenant if she didn't leave her home. City of Industry Sheriff Department illegal fraudulent act of taking possession of Karrie Gibson's home. La Puente Sheriff deputies illegally put Karrie Gibson in the street during Covid, one of the most dangerous pandemics in American history.* Judge Sarah Heidel illegally without Due Process deemed Karrie Gibson vexatious, to prevent Karrie Gibson from self-help. The Superior Court is aware of Judge Sarah Heidel hate crimes against Karrie Gibson, to include the illegal vexatious claim. **See Exhibit A** Judge Sarah Heidel being recused from the case of Gibson vs Gibson, by supervising Judge Lawrence Riff, who is also a party to fraudulent antiblack hate crimes against Karrie Gibson. Karrie Gibson has tirelessly tried to obtain justice and repair through the Superior court and the Appellate court, both courts continue to violate the civil rights of Karrie Gibson, a black woman, a descendant of Chattel Slavery. ***Karrie Gibson has not made any unreasonable request, she only has attempted to receive her legal right to marital assets, legal representation and spousal support as stated by California Family code 2100, 2122, 4320 2030.*** Governor Gavin Newsom appointed a Reparations Committee to research the negative effects of Chattel Slavery. In 2022 the state of California continues to demonstrate antiblack behavior towards descendants of chattel slavery. Black Californian "need" legislation to protect black American citizens. California has a vicious and shameless history of emotional, physical, and economic harm to black people. On June 1, 2022 Karrie Gibson submitted a request to file Family Code 2122, Motion to Set Aside judgement. ***Due to Heidel illegal and fraudulent order to place Karrie Gibson on the vexatious list, department 2 of the Stanley Mosk court waited 20 days to rule in allowing Karrie Gibson the opportunity to have her motion heard by an objective fair court. I have audio evidence of the court attempt to evade Karrie Gibson's right to a court hearing.***

Paragraph 2

Judge Heidel created an adversarial and hostile environment early on in a divorce. Judge Heidel used gaslighting tactics to confuse Karrie Gibson early on. During the first hearing Jan 7, 2019 Heidel attempts to confuse Karrie Gibson, when Karrie Gibson explained that she needed legal counsel to understand her rights with regard to assets and other aspects of the divorce. **See Exhibit T1 January 7, 2019 transcript. Page 10.** I, Karrie Gibson explains to Judge Heidel, that I need an attorney. I explain I wouldn't be prepared in 4 weeks. Judge Heidel gaslights Karrie by stating, "*You already know you want----*". Never in any of the hearings do I state what I want in the divorce. I'm never given the opportunity. On this day, I am explaining to the court that I need legal counsel. The court begins the divorce proceeding by gaslighting me. On line 4th, page 10 Heidel misleads Karrie, by saying "***If you need legal advice, you'll have to find an attorney willing to take you on without retainer.***" When in fact under *Family Code 2030, (a)(1)?* *In a proceeding for dissolution of marriage, nullity of marriage, or legal separation of the parties, and in any proceeding subsequent to entry of a related judgment, the court shall ensure that each party has access to legal representation, including access early in the proceedings, to preserve each party's rights by ordering, if necessary based on the income and needs assessments, one party, except a governmental entity, to pay to the other party, or to the other party's attorney, whatever amount is reasonably necessary for attorney's fees and for the cost*

of maintaining or defending the proceeding during the pendency of the proceeding. Heidel early on plots to deprive me of my rights under Family Code 2030. Judge Heidel has a duty to ensure that the self-represented obtain access to justice. During this time, abusive alcoholic James Gibson has abandoned Karrie Gibson on Jan. 5th 2018. James Gibson was the sole provider of the marital home. James's income was in excess of \$14,000 a month. Karrie Gibson was recovering from a severe back injury, having had major back surgery to the back and spine. L4, S1, April 2016. On January 7, 2019 Karrie Gibson pleads to the court that she has incurred a severe injury in a rear end collision on Dec 4, 2018 agitating her already compromised back, and also suffering a concussion that impaired Karrie Gibson cognitive abilities. **See Exhibit T1 Transcripts Jan 2, 2019**, Judge Heidel acknowledged that Gibson is struggling to community. **See Heidel statement. Exhibit T1** Insinuating that Karrie Gibson is being deceptive or maybe under the influence. I had just incurred a concussion. I didn't understand what she said, when she made the statements in court. I knew she said something negative by the bailiff body language. I learned what she said, by reading the transcripts. I urge you to acknowledge James Gibson abandoned me on Jan 2018. I was eligible for spousal support, I didn't file for support because I didn't have the strength to fight with this man, James Gibson. On February 7, 2019 Judge Heidel deprived Karrie Gibson of her rights to spousal support as stated by Family Code 4320. I explained that James Gibson was an abusive alcoholic. Heidel ignored my testimony that James was an abusive alcoholic. James Gibson abandoned Karrie Gibson January 2018. He provided zero spousal support. Early in the litigation, the court made zero effort to rule amicably or to follow California State codes and laws. My husband, James Gibson is an abusive alcoholic, had the Superior Court made an effort to follow California Family Code, my husband and I may have reconciled or least had a civilized dissolution of marriage. The court has caused irreconcilable harm. **See Exhibit P. A screenshot of a text message James Gibson sent me March 27, 2019 at 11:58am. It's photo of a passage from the Alcoholic Anonymous "Twelve Steps and Twelve Traditional, James W. Gibson III fingers are in the shot. This was James' way of telling me that he would try to be better. The California Judicial Branch created hostile an environment.**

Paragraph 3

On May 3rd 2019, Hearing for spousal support Heidel fraudulent ruling cause irreparable harm to Karrie Gibson. Directing you **to Exhibit T2 May 3rd Transcript Page 1 line 28 and page 2 line (1-2)}** My husband's attorney Ariel Carter explains to Heidel that both parties were requesting a continuance. She even acknowledges that the continuance was necessary because of new representation. Judge Heidel begins her rant against Karrie Gibson. Judge Heidel states that asking for a continuance isn't a good reason not to appear. Heidel alludes to the idea that she wasn't aware that Charles Major, an associate at CORE called the clerk to request a continuance. Attorney Charles Major was told someone had to appear. (Which is unusual) Carter appeared on both behalf.

Paragraph 4

This is the beginning of the weighted evidence of racial bias, and fraud committed by the Family Court of Los Angeles. Heidel's attitude demonstrate that of antiblack hatred. **Judge Heidel declining the continuance had no legal premise, it is customary for attorneys to come to agreement. Both parties agreed to postpone the court date to allow newly hired attorney to prepare and possibly come to an agreement.** Heidel abuses judicial discretion to discriminate against me, a black woman; with malice and forethought hindering my position in this divorce case. Judge Heidel award me 15 hours towards attorney's fees. If my attorney would have appeared it would have cost me a minimum of 8 hours of court fees. **Testimony from May 3rds hearing; May 3rd Transcripts Page 2 line 9-18)** Ms. Carter: *I understand, your honor. My understanding is that respondent's counsel was retained Limited Scope to continue this matter and to file an additional RFO. There are several RFO's on the court's calendar right now. And in an effort to try to consolidate some of the matters and hopefully resolve some of the matters, we did agree to continue today's hearing out to a date in July. As well as advance response June 14th and continue that as well. Judge Heidel denied the continuance.* She implied that my attorney just didn't appear, as if he did something that wasn't normal and unusual. He wouldn't just not show up to court. Simon Budwani received the \$5000 attorney fees payment made. He could have just appeared and billed me. Charles Major with C.O.R.E law group called the clerk to request a continuance. Charles spoke to the clerk Deanne Lee Kistler. Deanne Lee Kistler told Charles that judge is requesting that someone appears. Ariel Carter agreed to appear to request a continuance on our behave because she would be in the court that day on another matter.. On May 3rd, Simon Budwani called me to tell me that the judge ruled against us, even though council requested a continuance, agreed upon by both parties. I called the

clerk to ask her. (My phone records document my call to the court on May 3rd *For the sake of brevity I will furnish phone records upon request*). I asked, "Deanne (the clerk) did my attorney call to request a continuance and if so did you tell him that someone had to appear to request a continuance." *Deanne Lee Kistler* responded, "No Comment". I asked a second time, to be clear I am asking did my attorney call to ask for a continuance and you told them someone had to appear. *Deanne Lee Kistler's* second response was, " No Comment." I thanked her, wished her a good weekend, and hung up the phone.

Paragraph 5

On January 2, 2020. Judge Lawrence P Riff with malice intent and foresight violated California Family Code 2100 regarding Declaration of Disclosure. Judge Lawrence Riff refuse to hear Motion for Attorney Fees filed Dec 11, 2019. Riff sent the motion to bias Heidel, who took the motion off the calendar. Exhibit A Judge Riff instructing Judge Heidel to recuse herself. Judge Heidel made multiple attempts to deprive Karrie Gibson of her rights under Family Code 2030. Judge Heidel also moved the dates of multiple hearings for Motion for Attorney Fees filed by Karrie Gibson. The superior court has shown a pattern of racial bias and fraud against Karrie Gibson, a black woman in a divorce in favor of a white male abusive alcoholic. Other agencies including, but not limited to the *Commission on Judicial Performance, City of Industry Sheriff's department, the Court Reporters Board of California* are all a party to fraud, antiblack hate crimes: cover up of antiblack hate crimes. Judge Riff and Heidel violated California Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.1 While a motion was pending to disqualify Judge Heidel, Judge Riff illegally sanctioned Karrie Gibson on Jan 2, 2020 to retaliate against Karrie Gibson for filing a Motion to Disqualify. Judge Heidel. Judge Heidel deemed Karrie Gibson to be a vexatious litigant, without Due Process, according to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.1 all proceedings should halt when a Motion to Disqualify is pending. Judge Heidel took the Motion to disqualify off the calendar after her supervisor Riff declined hearing the motion, sending Motion from Stanley Mosk back to anti black Pomona superior court.

Paragraph 6

Heidel, Riff and Iwasaki ignored concrete evidence demonstrating that Karrie Gibson had been abused for over 20 years by the white male abusive alcoholic husband. There are friends of Karrie's, who can testify to seeing James physically assault Karrie. Karrie Gibson submitted multiple letters to the court written by James W. Gibson apologizes to Karrie for his abuse. Some of the letters date back to 1993. The letter demonstrates a pattern of abuse. Judge Iwasaki consistently interrupted Karrie Gibson testimony when she attempted to detail multiple times the ambulance was called to the home because of the abuse Gibson endured at the hands of James W. Gibson III. Judge Bruce Iwasaki with heinous malice intent found Karrie Gibson as the abuser to deprive Karrie Gibson of alimony as outlined by *California Family Code 4321*. These actions were explicitly done to cause economic harm to a black woman, Karrie Gibson. Riff, Heidel and Iwasaki with foresight and malice deprived me, Karrie Gibson of her legal right to discovery, *Family C 2100, 2120*

Paragraph 7

January 7, 2020, Riff retaliated against Karrie Gibson for her request to disqualify Heidel under Cal. Family Code 170.1. The retaliation is also in response to Karrie Gibson publicly acknowledging, on Dec 18, 2019, that Judge Heidel is a racist bully. Judge Riff made bogus and unsubstantiated claims against Karrie Gibson for pretext to deprive Karrie Gibson of her legal rights in a California Divorce. During a pretrial hearing on January 2, 2020, Judge Riff refused to allow Karrie Gibson to speak regarding addressing the statement of disclosure. Opposing counsel, Ariel Carter who is to allege be in a romantic relationship with petitioner James Gibson to also have a baby with James Gibson; claims she never received the statement of disclosure from Karrie Gibson, which were mailed to opposing around Dec 15, 2019. Karrie Gibson attempted to address the issue, *Riff continued the pattern not allowing Karrie Gibson to speak*, indictive of antiblack Jim Crow behavior . Exhibit T1 See transcripts Jan 7, 2020. Karrie states she has the statement on her person in court. Riff ignores Karrie Gibson reply. Riff removes Karrie Gibson from court room on the pretense that she is being disruptive. Karrie Gibson's rights are being violated under the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Family Code 2100, to coverup all the civil right violations, fraud and hate crimes committed by the Superior Court and other governmental agency. Karrie Gibson had a Motions for Attorney Fees on the calendar, and pending Motion to Disqualify Heidel. Riff illegally and fraudulently

took the motions off the calendar. Riff without cause request that the bailiff remove Karrie Gibson from the court room. The bailiff acknowledges the blatant civil rights violation, he apologizes to Karrie Gibson for what he witnesses. Black people in the state of California have the legal right to be treated equal, to have liberty as outlined by the US Constitution. Judge Riff violated California Family Code 170.2 by hearing any issues while pending Motion to Disqualify for misconduct and racial bias **See Exhibit C Motion to Disqualify cover page stamp by the clerk.** Judge Sarah Heidel. California law states proceeding are halted when Motion to Disqualify is pending. *Opposing and petitioner shows a pattern of deception and lack of credibility. The court blatantly ignored false testimony, and actions by opposing counsel and the petitioner. The court ignored indisputable evidence that petitioner and opposing counsel gave false testimony.* Judge John Slauson being the sole judge who acknowledges that the petitioner lacked credibility. Judge Slauson is also the only Judge who ruled in the favor of the respondent who filed a bogus Protection Order against petitioner. Judge Slauson and Judge Heidel were reassigned from the Pomona court to court in their local neighborhood. Gibson attempted to file an emergency Writ to stop the illegal fraudulent trial. On March 6th my son drove me to the first day of divorce trial. We met in department 2 Judge Riff's court. I tried to tell the clerk Kodi that I wasn't ready for trial that I had hearings on the calendar. Clerk Kodi ignored Karrie's request. Karrie and her son were sitting in the court room waiting to be called when Ariel Carter approaches her in the court room. **She dropped a notice in her lap.** Attorney Carter gave Karrie some type of request for her to pay her husband attorney's fees. I believe it to be a request that I pay my husband's attorney fees. Yes, she was brazen enough to suggest that I am responsible for my husband attorney fees. When he was the primary wage earner and there was a huge disparity in earnings. Again, the Los Angeles Family Court deprived Karrie Gibson of my right to legal counsel and power balance with the petitioner. I believe Carter should have given notice in advance to the hearing. This is one of the many things that Ariel Carter failed to follow California Rule of Civil Procedure. Attorney Ariel Carter is aware that her white male client/boyfriend has the favor of the white court officers involved in the case. I wasn't aware but when you have a trial the trial is given to a random judge. The trial was assigned to judge Bruce Iwasaki. We went to his court. Again, another racially bias judge. Karrie Gibson filed a WRIT to stop the hearing. Karrie tried to tell the judge that she had a pending writ and other issues on the calendar. That she prepared for the trial. Judge Bruce Iwasaki looked at Karrie, in a very nasty demeanor. He spoke the words. "DON'T SPEAK TO ME" This is exactly what he said. He would never allow me to speak. **I was made to participate in the Trial under Fraud and Duress.** I tried to submit my documents and exhibits and he said I wasn't allowed to because I was naughty. I asked Judge Iwasaki exactly what I did that was naughty. He wouldn't respond. *Early on in the case Ariel Carter told the court that I had two dates pending on the calendar.* Judge Iwasaki responded negatively in response to Ariel Carter informing him that I had hearings on the calendar. He never allowed me to respond or discuss the issues on the calendar. ***I filed a Motion for attorney fees and request for change of venue. See Exhibit V The Motions were stamped on Feb 18, 2020.*** This trial was held under Duress without proper discovery and representation. The opposing put on a case full of lies. They submitted credit card debt that the petitioner acquired after the date of separation. I flat out ask James Gibson what was on the credit card that was acquired during the marriage. He was like a deer in headlight. Everyone in the courtroom knew James Gibson was lying. His attorney leads him in his testimony. Anytime, I objected the judge overruled but ruled in favor of Ariel Carter's objections. Iwasaki was nasty in his demeanor towards me, to the point I was frightened of him. I was never given the opportunity to object to anything. Bruce Iwasaki made demeaning and inappropriate remarks to and about Karrie Gibson. Bruce Iwasaki never allowed Karrie to speak freely. **The petitioner submitted the Vocational Evaluation into evidence. I tried to object. I asked how they could submit the Vocational Evaluation if I couldn't question or cross exam the evaluator.** I was having horrible anxiety throughout the first day of trial. The only people in the room were a court reporter, my son, Bailiff Black, and the clerk. The next day March 7 it was my turn. It's my turn to question James Gibson. I fell apart. I began to cry. It was hard and I was afraid, partially because I had to show how he was lying. I have been in a 20-year abusive relationship with this man who I had protected for over 20 years. I was afraid, felt extremely panicked! I burst into tears, struggled with getting composure. Iwasaki witness that I was in distress, unable to proceed. He made me continue. I tried to question the opposing. The judge repeatedly interrupted Karrie while I tried to question James W Gibson. I attempted to show that he was lying about the credit cards, and he was lying about the incident back in 1996. I even tried to question James about the insurance policy he asked the court to allow him to keep. I was never named the beneficiary as is dictated by California Family Code. I tried to make him acknowledge that I have been dealing with stress and anxiety throughout our abusive marriage. I have a history of anxiety attacks, ambulance being called to our home. More than 10 years prior I began experiencing debilitating attacks, crippling insomnia. James Gibson weaponized my blackness, using the court system to further abuse me. The judge continued to threaten to not allow me to question James W Gibson. During the hearing I begged the judge to stop. I begged him to stop trying to maligned me. "I said please don't do this to me" I couldn't take it any longer. I couldn't breathe. I felt nauseated, like

I was going to pass out and my heart would stop. I had to go. I left the courthouse. It was too hard to continue experiencing the abuse by this judge. I was experiencing an overwhelming feeling of dread, fear, and danger. He wouldn't let me speak. I even asked the bailiff Black when would I be able to speak. She said, when he spoke to me

Paragraph 8

Within days, Governor Newsom orders the mandated stay at home order. There was a strict state mandate to stay at home, only essential business should be conducted during the mandate. There were high rates of contraction, and Covid death rate. I received a notice that my Motion for Attorney Fees and Motion for Change of Venue was taken off the calendar because of Covid-19. The motions that should have been heard prior to a trial. Motions that were on the calendar when Judge Riff fraudulently set the trial date without me being properly represented or address the pretrial issues. The judgement was entered in the court records on July 1, 2020. I had little recourse, Covid made it impossible to speak with an attorney, to utilize the Law Library or to seek any remedy. Prior to the entering of the judgement, I received emails from James Gibson asking to show the house. I told him there was a stay-at-home order. That I wasn't going to have potentially infected people in my home. This was a state mandate, only essential business was allowed. He never asked to take photo or try to create a virtual post. James Gibson threatened to have me evicted from my home. James Gibson was aware that he was receiving racial favoritism. Anything he put before the court, the court approved, without evidence, or me being presents in the court room. **Exhibit D Gibson brags on a Yelp review for his girlfriend/attorney Ariel Carter.** In an attempt to intimidate me. James Gibson regularly harassed me because he knew that I was being discriminated against. That I had no rights, the court had a vendetta against me. Black American, Freedman, descendants of chattel slavery have been treated less than human since they brought us to this country. The State has a duty to protect the well-being of California citizens, the court continues anti-black tactics remanences of Jim Crow, reference the California Reparation's Taskforce Report. Which can be found <https://oag.ca.gov/ab3121/reports> **See Exhibit E Covid 19 Data Summary 2020** **See Exhibit F** Mandate Stay at home. It was the intent of the Los Angeles Family Court to deprive me of my rights as outlined by California Family Code 2100. The court tried to rush through the fraudulent trial. The court had zero regard for my health and well-being. **See Exhibit H March 6 docket that estimates 1 day to cover 23 years of marriage with over one million dollars in marital assets.**

Paragraph 9

In a study published November 2022 in the journal JAMA (Journal of American Medical Association) Neurology, researchers from Columbia University found racial and ethnic disparities in brain markers of Alzheimer's disease and related cases of dementia. The scientists analyzed MRI scans of nearly 1,500 participants and found that Black adults in their mid-50s were more likely than white or Hispanic adults of the same age group to show white-matter lesions in their brains, which are indicators of cerebrovascular disease or cognitive decline. The authors noted that "social forces" may have played a part in the accelerated brain aging seen among their Black subjects. In particular, the study says the weathering hypothesis — which states that "chronic exposure to social and economic disadvantage leads to accelerated decline in physical health outcomes"— could cause Black middle-aged adults on average to have cerebrovascular disease earlier in life. I am a black Freedman, descendant of Chattel slavey, 54 year old woman, who was in an 23+ marriage with an abusive alcoholic. My medical records will show that I began receiving mental health care within two years of my marriage. There is a history of emergency medical service being called while I was in crisis brought on by domestic abuse. I tried on several occasion to make the court aware that I experienced abuse throughout the marriage. I submitted multiple letters written by James Gibson apologizing for his abuse, admitting to his alcohol addiction. **See letters evidence of alcoholism and abuse. Exhibit G Each time I tried to give testimony. Heidel, Riff and Iwasaki cut me off or blatantly ignored the evidence. See Transcripts** Judge Heidel gaslights me each time I mentioned my medical issues. During an Oct 25, 2019 hearing, **Judge Riff downplayed my medical condition, but makes a statement that he believes that I have impulse control issues. Impulse control which is symptomatic/characteristic of an impairment in the frontal lobe, resulting from years of mental trauma.** The state of California has a history of deliberate and malicious abuse of black Americans, descendants of chattel slavery. Continuing the heinous actions, Iwasaki deems Karrie Gibson, as the abuser to cause economic harm, depriving her spousal support as stated by the Family Code 4321. I am a black woman who has suffered abuse and tremendous harm by the Los Angeles Family Court. Like millions of other black Americans, I am suffering from mental, and cognitive impairment. My symptoms include debilitating insomnia. an inability to focus or concentrate.

Struggles with “train of thought” and “short-term” memory afflictions. Black people are suffering a genocide in this country. The negro is endangered in the State of California. You people are literally killing us. I was unable to seek medical care because lack of medical coverage. The court made zero concessions for my medical coverage. The court fraudulently billed me for medical coverage in a further attempted to deplete any marital assets that would help me in this legal battle to protect my rights and to obtain necessary financial assets. I believe this abuse of black people to be common amongst the judicial branch in the state of California. The court and other government departments are purposely trying to wear me down. The same way black slaves were beaten into submission, the court is trying to beat me in to submission, to cause mental harm that will prevent me from enduring these illegal, fraudulently and hate crimes against me. It is apparent that independent agencies are covering up the anti-black hate crimes against me, Karrie Gibson a black woman, a negro, Freedman, descendant of chattel slavery. California has a history of Anti-black hate Crimes. [See Exhibit M](#) *California Reparations Task Force Key findings*

Paragraph 10

Quote from California Reparations report. Chapter 12 Mental/Physical Harm and neglect. Scholars have stated that Europeans developed a racial hierarchy in which black people were relegated to the bottom of humanity and often placed outside of it all together. In order to justify the enslavement of African people. In the United State is no exception to this global reality. This chapter will describe how Federal, State and Local government subjected enslave people and their descendants to brutal and to dehumanizing conditions, policies, and practices. The United States has treated African American as subhuman and engage in practice harmful to the health of African American who was forced labor, racial terror, oppression, torture, sexual violence, abusive medical experimentation, discrimination, harmful neglect and more as well be explained throughout this chapter.

I am diagnosis with clinical depression and anxiety. My primary doctor, Dr. Nolde Smith in 2018 written ordered stated that I need not communicate directly with my husband. that I need to communicate through my daughter. For years I confided in my doctor about my husband’s abuse. The court is very much aware of the abuse, and has a history of harming batter women. *Case 19VER001347 19STFL11434* is a woman who was also harmed by Judge Lawrence Riff. Her name is Dalia, she only wanted a Jewish Divorce. The Los Angeles Family court are guilty of the same tactics. Assigning court hearing in courts that were dark. I haven’t heard from her in months. I pray that she is ok. She only wanted a Jewish divorce, but she was tortured by the Los Angeles family court. She alleges that her husband lawyer was having relations with Judge Lawrence Riff. I have received emails from multiple women alleging that Los Angeles family court is showing bias in the favor of white males. It is the Los Angeles Family Court intention to wear down battered women. I only filed for spousal support because I sustained a concussion. I had no way of supporting myself. According to Family Code 4321 I am entitle to support that maintains the lifestyle I experienced during the marriage. Judge Iwasaki made false statements regarding our lifestyle, to deprive me of Alimony as stated by California Family Code 4321. My entire family are foodies. We ate out regularly, dinner charges are included in credit card balances that the court order that I pay. We went on vacations twice a year. We have traveled to Jamaica multiple times. Costa Rica, the Bahamas, Hawaii, Bermuda, multiple country in Mexico, to include Cancun, Cabo San Lucas, Mazatlán, Puerto Vallarta, and Ensenada. The court doesn’t believe that I, a black woman is entitled to live in the top twenty percentile of the country. America has a history of harming successful black people depriving us of the wealth we acquired. The Tulsa Massacre, *The 1985 MOVE Bombing*. [See Exhibit K](#). Screen shots of my Instagram. The court is welcomed to search my Instagram account. The state of California is guilty of purposely causing me economic harm because I am a black woman. This is systematic racism, systematic oppression, the reasoning behind systematic poverty.

Paragraph 11

It is 2023, I am still being harmed by the court and other government agency. I began this Writ around about Sept 19, 2022. When I requested court documents via an online portal that I learned from a black court worker who I spoke to on the phone. She is one of the few people who was honest. She told me I could request records via an online system. This is when I learned the court awarded James Gibson an additional \$30,000 without me being present. This threw me in a deep depression. I was sick inside. I struggled emotionally and cognitively. On top of me taking pain medicine for over 5 years, associate with a severe back injury. I sustained a concussion. I have experience of 20 years of psychological abuse. There is a genocide being committed against me. I am literally being

murder. I am unable to focus for more than 30-40 minute at a time. I have a horrible short-term member. In creating this request, I struggle with remembering what I have included, keeping content in proper chronological order. **See Exhibit R** These are documents and files I struggle with keeping track and organizing. I'm unable to sufficiently execute necessary task in this legal process. The depression exiles me to a bed, in a dark room. I try so hard to fight the negative thoughts. I am the observer, I know I am not the thought. Which made me feel like a prisoner in my body.

Paragraph 12

The Los Angeles Superior Court denied me of my right to request a Motion to Set Aside the judgment for Fraud, Medical condition, Superior Court and Appellate Court continues to neglect, abuse and violations my civil rights. The entire judgement was based of the fraudulent Vexatious litigant ruling that was ordered without due process, by Judge Sarah Heidel. Heidel who was ordered to recuse herself by then supervising Judge Lawrence P Riff. **See exhibit A**. There was a judgement entered on June 1, 2020 during a stay at home order. I was first deprived of my right to self-help because of the illegal, fraudulent, unconstitutional vexatious litigant. I didn't have access to attorneys because of the stay-at-home mandate. **See Exhibit F Local Stay at home Mandate**. If there was access to an attorney, I was unable to afford an attorney because the court deprived me of my rights under Family Code 2030. Judge Heidel and Riff took my motion of the calendar multiple times to again deprive me of a power balance in the divorce of Gibson vs Gibson. In my request for Motion for Relief from Judgement California Code Family Code – FAM DIVISION 6 - NULLITY, DISSOLUTION, AND LEGAL SEPARATION PART 1 - GENERAL PROVISIONS

CHAPTER 10 - Relief From Judgment Section 2121. *(a) In proceedings for dissolution of marriage, for nullity of marriage, or for legal separation of the parties, the court may, on any terms that may be just, relieve a spouse from a judgment, or any part or parts thereof, adjudicating support or division of property, after the six-month time limit of Section 473 of the Code of Civil Procedure has run, based on the grounds, and within the time limits, provided in this chapter.*

(b) In all proceedings under this chapter, before granting relief, the court shall find that the facts alleged as the grounds for relief materially affected the original outcome and that the moving party would materially benefit from the granting of the relief.

§ 2122. Grounds for relief; limitation of actions

The grounds and time limits for a motion to set aside a judgment, or any part or parts thereof, are governed by this section and shall be one of the following:

- (a) Actual fraud where the defrauded party was kept in ignorance or in some other manner was fraudulently prevented from fully participating in the proceeding. An action or motion based on fraud shall be brought within one year after the date on which the complaining party either did discover, or should have discovered, the fraud.
- (b) Perjury. An action or motion based on perjury in the preliminary or final declaration of disclosure, the waiver of the final declaration of disclosure, or in the current income and expense statement shall be brought within one year after the date on which the complaining party either did discover, or should have discovered, the perjury.
- (c) Duress. An action or motion based upon duress shall be brought within two years after the date of entry of judgment.
- (d) Mental incapacity. An action or motion based on mental incapacity shall be brought within two years after the date of entry of judgment.
- (e) As to stipulated or uncontested judgments or that part of a judgment stipulated to by the parties, mistake, either mutual or unilateral, whether mistake of law or mistake of fact. An action or motion based on mistake shall be brought within one year after the date of entry of judgment.
- (f) Failure to comply with the disclosure requirements of Chapter 9 (commencing with [Section 2100](#)). An action or motion based on failure to comply with the disclosure requirements shall be brought within one year after the date on which the complaining party either discovered, or should have discovered, the failure to comply.

Paragraph 13

Judge Amy Pellman and Shelly Kaufman refused to acknowledge how Judge Riff, Heidel and Iwasaki conspired to cause Karrie Gibson financial, physical, and emotional harm in retaliation for a motion filed by Karrie Gibson

;requesting to disqualify Heidel. **See Exhibit I VL-115 and VL100.** The court continues to abuse discretion by violating the rights of Karrie Gibson under 14th Amendment to the Constitution. Racially discriminating against Karrie Gibson. Judge Bruce Iwasaki failed to acknowledge the marital debt of Karrie Gibson. **See Exhibit S Equifax Credit File dated August 10, 2021 Karrie Gibson recent credit report.** Equifax Credit File August 10th 2021. The credit report details marital debt now in default accounts listing in question **¹Barclays Bank of Delaware** date opened December 20, 2017 Balance of \$3883. **²Citicards CBNA opened** February 1, 1996. Closed on August 19, 2019. Closing balance \$18,134. **³Discover Bank** date opened April 24, 1996. Balance \$20,299. **⁴Bank of America** opened July 18, 2002. Balance \$11,828 **⁵American Express** opened June 4, 1996. Balance \$21,602. Karrie Gibson is homeless, the court had zero concerns about the welfare and safety of Karrie Gibson. Karrie Gibson is being treated different than a white woman in a divorce which is a Constitutional violation of the 14th Amendment. See Exhibit T James Gibson” January 22, **2019 Income and Expense Declaration states income of \$12,615.40** which is an under estimate **See Exhibit T2** James Gibson 12/1/2018 Pay stub of \$160,084 and additional \$4,700 from his private practice. **See Exhibit U** Social Security Report, which was illegal obtain by opposing, Ariel Carter. Judge Iwasaki and Judge Riff defrauded me, Karrie Gibson a black woman out of marital assets. In the judgement the court awarded petitioner money illegally. For example \$20,000 was awarded to petitioner for sanctions. Karrie Gibson did nothing to deserve sanctions. The court cannot give any legitimate reason for sanctioning Karrie Gibson. This fraudulent order was to deprive Karrie Gibson of marital assets that she is entitled to under California Family Code 2100. Over \$40,000 was awarded to the petitioner for renting the home and mortgage payment. The petitioner isn’t entitle to both, this was awarded for the time period that petitioner should have paid spousal support. The court ordered me, Karrie Gibson to pay for a fraudulent amount of medical expense. The petitioner didn’t pay what the court is claiming. The medical insurance paid by petitioner included coverage of the adult child. The court never required any evidence to approve and award request. If the matter is heard by an impartial court and, with Karrie Gibson being equally represented the court would overturn over \$1,000,000 in marital assets. Iwasaki wouldn’t allow Karrie Gibson to address the Vocation Evaluation, and agency selected by the petitioner. The credentials were never confirmed as stated by Family Code 4331. Judge Iwasaki made false claims about the marital lifestyle. **See Exhibit K** Screenshots of my personal Instagram that documents my marital lifestyle dating back to 2014. The court didn’t include all marital asset to include a Bank of America mutual fund that has been included on our joint marital tax returns since the acquisition of the Mutual Fund. **See Exhibit L. Bank of America 1099-INT**

Paragraph 14

The final judgement was based off of fraudulent allegations that Karrie Gibson did something to impede on the divorce proceeding. The entire judgement was predicated on fraudulent claims, largely based on the unlawful vexatious litigant order. Karrie Gibson only spoke up about the anti-black treatment, and civil rights violations. The court has an obligation to ensure a power balance. I am a victim of antiblack hate crimes by multiple court officers and agencies. I have exhausted myself seeking relief and justice through the state judicial system. I made multiple attempts to get back in my home during Covid. Judge Heidel denied every attempted. I submitted a video to the Ex-Parte email to demonstrate that I was trying to get relief. The court approved every request my husband made even ex-parte which are designed to provide relief or protection under extra-ordinary circumstances. My husband lifestyle is that of the top 10% of American Citizens. He always lived a life of wealth, James Gibson has never been in danger or in dire straits. ***He has enjoy white wealthy male privilege his entire life.*** I was homeless during Covid. ***Sheriff broke in my home threatening to arrest me if I didn’t leave my home. Exhibit AA is a portable drive that includes the video of Deputy Pulido lying about a bogus order, while he illegally entered my home.*** On the drive ***Exhibit AA is the video of me placing the Ex- Parte to get back in home.*** Incidentally, the day I emailed the video of me putting the Ex-parte request in the designated box during Covid is the same day Judge Riff requested Judge Heidel to recuse herself. **See Exhibit AA You will find the video files of supervising clerk Arturo Quintero denying me my right to file Motion to Disqualify Heidel. Threatening to call security when I was only exercising my right as an American Citizen to file a motion. I have included audio of my call to La Puente Sheriff department to follow up on my complaint regarding the crime committed against me. Listen to Lieutenant DeReal cover up the crime. I made multiple attempts to obtain relief by the Appellate Court. The Appellate court was aware that I am homeless and have been homeless since September 23, 2020. The Appeal Court is aware that I have been living in a bed bug infested hotel for over 2 years. I am unable to qualify for a rental because the Superior Court Fraudulently denied me my right to have my marital debt included in the judgement. The Appeals court is aware that I am a victim of domestic abuse by James W. Gibson. Judge Iwasaki maliciously deemed **ME** the abuser with zero evidence but ignored letters from James Gibson apologizing for his abuse. **See****

exhibit G Letters dating back to 1993. The egregious acts are evident that this is racially motivated, there is no other motive, but racism. I have done nothing wrong. ZERO! The court has stolen hundreds of thousands of dollars from me, leaving me homeless destitute, riddled with depression and anxiety. I have made this court aware that I have been under a doctor's care for over a year. I submitted the medication that is prescribed to help with the insomnia, anxiety, and depression The Appeals court does nothing, because California is an Anti-black Jim Crow state that has a history of harming black people. I was unable to seek medical care because the court did zero to ensure that I had medical coverage. **See exhibit N** around Dec. 2021 a neurologist Dr. Ela Md documented not seeing me because of lack of insurance. ***I want to reiterate that all the harm, and fraudulent illegal acts done by the court demonstrate racial discrimination indicative of California antiblack culture.*** I am a black woman, married to a white abusive alcoholic. There is no other motive to cause me such great harm, only anti-black racism. I filed a WRIT to stop the sale of my home January 2021, when Covid was a serious threat, and I was homeless. La Puente Sheriff Department illegal took possession of my home. Violation under the 4th Amendment to the Constitution, illegal search and seizure. My home that I didn't agree to sell, or sign escrow papers. I question the legality, there was a moratorium regarding the enforcement of the sale of the home. This Appellate and Superior Court is aware yet continues to deny me relieve in the name of justice. ***Exhibit AA has an audio recording of a phone call with Potter, he tells me that Appeal Court has a practice not to follow the law.*** Multiple times the Appellate Court refused to acknowledge my Writ Mandate. **See Exhibit O.** Photos of the bed bug bites to my body. This is my second attempt to submit photos demonstrating that I am living in dire strait as result of antiblack hate crimes against me, Karrie Gibson a black woman. Violation of Family Code 2100. I am unable to be a functional human being because of the depression, I am struggling to recover. I can't sleep because of the insomnia. I have been taken Ambient for over 2 years. When I fall asleep with the aid of ambient, I am awoken by bed bug bites. This Appellate court doesn't value the life of black people. The California Judicial system allowed me to suffer inhumanly, when my husband of 23 years earns over \$200,000 a year and is in possession of over \$800,000 of marital asset, and who is not paying Alimony that he would be paying if I were a white woman. This demonstrate that black Americans, decedents of chattel slavery still suffer from the ills of white supremacy. The state of California inhuman treatment of slavery and Jim crow. The state of California deprives Karrie Gibson of her civil right rather than enforce California Family Law Statues, which will compel my husband, James W. Gibson III honor his responsibility. James Gibson has a history of avoiding his responsibilities to another black American woman, who is also a descent of chattel slavery. Rochelle LaVette Lee, the mother of his first child, Lindsay Ryan. **Exhibit B. Notice of Administrative Offset and Federal Tax Refund.** Passport Denial and Consumer Credit Report. Dated November 2, 2009. Reports a past due Child Support balance of \$95,960. The state of Tennessee unlike the State of California protects the civil rights of black Americans. I will not be treated fairly or obtain legal representation due to local bias. **See Exhibit Q.** documenting the attorneys who denied helping me. This is the second time I have submitted this evidence to the appeals court. Around about February of 2021 my son received a voicemail from Frank, and employee of Box and Go. Frank explained that he was in possession of his, and my property. James Gibson illegally removes my property, putting it into storage. My marital homes was approximately 3k square feet. There were over 20 years of property acquired that was illegally taken from the marital home. Also included in **Exhibit AA** a video record of me calling Box and Go, who raised the price from \$410 to \$550. Box and Go has lied multiple times about payment. Please see in the video while I am talking to Frank from Box and Go, a bed bug crawling up my neck. The state of California doesn't care about black people, there is an anti-black culture woven in the fabric of California Culture. It is March 2023 I have not seen my property in over 2 years. The storage fee began at \$410, had progressed to \$550 a month. I have not seen my property in over 2 years. I am not sure that my possessions have been stolen or damaged. It is a travesty that the Appellate Court and Superior Court deprive me of right to liberty, prosperity, property, and pursuit of happiness. America continues to break the promise to black people under the 14th amendment.

I ask that the Appeal Court order the Superior Court allow me to file a Motion to Set Aside Judgement of 2122